DAT

No. 721.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Book Account

Donahoe Beesley & Co. Plaintiffs

vs.

Robt Lenton

Demand $62.17/100.

E. J. Griffith
Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July 1st | Complaint filed. Summons issued and given Plaintiff's Counsel. Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plff's Counsel. |
| 11th | Settled in full — $70.12/100 — by Plaintiff — and Cause dismissed — |

S. H. Hill, J.P.

2

No. 722

# In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Book account

P. R. Fanning — Plaintiff

vs.

Robt. Lawler

Defendant

Demand $ 3.25/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| July. | 1st | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Plaintiff |
| | | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment |
| | | approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff |
| 4 | 11th | Settled & fully by Plaintiff - cost. $11.25/100 and Case |
| | | dismissed. |

S. H. Hill, J.P.

No. 723

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Book account

Charles Burks & Co — Plaintiff's

vs.

Robert Hunter — Defendant

Demand $7 60/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July. 1st | Complaint - filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff. |
| " | Affidavit for attachment - made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment - issued & given Plaintiff. |
| 11. | Settled in full by Plaintiff - $11.60 - |

J. H. Hill

4

No. 724.

# In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno.

Action Note & Mortgage

Baly & McCardle — Plaintiff

vs.

R. B. Burton — Defendant

Demand $20.25

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July 2. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given to Const. Canl. Fanning |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment |
| | approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given to Const. Canl. Fanning - |
| " 11 | Settled in full by Plaintiff - amt. $28.25 - and Case |
| | dismissed - |

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 7257

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

J. P. Grayson — Plaintiff

vs.

R. F. Burton — Defendant

Action Book account

Demand $23.15/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July. 2. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given to Const. Fanning |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment |
| | approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given to Const. Fanning |
| 11 | Returned by Plaintiff's Atty. $31.15 — and cause |
| | dismissed — |

S. A. Hill J. P.

6

No. 726.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Grand Larceny

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Mike Sargentich

Defendant

Demand $

E. B. Edmonds
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| July | 3d | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Witthoven & Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law. Defendant says he is ready for examination and chuses to be examined now. People ready. Hans Swanson, sworn and Examined as a witness for the People. Prisoner Cautioned & Defendant made a Statement, after which the Cause was submitted. The Court being fully advised and it appearing to me that the offence in the depositions is, that the said Mike Sargentich the Defendant did on or about the 25th day of June 1884 feloniously steal take and lead or drive away from the County of Fresno State of California two Horses one a Bay and one Bay Horse of the aggregate value of $150 the property of Hans Swanson of the County of Fresno State of California, and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the said Mike Sargentich guilty thereof. Order that he be held to answer to the same and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno. and that he be admitted to bail in the Sum of $1000 and is committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until he gives such bail |
| | | S. H. Hill J.P. |
| 5th | | Handed the District attorney. |

No. 727

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Bow Hay — Defendant

Action Assault with intent to Murder

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July 4th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Dept. Const. J. E. Blume |
| " | Now comes the District Attorney and moves the Court to Call in the warrant issued for the arrest of Defendant Bow Hay upon the ground that the evidence is not sufficient to Commit the defendant it being Chinese evidence. It is therefore ordered that Dept. Sheriff Bartlett return said warrant, and that the prosecuting witness pay into Court the sum of $20.50 the Cost incured by said Prosecuting witness Ah Hop. |
| | J. H. Hill J. P. |

8

No. 728.

# In the Justice's Court of 3a Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuna

Action Battery

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Jenny Levine
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| July | 4 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Const. Le Blanc |
| " | " | Defendant in Court - and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law. Defendant pleads not guilty as Charged. Cause set down for trial at 3. O'C. P.M. July 5th 1884 - Defendant demands trial by Jury - and held to answer in a bond of $100.00 |
| " | 5 | Cause |

No. 729

# In the Justice's Court of Stent Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe - alias Jenny Harrington, Defendant

Action Assault with intent to murder

Demand $

E. S. Edwards, Attorney for Plaintiff

Turpin & Turpin, Attorneys for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July 6th | Complaint filed Warrant issued thereon affiant Chas. Ball. |
| Aug. 1st | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Cause set down for examination at 2. O.C.P.M. Aug. 2d 1884. |
| Paid | 2" 2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and ready for trial. John E. Bunn and Charles Ball sworn and examined as witnesses for the People. Warrant of Arrest. Return. Cause submitted to the Court. Defendant offering no testimony. |
| | " The Court having fully considered this action finds and it appearing to me that the offence in the deposition on file herein has been committed. That on or about July 4th 1884. that the Defendant did wilfully unlawfully and feloniously cut and wound one John E. Bunn about the face and neck with a certain pocket knife then and there in the hands of the Defendant and that there is sufficient cause to believe the above named Jenny Harrington guilty thereof & order that he be held to answer to the same. and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and that he be admitted to bail in the sum of $1000 00 and is committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne until he give such bail. |

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 734.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Butte

Action Book Account

Geo. Rasmussen — Plaintiff

vs.

Mattie Tilland

Defendant

Demand $23.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July 7th | Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Const. Le Blanc Affidavit for attachment made filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given to Const. Le Blanc Justices fees Paid — by Plaintiff — |
| Aug 16th | Justices fees Paid by Plaintiff $6.00 — |

No. 731.

# In the Justice's Court of Shirel Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Ellen Pipin Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| July. | 7th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & in Const. Court. De Blanc- |
| " | 8th | defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against- her and of her rights before the Law. Defendant pleads not guilty as Charged. Cause set down for trial at 10 O.C. A.M. July 12th 1884. Defendant demands trial by Jury. Subpoena issued & in Court. |
| " | 12th | 10 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court. Cause Continued by Consent indefinitely. |
| " | 23. | Cause set down for trial July the 24th 1884 at 2 O.C.P.M. Defendant demands trial by Jury. Venire issued. |
| " | 24th | 2 O.C.P.M. Defendant in Court and ready for trial. Jury drawn to answer questions as to qualification after which by Consent of parties the following persons were drawn to try the Cause Viz: M. Sias, Geo. Rupert N. Ralf, G. D. Keane, P. R. Banning, Harry Allyn, C. N. De Long, John Church & B. Jelich. Witness sent under the Rule. Julia Rasmussen, Mrs. Rodan, Joseph Dalkenbig & John Hop. Sworn and examined as witness for the Prosecution after which the Prosecution Rests. |
| " | | Ellen Pipen Sworn and examined in her own behalf. Defence Rests. Cause closed. and Submitted to the Jury without argument. After a short retirement the Jury was returned into Court by the proper officer of the Court with the following verdict. Viz. We the Jury find the Defendant guilty as Charged. P. R. Banning Foreman. The Court ordered the Defendant to appear for Sentence at 9 O.C. A.M. July 25th 1884 |
| " | 25th | 9 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court. This being the time fixed for Sentence. Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that you Ellen Pipen be and you are for said offence hereby fined in the Sum of $30.35/100 Defendant at once paid said fine into Court. and thereupon discharged. |

S. H. Hillgen

Paid Dist. Atty. $15.00 Paid Supt. Court. P. L. Blanc $10.00

12

No. 732.

# In the Justice's Court of Eureka Township,

## of the State of California, County of Humboldt

Action Malicious Mischief

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Ellen Pipin — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July. 7th | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Le Blanc — |
| " 8th | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against her and of her rights before the Court — Defendant pleads not guilty as charged — Defendant held for trial on her own recognizance |
| " 25th | Cause dismissed on motion of Dist. Atty — |

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 733

# In the Justice's Court of Stud Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

C. H. Patterson — Plaintiff

vs.

Mustang Ed — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $180.00/100

E. S. Edmands & J. R. Webb — Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July 7" | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff — |
| " 8" | Cause dismissed by order of Plaintiff — |

S. N. Hill
J. P. —

14

No. 734

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

William Bettridge, Plaintiff

vs.

Bernard Haymenville, Defendant

Action Due Bill

Demand $125.00/100

J. R. Webb
Attorney for Plaintiff

Duppa & Duppa
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July 7th | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given to Const. Fanning — |
| " 8th | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 7th day of July 1884 — and filed this 8th day of July 1884 |
| " 19th | Now comes the Defendant by his attorneys Duppa & Duppa and files his demurrer to the Plaintiff Complaint herein — |
| " 19th | Summons set down for argument at 2. o.c. P.M. July 21st 1884 — |
| " 21st | 2. o.c. P.M. Plaintiff in Court. Summons Submitted and overruled — |
| " | Now comes the Defendant by his attorneys Duppa & Duppa and for answer denies each and every material allegation in Plaintiff Complaint — Continued — |
| 23d | His Cause set down for trial at 11. o.c. A.M. July 26th 1884 — |
| " 26th | 11. o.c. A.M. Plaintiff in Court. After waiting one full hour for the appearance of the defendant after the time specified in the notice to defendant — |
| | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid — It is ordered and adjudged that William Bettridge the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant Bernard Haymenville the sum of One Hundred and twenty five ($125.00) Dollars the Principal in this action and the further sum of five and 80/100 ($5.80) Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in this action in Lawful Money of the United States — |
| " | Judgment for the Principal $125.00 |
| | " " " Justices fees 5.00 |
| | " " " Constables " .80 |
| | Total amount of Judgment $130.80 |
| | J. H. Hill J.P. — |
| " | Defendant allowed a Stay of proceedings for ten days — |
| Aug. 2d | Justices fees Paid by Plaintiff $5.00 |
| " | Issue abstract and given Plaintiff — |

No. 735

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

William L. Davis
Plaintiff
vs.
Joe Gurtz & John Bruner
Defendants

Action Claim and delivery of personal property
Demand $295.00

Attorney for Plaintiff
Campbell & Hinds
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| July | 8th | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given to Dept. Const. Fanning |
| " | " | Affidavit made & ordered. Undertaking approved & given. Const. " — |
| " | 9th | Now comes the defendants by their attorneys Campbell & Hinds and file their demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — |
| " | 10th | Summons returned showing personal service on the defendants together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 10th day of July 1884. And filed — Affidavit returned and filed — Undertaking filed — |
| " | 14. | Demurrer set down for argument at 2 O.C. P.M. July 22d 1884 — |
| " | 22. | Plaintiff in Court demurrer submitted and overruled — |
| " | " | Defendant files their answer to Plaintiff Complaint — |
| Aug. | 7th | This Cause is set down for trial at 11 O.C. A.M. Aug. 9th 1884 — |
| " | 9th | This Cause is dismissed by Consent of the Plaintiff — Defendant Counsel agreeing to pay the Jurors fees — $5.00 — |

S. H. Hill J.P.

16

No. 736.

# In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

E. E. Calhoun — Plaintiff

vs.

S. A. Holmes — Defendant

Action Note of Hand

Demand $103.35/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July 11. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Plaintiff |
| " 21st. | Summons returned & hearing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein this day and filed |
| " 28th. | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law — |
| " " | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said Defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff, and on filing the original Note in evidence — It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that E. E. Calhoun Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant S. A. Holmes the sum of $103.35/100 Principal and the sum of $19.98/100 the interest on said Principal and the further sum of $6.40/100 Costs necessarily incurred in this action in Lawful Money of the United States — |
| " | Judgment for the Principal 103.35 |
| " | " " Interest thereon — 19.98 |
| " | " " Justices fees — 5.00 |
| " | " " Constable " 1.40 |
| " | Total amount of Judgment. $129.73 |
| | S. H. Hill J. P. — |
| 1884. July 30. | Issued Execution & gave Plaintiff returnable within sixty days — |

For value received I hereby assign this judgment to Mary J. Moore.
March 14, 1884.
E. E. Calhoun.

July 30th. 1884. Execution returned Nulla Bona

No. 337.

# In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

James Devine

Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July. 10. | Complaint filed Warrent issued & given to Const. Fanning |
| " 10. | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court – Defendant pleads not guilty – and says he is now ready for trial – but prefers to have ~~trial by~~ Jury – The Court sitting without a Jury – |
| " | James N. Fanning – and P. Le Blanc – & M. McGuire – Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People – Prosecution Closed – Harry Rhodes – Sworn and examined as a witness for the Defendant – Defence Closed – Cause Submitted |
| " 11 | The Court having fully considered this Cause – Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that you the said James Devine be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $21.50 and in default of the payment of said fine that You be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Fresno County – and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period not exceeding Twenty one and one half days – in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine – |

E. H. Hill J. P.

18

No. 738.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Grand Larceny

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Mattie Loubet & Jane Doe — alias Annie Blake, Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July 11. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Const. Le Blanc |
| " | Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights — Cause set down for examination at 2. O.C.P.M. July 14th 1884 — Defendant Committed to the Sheriff in default of Bail — |
| 14. | 2. O.C.P.M. Defendants in Court — and by Consent Cause Continued until 10. O.C.A.M. July the 16th 1884 — Defendant Annie Blake gave Bond, in the Sum of $500 — for her appearance Bond approved and filed — |
| " | 16th 10. O.C.A.M. — Parties Defendants — in Court and ready for examination — J.H. Gearhart appointed by the Court as Short hand reporter in this action — Antone Days and Ge. Rasmussen Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People — Prosecution Closed — Defendants waived the examination of any witness and Submitted their Cause to the Court — The Court took this matter under advisement until 2. O.C.A.M. July 17th 1884 — |
| " | July 18th 1884 — Annie Blake gave Bond in $500 — for appearance — Bond approved & filed — |
| " | 17. 2. O.C.A.M. Defendants in Court, after having fully Considered this Matter. It appearing to the Court that the offence charged in the Complaint and from the evidence adduced on the examination of this action — that on or about the 9th day of July 1884 — at the Town and County of Tuolumne State of California — did wrongfully willfully and feloniously Steal take and Carry away about the Sum of $70 Gold Coin of the United States from the person of one Antone Days — and there is Sufficient Cause to believe that the above named Mattie Loubet and Annie Blake guilty — thereof, & order that they be held to answer to the same — and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne — And that they be admitted to bail in the Sum of $500 each — and on Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne until they give such bail. — J.H. Hill. J.P. |

No. 739.

# In the Justice's Court of Eliid Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

S. H. Griffith — Plaintiff

vs.

William Sutherland — Defendant

Action Damages on Contract

Demand $175-40/100

S. H. Griffith
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Feby. 11. | Complaint filed Summons issued and given to Plaintiff |
| 12. | Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein this day and filed. |
| | Settled by the Parties Cause dismissed J.P. fee Paid |
| | J.P. fees Paid by Plff. |

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 740

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

H. L. Grady — Defendant

Action Assault with a deadly weapon —

Demand $

E. B. Edmands — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|

July 14 Complaint filed. Warrant of arrest issued & Defendant in Court. Pleads Guilty. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Cause set down for trial or examination at 2. O.C.P.M. July the 15th 1884 — Defendant held for examination in a Bond of $8.000.00 Bond approved and filed —

" 15 2 O.C.P.M. Defendant in Court — Parties say they are ready for examination. J. N. Granhart is appointed by the Court to take down the testimony in shorthand in this action. S. S. Wright sworn and examined as a witness by the Prosecution. after which the prosecution closed upon the grounds as stated by the District Atty that such was all the evidence that the prosecution had to rely upon & his knowledge — H. L. Grady was sworn by the Court but not examined upon the grounds that the Court thought the evidence on the part of the Prosecution insufficient to convict the Defendant and that a vindication on the part of the defendant unnecessary after which the Court ordered that the defendant be discharged —

S. H. Hill

J. P.

No. 741

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Yuba

D. H. Hess — Plaintiff

vs.

Gee. Hamm & Co. — Defendants

Action Account for work & labor —

Demand $ 88 60/100

Wharton & Shearer — Attorney for Plaintiff

W. B. Grady — Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July. 17" | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Court Wharton |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment |
| | approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Court Wharton |
| " 22 | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant |
| | together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein. on |
| | the 17th day of July 1884 and filed. |
| " | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed. |
| " " | Stipulation filed. |
| 23. | Now Come the Defendant by his attorney W. B. Grady and files |
| | his Demurrer to the Plaintiff Complaint filed herein. |
| 26" | Demurrer Set down for argument at 10. O.C. A.M. July 28" 1884. |
| 28. | 10. O.C. A.M. Plaintiff in Court. Demurrer Submitted and |
| | overruled. |
| " | Now Come the Defendant by his attorney W.B. Grady and files |
| | his answer to the Plaintiff Complaint filed herein. |
| 29" | Paid into Court this day by G. H. Ballou. the Sum of |
| | $100.65/100 to Remain in Court Subject to the |
| | further order of the Parties in this action. |
| " | By order of the Plaintiff this action is dismissed. Entered in Book |

D. H. Hill J.P.

22

No. 742

# In the Justice's Court of Ithica Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

A. J. Beckwith — Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Donnell — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $45.00/100

Wharton & Shaw
Attorney for Plaintiff

Dupper & Dupper
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July. 17. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Constable Withrow affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Constable Withrow |
| " 23 | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 22d day of July 1884 and filed this day July 23d 1884 |
| " | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed |
| " 25 | Now comes the Defendant by his attorneys Dupper and Dupper & files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein |
| " 28th | Now comes the Plaintiff by his Counsel and files his answer to Defendants Counter Claims on file herein |
| Aug. 30. | By consent of parties this Cause is set down for trial at 10 O.C. A.M. Sept. 6th 1884 |
| " | Defendant files his offer to allow judgment to be taken against him |
| Sept. 2d | Issued Subpoena for the Plaintiff & given Constable |
| Sept 6. | By agreement this case is dismissed at the costs of the defendant. |

Wharton & Shaw
Attys for Plff

No. 743.

# In the Justice's Court of Vinid Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Dempsey — Defendant

Action for Tearing the Fence

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| 1884 July 19th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Deputy Const. De Blanc. |

24

No. 744

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

James Sanders — Defendant

Action Battery —

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July 8th 1884 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given officiant. |

No. 745.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

J. Goldfish Plaintiff

vs.

W. D. Conway Defendant

Action Note & Account

Demand $224.84/100

Dunphy & Dunphy
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July 21st | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Plaintiff Counsel- |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment |
| | approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff Counsel- |
| " 22. | Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant |
| | together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein |
| | on the 21st day of July 1884- and filed- |
| " | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed. |
| " 24. | By Order of Plaintiffs Attorneys this Cause is dismissed- J.P. fees Paid- $5.35 |

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 746.

# In the Justice's Court of Knud Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Joseph Gratis — Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Wilson — Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $50.00/100

Joseph V Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| July | 21. | Complaint filed, Summons issued & given Const. for Fresno |
| Aug. | 16 | Summons returned without service and filed. |
| " | 26 | Now comes the Defendant and waives the service of Summons and confesses appearance in this action. |
| | " | Defendant files his offer to allow Plaintiff to take judgment against him in this action. |
| " | " | Plaintiff |

No. 747.

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

A. J. Bostwick — Plaintiff

vs.

C. S. Anderson — Defendant

Action on Order

Demand $59.25

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| July. | 21st | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Bittleson |
| " | 23d | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein - one the 22d day of July 1884. and filed this day July 23d 1884 - |
| " | 24th | Now Comes the Defendant in proper person and for answer denies each and every material allegation in Plaintiffs Complaint contained. |
| Aug. | 7th | Cause set down for trial at 2. o'c. P.M. Aug. 9th 1884 - |
| " | 9th | 2. o'c. P.M. - Plaintiff in Court - after waiting one full hour for the appearance of the Defendant and the time having fully expired - |
| | | Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid - It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of $59.25 - the Principal Sum sued for in this action - and the further sum of $8.20 - Costs necessarily incurred in this action all in lawful money of the United States — |
| | | Judgment for the Principal $59.25 |
| | | " " Justices Fees - 5.00 |
| | | " " Constables " 3.20 |
| | | Total amount of Judgment " $67.45 |
| | | S. H. Hill J.P. |
| " | 16th | Paid on said Judgment $60.00 - |

No. 748.

# In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

John Jones & Jane Jones his wife — Plaintiffs

vs.

C.G. Hutchison & Mrs Jennie Hutchison his wife — Defendants

Action Jen labor suspend

Demand $25.00

Suppe & Suppe — Attorney for Plaintiff

H.S. Tilton — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884 — PROCEEDINGS.

July. 21. Complaint filed. Summons issued and given Plffs Attorney—

" 22. Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendants together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein—and filed this day—July 22 1884—

" 28" Now comes the Defendant by Attorney H.S. Tilton and files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein—

" Cause set down for argument—for 9. O.C. A.M. July 29th 1884—

" 29th Plaintiff in Court at 9. O.C. A.M—Cause submitted and argued—

" Defendant files his answer to Plaintiff Complaint herein—

" Cause set down for trial at 9. O.C. A.M. July 31st 1884—

" 31st 9. O.C. A.M—Parties in Court—Cause continued until 2 O.C. P.M—

" 2 O.C. P.M. Parties in Court—and ready for trial both Parties waive trial by Jury—Jane Jones and John Jones sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution. Plff. Closed C.G. Hutchison sworn and examined for the defendant—Defendant Closed—Jane Jones recalled in rebuttle—Cause Closed—after argument on behalf of the respective parties—the Cause was submitted to the Court for its deliberation and verdict. The Court took the same under advisement—without day—10. O.C. A.M—The Court having fully Considered this matter—Wherefore by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendants in this action, the sum of $23.00 the Principal, and the further sum of $9.20 Costs necessarily incurred this action in compulsory of the H. D—

Judgment for the Principal — 23.00

" " " Justices fees — 6.00

" " " Constables " 3.20

Total amount of judgment — $32.20

S.A. Hill J.P.

Aug. 11 " 1884. Paid on Judgment by Plaintiff S.A. Hill J.P.

" Defendants allowed a Stay of ten days proceedings—

" Defendant waives the privilege of Cost Bill

No. 748

# In the Justice's Court of Flint Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

G. E. Hartt — Plaintiff

vs.

Catharine Irwin — Defendant

Action Note & Mtge

Demand $157.00/100

Campbell & Hinds — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| July | 21st | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Plaintiff - |
| | " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff - |
| | 22 | By order of the Plaintiff this cause is dismissed |
| | | Justice for Paid — D. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 750-

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno-

P. R. Fanning — Plaintiff

vs.

Milton Jones — Defendant

Action Book account-

Demand $82.31/100-

Geo. E. Church
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| July. | 22. | Complaint filed & summons issued & given Const. Made- |
| " | | Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const- |
| " | 24. | Summons returned & showing personal service on the Defendant- Together with a Copy of the Complaint- On file herein on the 24th day of July 1884- |
| " | | Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed- |
| " | 26. | By order of Plaintiff this Cause is dismissed (C. E. Church appears the Justices fees) S. H. Hill J.P. |
| Aug. | 18. | Justices fees Paid by C. E. Church- |

No. 751.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

J. M. Williams, Defendant

Action Nuisance

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July 22. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const; Made Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant permitted to go at large on his own recognizance. Subject to all orders made hereafter by the Court. |
| Paid | |

No. 752.

# In the Justice's Court of Knight Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Lee A. Blasingame Plaintiff

vs.

S. A. Hasbell Defendant

Action For the recovery of the value of personal property valued at $150 00 Demand $150 00 damages $60 00

Sayle & Harris Attorney for Plaintiff

F. Martin & Shurtz Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| July. | 23. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Court— Mtd— |
| " | 24. | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 24th day of July 1884 and filed this day— |
| " | 28. | Now comes the Defendant by his attorneys F. Martin & Shurtz and files his answer to Plaintiff's Complaint herein— |
| Aug. | 22. | By consent of Parties this Cause is Set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Aug. the 30th 1884— |
| | 23. | Issued Subpoena for the Defendant & given Court. |
| " | 26. | Issued Subpoena for Plaintiff & given Court. Mtd— |
| " | 31st. | 10. O.C. A.M. Subpoenas returned and filed— |
| " | | Parties in Court and both parties waive trial by jury and say they are ready to proceed with the trial of this action— L. A. Blasingame sworn and examined as a witness on behalf of the Plaintiff. Presentation Beals sworn et— Eric Johnson— C. A. Hemy— Olof Johnson— H. B. Choice & S. A. Hasbell. Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Defendant— Defendant Rested— |
| | | J. M. Rose and E. L. Davis sworn as witnesses for Plaintiff in rebuttle— |
| | | Eric Johnson called in rebuttle by the Defendant Cause closed— with the understanding that the Plaintiff is allowed five days in which to file his Brief— Defendant allowed five days in which to answer— Plaintiff brief— and Plaintiff allowed 2½— days in which to answer Defendants Brief— |
| Sept. | 5th. | Filed Stipulation Continuing time to file Briefs— |
| " | 15. | Briefs all filed— The Court took the matter under advisement— |
| Oct. | 15. | The Court after having fully considered this matter— Therefore by reason of the Law and the evidence and all of the premises aforesaid. It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover judgment against the Defendant— for the recovery of the possession of said goods and Chattels. |

See Page— 36.

No. 753.

# In the Justice's Court of Linda Township,

## of the State of California, County of Yuba

C B Bain — Plaintiff

vs.

Yuba County — Defendant

Action account for work and labor performed

Demand $ 63.75/100

Dayle & Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

E B Edmands — Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July 24 | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Hamada — |
| " | Summons returned showing personal service on H.C. Hamilton Chairman of the Board of Supervisors together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein and filed — |
| 25. | Now Come the Defendant by its Attorney E.B. Edmands and files its Demurrer to Plaintiffs Complaint herein — |
| Nov. 5th | Parties in Court — Demurrer argued — Court took the matter under advisement without delay — |
| 10th | The Court having fully Considered the Demurrer in this action — Ovr rules the Same — Defendant allowed ten days in which to answer — Defendant Excepts to the ruling of the Court on Demurrer — |
| " 12th | Now Come the Defendant by its Attorney and files its answer Denying each and every material allegation in Plaintiffs Complaint Contained — |
| " | Cause Set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Nov the 18th 1884 — |
| " 18th | 10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — The hearing of this action Continued until 2. O.C. P.M. this day — |
| " | 2. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court — and ready for the trial of this action. C.B. Bain, Sworn and examined as a witness — in his own behalf — and cross examined by the Defendant — Cause Submitted to the Court for its Consideration and decision — The Court took the matter under advisement — |
| " 22nd | The Court having fully Considered this Matter — Whereupon by reason of the Law and the evidence and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendant the Sum of Eighty three and 75/100 Dollars the Principal Sum due — and the Sum of Eight Dollars Costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action in Lawful money of the United States — |
| | Judgment for the Principal and Costs. $91.75 |
| | D.H. Hill Jr. P. — |
| " | Defendant allowed ten days in which to prepare Bill of Exceptions — |

See Page 34.

No. 754.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Battery

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

J. F. Bartlett -

Defendant

Demand $

E. S. Edwards
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July 24. | Complaint filed Warrant & gvn Const Bittleson - |
| " 26. | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his right before the Court. Defendant pleads not guilty as Charged - Cause set down for trial at 10. O.C. A. M. July 31st 1884 - Defendant held for his appearance in a bond of Fifty Dollars - Bond approved and filed - Defendant demands trial by jury - |
| " 30. | Jurors venire & gvn Const - Bittleson - |
| " 31st | 10. O.C. A. M. Defendant in Court - Venire returned & filed - Defendant asks to withdraw his plea of Not guilty and to plead guilty as Charged - and waives the Legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced Now. Whereupon by reason of the Record The premises aforesaid. it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you the said J. F. Bartlett be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $10 00 - Defendant at once paid said fine and was thereupon discharged - Paid $4 80 - to Constable. - |
| | S. H. Wells J.P. - |

Book
Page 33.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1884. Dec. | 6. | Defendant duly files its notice of appeal to the Superior |
| 1885 Jan | 3. | Court - J.P. fees Paid by Defendant. |

No. 755

# In the Justice's Court of Flint Township,

## of the State of California, County of Genesee

W. L. Grady — Plaintiff

vs.

Richard Jones — Defendant

Action Book account for goods wares & merchandise sold & delivered

Demand $299.00/100

W. L. Grady — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July 24. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Court Marshal |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Court Marshal |
| 29. | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 28th day of July 1884. and filed this day — |
| " | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed — |
| May 1st | Now comes the Defendant Richard Jones and files his sworn statement authorizing the entry of judgment against him in the above entitled action — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid. It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff W. L. Grady do have and recover of and from the Defendant Richard Jones the Sum of Two Hundred Ninety Nine ($299.00) Dollars the amount claimed by said Plaintiff against the said Defendant on the fourth Sum of $20.55/11 Cost necessarily incurred in this action in lawful Money of the United States — |
| " | Judgment for the Principal 299.00 |
| " | " " Justices fees — 8.75 |
| " | " " Constables " — 11.80 |
| | Total amount of Judgment — $319.55 |
| | S. A. Hill J. P. — |
| " | Issued Execution returnable within sixty days given Court Marshal — |
| 11 22. | Execution returned not satisfied — and filed — |

No. 756

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

G. Verdier Morlan & Co — Plaintiffs

vs.

S Hollander — Defendant

Action Account for goods sold and delivered

Demand $63.40/100

Duppa & Duppa
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| July. | 25th | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff atty |
| " | " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff atty — |
| " | 26th | By order of Plaintiffs attorney this Cause is disp — J. C. $3.00 |
| Aug. | 30. | Justice fee Paid by H. G. Duppa $3.00 S. H. Hill J.P. |

Trans. Page 32.

or for the sum of $150.00 — the value thereof — in case a delivery cannot be had — and for the sum of $50.00 — damages alleged to have been sustained by Plaintiff for the removal of said Goods and Chattels — and the further sum of $30.95/100 — Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action — in favor of the United States —

" Judgment for the Principal sum the return of the goods & Chattels — or the sum of — $150.00

" " " for damages — 50 00

" " " Justices fees — 8.95

" " " Constables " 15.20

" Witness J. M. Ross — 1 — day — 2.00

" " Cole Davis — " " 2.00

" " S. L. Davis " " 4 Miles — 2.80

Total amount of Judgment. $230.95

S. H. Hill J.P.

" Defendant allowed ten days Stay of Proceedings

17. Plaintiff filed his Cost — bill $30.95/100 —

Oct. 21st Defendant filed his Notice and Undertaking on appeal to the Superior Court — " Justices paid by Defendant. $15.00

No. 757.

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Donahue Beesley & Co — Plaintiffs

vs.

Richard Jones — Defendant

Action Note & Hand

Demand $263.52/100 —

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July 24th | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Made- |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment |
| | approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & to Const. Made |
| " 29th | Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant |
| | together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on |
| | the 28th day of July 1884 and filed this day — |
| " | Writ of attachment & levied returned and filed — |
| Aug. 1st | Now Comes the Defendant Richard Jones and files his |
| | Sworn statement answering the only of Judgment |
| | against him in the above entitled action — |
| " | Thereupon by reason of the Law and the premises |
| | afore said It is ordered and adjudged that the |
| | Plaintiff Donahue Beesley and Co do have and |
| | recover of and from the Defendant Richard Jones |
| | the Sum of Two Hundred and Sixty three 52/100 |
| | ($263 52/100) Dollars — The Principal and the Sum of |
| | $16.68 — interest thereon and the further Sum of $19.55 |
| | Costs necessarily incurred in this action in |
| | Lawful Money of the United States — |

| | |
|---|---|
| " Judgment for the Principal | 263.52 |
| " " " Interest thereon — | 16.68 |
| " " " Costs for — | 8.75 |
| " " " Constable " — | 10.80 |
| Total Amount of Judgment — | $299.75 |

S. H. Hill J.P.

" Issued Execution returnable within Sixty days & gave Const. Mandate —

30th Execution returned not Satisfied —

No. 758.

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Michael C. Flanz
Defendant

Action Burglary in the day time

Demand $

Leigh J. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July 27 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & Defendant arrested & brought in Court. Read Complaint to Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant held for examination in a bond of $150 Cash. |
| " 28 | Cause set down for examination at 11 O.C. A.M. July 29th 1884 |
| " 29 | 11 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and ready for examination. Annie Flanz and Fred Fromm Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution after which the Prosecution closed. Defendant waived examination and submitted his Cause. Court took the same under advisement. |
| 30 | 11 O.C. A.M. The Court after Carefully Considering this matter orders that the defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is not sufficient to commit. |

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 759

# In the Justice's Court of Stuart Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
John Rourke
Defendant

Action Burglary in the day time

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July 27 | Complaint filed Warrant issued & Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Law. Cause set down for examination July 29th 1884 at 2. o'c. P. M. this day. |
| " 29th | 2. o'c. P. M. Defendant in Court and ready for examination. Joseph Schell. Named Justice. O. J. Meade & H. C. Suppes Sworn and examined as witnesses for the prosecution. John Rourke Sworn and examined as a witness in his own behalf. Cause Closed. The Court took the matter under advisement until 9. o'c A. M. July 30th 1884. |
| " 30 | 9. o'c. A. M. Defendant in Court. The Court having fully considered this matter & the order that the Defendant be and he is hereby discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to commit. |

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 764

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Butte

W. F. Byars — Plaintiff

vs.

Joe Rodgers — Defendant

Action For work and labor performed

Demand $46.50/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July 28 | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Made affidavit for attachment Made Filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Made |
| " | |
| " | |
| 31 | Defendant paid in this action the sum of $57.50 |

No. 741

# In the Justice's Court of Fresno Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Misdemeanor

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

A. J. Bartlett Arthur Jones — Defendant

Demand $

J. R. Webb — Attorney for Plaintiff

W. L. Bragg — Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July. 28 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & in Court. Defendant A. J. Bartlett in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads Not guilty as charged. Defendant held for trial in a bond of $100.00. Bond approved and filed. |
| " 29 | Defendant Arthur Jones in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Cause set down for trial at 10 O.C. A.M. July 30th 1884. |
| " | Defendants demand trial by jury. Venire issued. |
| 30 | 10 O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court. Defendants file their demurrer to the Complaint. Demurrer submitted & overruled. Exceptions. |
| | Defendant A. J. Bartlett demands a separate trial. Overruled. |
| | Defendant Arthur Jones Pleads not guilty. Defendant ready for trial. & so the People ready. Jurors drawn to answer questions as to qualification. After which by consent of parties the following jurors were drawn to try the cause viz; J. C. Hewitt D. N. Burch. S. L. McNeil M. Watts. Jere Ryan. J. A. Blasingame. R. J. Barnett. C. A. Beasley S. R. G. Hearn. John Church and Thomas Cargile. Absent Henry Lee. Empanneled. D. N. Henry. Wm. Adams, & M. S. Babb. Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People. Pierce Catlin Resworn. A. J. Bartlett sworn and examined in his own behalf. Defendant Rested. After argument of Counsel pro. and con. The Cause was given the Jury for their deliberation and verdict. After a short retirement the Jury were returned in to Court by the proper officer of this Court with the following verdict, We the Jury in the Cause of the People against A. J. Bartlett. do find the defendant Not guilty. C. A. Beasley Foreman. Defendant discharged. |
| | We the Jury in the Cause of the People against A. Jones. do find the defendant guilty and recommend him to the Leniency of the Court. C. A. Beasley Foreman. Defendant waived the Legal time for sentence and ordered to be sentenced now. Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Arthur Jones be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $27.50 cts and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Fresno County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period not exceeding 27 1/2 days in the County Jail of said County, in the discretion of the Court, days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine. |
| " | |
| " | |

No. 762

# In the Justice's Court of Flume Township,

## of the State of California, County of Nevada

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

S. W. Henry — Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| July 30. 1864 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Meade. |

No. 763.

# In the Justice's Court of Stud Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Joe Gutke & Ettie Clark
Defendant

Action Shooting off Pistols in an unincorporated Town

Demand $

E. B. Edwards
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| July. | 31. | Complaint filed & warrant issued & given Constable Williams — |
| Aug. | 4 | Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Law Defendants both plead not guilty as Charged — Cause set down for trial at 2 O.C. P.M. Aug the 5th 1884 — |
| Paid | 5, | 2. O.C. P.M. Defendants and ready for examination |
| | " | Buttie Haynes Geo. Canfield Louis Hahn John Humphrey Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People after which the prosecution closed — Defendants offered no evidence — Cause submitted — The Court after fully considering the matter finds the Defendants guilty as Charged — and orders that said defendants appear for Sentence at 9. O.C. A.M. Aug the 6th 1884 |
| | 6. | 9. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court — This being the time fixed for Sentence. Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid, It is ordered and adjudged — That for said offence, that you and each you Joe Gutke and Ettie Clark — be and you an each of you hereby fined in the sum of $22.50 each — Defendants prayed said fine be & once is changed — |

Paid Constable $30 — Paid Court $7.50 — S. H. Hill

No. 764.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

G. F. Farmer — Plaintiff

vs.

North Western Car Manufacturing Company — Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $299.00/100

H. A. Gray — Attorney for Plaintiff

Martin & Shaw — Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| July. | 31. | Complaint filed & Summons issued & given Constable |
| Sept. | 8. | Now comes the Defendant by his attorneys Martin & Shaw and file demurrer to the Complaint herein filed — |
| " | 15. | Demurrer Submitted and overruled — |
| " | " | Plaintiff files his amended Complaint by Consent — |
| " | 17. | Defendant files his answer to Plaintiffs amended Complaint on file herein — |
| " | 25. | By Consent of Parties this Cause is set down for trial at 11. O C. H. on Oct. 9th 1884 |
| Oct. | 9. | Now comes the Plaintiff by his attorney H. A Gray and grants a warrant — in this action |

S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 765

# In the Justice's Court of Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Battery

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Burkie Haynes

Defendant

Demand $

E. B. Edwards
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| July. | 31 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Withers |
| Aug. | 1st | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against her and of her rights before the Law. Defendant pleads not guilty. Cause Set down for trial at 10. O.C. A. M. Aug. the 5th 1884. Defend and gave Bond in the sum of $50 Cts. for his appearance Bond approved & filed. |
| | 5 | 10. O.C.A.M. Defendant in Court and ready for trial Eve Smith. Ettie Clark. Lizzie Lambeth. and Anne Blake Sworn and examined as witnesses by the People Prosecution Rests. |
| | " | Burkie Haynes. and Brad Brown and examined as witness for the Defendant. Defense Rests. |
| | . | The Court after hearing the evidence pro and con. finds the Defendant Burkie Haynes guilty as Charged and ordered that the Defendant appear for Sentence at 6. O.C.P.M. Aug 5th 1884. |
| | " | 3. O.C.P.M. Defendant in Court. time of Sentence Continued until 9. O.C.A.M. Aug. 6th 1884. |
| | 6" | 9. O.C.A.M. Defendant in Court. This being the time fixed for Sentence. Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid. It is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Burkie Haynes. that you be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $25 Cts. and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby Committed to the Sheriff of Tuolumne County. and that said Sheriff imprison you in the County Jail of said County for a period not exceeding twenty five and 1/11 of days. in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine. |

S. H. Hill

No. 764

# In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Yuba

Action Broad and Lodging

Jere Warren — Plaintiff

vs.

R. E. Lee — Defendant

Demand $214.99/100

E. E. Calhoun — Attorney for Plaintiff

E. B. Edmonds — Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| July | 31. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given in Court. Mandate |
| | " | Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein - on this day and filed - |
| Aug | 2. | Now comes the Defendant by his attorney E. B. Edmonds and files his demurrer to the Plaintiff Complaint herein. Also a demand for an itemized account. |
| " | 4 | Plaintiff files his bill of items demanded by Defendant. |
| " | 7" | Parties in Court - Demurrer Submitted and overruled - Defendant - by Consent of Plaintiff allowed until Aug the 9" in which to answer Plaintiff Complaint herein - |
| " | 8" | Defendant files his answer to the Plaintiff Complaint herein - |

No. 767.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Joe. Vornet — Plaintiff

vs.

M. J. Vergara. — Defendant

Action Note & Account

Demand $23.80/100

Suppes & Suppes
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| 1884 | |
| Aug. 9th | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Plaintiff Constable. |

No. 768.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ellen Pipen
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 1st | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. for Blair |
| " | Defendant in Court. Committed to the Sheriff in default |
| | of bail to await her trial. |
| Aug. 2nd | " Defendant discharged. |

J. R. Hill J.P.

No. 769.

# In the Justice's Court of Stuart Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Adrian Walsh, Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

E. S. Edwards, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 2 | Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. Meade- |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against |
| Pleads | him and of his rights Defendant pleads guilty as Charged and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be sentenced now — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid — It is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Adrian Walsh be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $20.00 Defendant also paid said fine into Court and was thereupon discharged — Paid Dist. Atty. $10.00 — Paid Court $2.50 — S. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 770

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Eugene Dunlap — Defendant

Action Obtaining personal property by fraud —

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1864 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Aug. | 3 | Complaint filed Warrant issued and given officer. |
| " | 4 | Dist Comes The District Attorney and Moves the Court to dismiss This action upon the grounds that after due inquiry that the evidence is not sufficient to convict — |
| Pd | | S. A. Holt J.P. |

No. 771

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action Account

Wm. Mcholkoville
Plaintiff

vs.

L. Popovich
Defendant

Demand $ 49.70/100

Duprez & Duprez
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 4 | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Plaintiff's Counsel. |
| " | Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment |
| " | approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued upon affidavit. |
| " | Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein and filed this day. Writ of attachment executed returned and filed — |
| 12 | In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said Defendant in the premises is duly entered according to law — |
| " | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein and Legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said Defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this on application of Duprez & Duprez attorneys for said Plaintiff's. It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein — |
| " | Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of $40.41/100 the Principal and the further sum of $14.80 Costs necessarily incurred in this action — in Lawful money of the United States — and the further sum of $15.00 attorneys fees — to be made a part of this Judgment — |
| " | Judgment for the Principal and interest as aforesaid $ 40.41 |
| | " " " Costs as aforesaid 14.80 |
| | " " " Attorneys " 15.00 |
| " | Total amount of Judgment $ 120.21 |

Costs - Paid — in Gold —

D.H. Mikesell

No. 772

# In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Lyon — Defendant

Action Grand Larceny.

Demand $

E. B. Edwards — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 4 | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable Le Blanc — |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Cause set down for trial & exam. this A.D. C. P. M. Aug. 5th 1884 — |
| 5. | A.D.C.P.M. Defendant in Court — and says he is ready for examination — |
| Paid | P. Le Blanc and Charles Breed — sworn and for the People — Prosecution closed — |
| | Defendant examined under oath in his own behalf — Cause Submitted — The Court takes the matter under advisement until 2 O.C.P.M. Aug 6th 1884 — |
| " 6th | 2. O.C.P.M. Defendant — in Court. The Court after having fully considered this matter — and it appearing to me that Crime of Petit Larceny has been committed — and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named Thomas Lyon guilty thereof — & therefore order that he be held for trial before this Court. Cause set down for trial at 9. O.C.A.M. Aug the 7th 1884 — Defendant demands trial by Jury — Venire issued & given Court. |
| " 7. | 9. O.C.A.M. Defendant in Court — and ready for trial Jurors drawn & by the Court — Bishop Brown and Examined — Juro and Cu — Cause Submitted to the Jury for their deliberation and verdict — without argument. After a short retirement the Jury was returned in to Court by the proper officer of this Court — with the following verdict — We the Jury find the Defendant Not guilty — Hugh Craig foreman The Court ordered that the Defendant be discharged |

S. R. Hill J.P.

No. 773

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Gamble, Defendant

Action assault with a deadly weapon

Demand $

E. B. Edmonds, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Aug | 4 | Complaint filed Warrant issued and given to Const. LeBlanc |
| " | | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Cause set down for examination at 2 O.C.P.M. Aug 5th 1884 |
| Paid | 5 | 2 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court ready for Examination. Sam Lee and P. LeBlanc Sworn and examined as witness for the People after which the prosecution closed. John Gamble and Thomas William Sworn and examined as witness for the Defendant. Cause closed. The Court took the matter under advisement until 2 O.C. P.M. Aug 6th 1884. |
| " | 6 | 2 O.C.P.M. Defendant in Court. The Court after having fully considered this matter and it appearing to me that the Crime of assault a Misdemeanor has been committed and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named John Gamble guilty thereof. & Therefore order that he be held for trial before this Court. Cause set down for trial at 1 O.C.P.M. Aug 7th 1884. Defendant demands trial by Jury. Issue issued & given. Cause. |
| | 7 | 2 O.C.P.M. Defendant in Court. Venire and Subpoena returned and filed. Defendant and the People ready for trial. Jurors sworn to answer questions as to qualification after which by consent the following Jurors were sworn to try the Cause Viz: Jury Ryan. G. R. G. Glenn. N. B. Bennett. Frank Wigmore. D. B. Combs. J. A. Blasingame. Lee Austin. H. B. Chrisler. L. Bare. C. M. Jones. & N. J. Bixby. Sam Lee and P. Le Blanc sworn and examined as witness for the People. Prosecution closed. John Gamble and Thomas Williams sworn and examined as witnesses for the Defendant. Defense closed. After argument of Counsel for the respective parties the Cause was given to the Jury for their deliberation and verdict. — See Page 54 |

54

No. 774.

# In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

O. G. Meade — Plaintiff

vs.

Stefan Spano — Defendant

Action Account for Rent

Demand $62.22½

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 4. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Plaintiff |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on Attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff |
| 6" | Paid in full - by Defendant - |
| | S. H. Hill. J. P. |
| Inyo | |
| Page 53 - | After a short retirement the Jury were returned into Court - with the following verdict - We the Jury find the Defendant guilty as charged and recommend him to the mercy of the Court. H. C. Symmes. Foreman |
| " | The Court ordered that the Defendant appear for sentence at 9. O.C. A.M. Aug the 8th 1884 - and recommitted to the Custody of the Sheriff until said time - |
| Aug. 8" | 9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court, this being the time for sentence - Whereupon by reason of the Verdict and the premises aforesaid, It is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you John Gamble be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $24.00 - and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Inyo and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period not exceeding twenty four days - in the proportion of one day's imprisonment for every dollar of said fine - |
| | S. H. Hill J. P. |

No. 775.

# In the Justice's Court of Ford Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Sullivan, Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 6th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Const. De Blanc |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights. Cause set down for examination at 2. O.C. P.M. Aug 6th 1884 |
| Paid | |
| " | 2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court - and ready for his examination. E. J. Green, Jacob Rothen, Mophet, Louis Hahn, John Mapley - Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People - after which the prosecution closed - Mary Sullivan, and Mrs Edwards - Sworn and examined as witnesses for the defense - Cause Closed |
| " | The Court after hearing fully considered the matter - And it appearing to me that the offence charged in the Complaint on file herein - has been committed - that - on or about the 5th day of August - 1884 - the above named Defendant did wilfully and feloniously take Steal and Carry away from the person of one Jacob Rothen the sum of $4.25 in Silver Coin of the United States - and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named John Sullivan guilty thereof - & Order that he be held to answer to the same - and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno - And that he be admitted to bail in the sum of $500 Cts. and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until he give such bail |
| | E. H. Hill J.P. |
| " | Papers handed the Dist Atty - |

56

No. 776.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
D. B. Woods, Defendant

Action Assault with intent to Commit Murder

Demand $

E. D. Edwards, Attorneys for Plaintiff
Tupper & Tupper, Attorneys for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Aug. | 7th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Meade |
| | 8th | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant held for examination in a bond of $500 Cts. Bond approved and filed. Cause set down for Examination at 11. O.C. A. M. Aug 16th 1884. |
| Pd | 11th | Issued Subpoenas for the People & Defendant. |
| | 15th | Issued Subpoena for the Defendant. |
| " | 16th | 11. O.C. A. M. Defendant in Court. Cause Continued until 2. O.C.P.M. Aug 16th /74 |
| " | | 2. O.C.P.M. Defendant in Court, and ready for trial. A. B. Butler and E. S. Heron Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution after which the Prosecution Rests. |
| | | D. B. Woods Sworn and examined as a witness for the defence. After which the defence rests. Cause argued and Submitted to the Court for its decision. The Court took the same under advisement until 2. O.C.P.M. Aug. 19th 1884. |
| " | 19th | 2. O.C.P.M. The Court after having fully Considered this Matter and it appearing to me from the evidence adduced on the examination of this cause that on or about the 21st day of July 1884 at the County of Fresno State of California the above named Defendant did unlawfully assault one A. B. Butler and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the said Defendant D. B. Woods guilty thereof. It is Ordered that he be held to answer to the same before this Court. Defendant pleads guilty as charged by the Court and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now. Whereupon by reason of the law and of the premises aforesaid, It is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you D. B. Woods be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $100 Cts. Defendant at once paid said fine into Court & thereupon discharged. Paid Const. Meade $3.50 Paid Dist. Atty. $10 Cts. |

S. H. Hill J. P.

Paid A. Williamson Constable $12 Cts. Aug. 30th 1884

No. 177.

# In the Justice's Court of Ford Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Mary Eder

Defendant

Action Insanity

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| May | 6th | Upon the the affidavit of Samuel Ivey of the County State aforesaid Warrant issue for the arrest of one Mary Eder returnable before the Hon S.B. Holmes Judge of the Superior Court of the County of Tuolumne and give as Bail |
| | | S. H. Hill J.P. |

58

No. 776.

# In the Justice's Court of Hunt Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action Battery

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

H. G. Wilson

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 4" | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Hutchinson |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against |
| Pd $5 | him and of his rights before the Law. Defendant pleads |
| | not guilty as charged — Cause set down for trial |
| | at 3. O.C. P.M. Aug 9th 1884 — Defendant held for his |
| | appearance in the Sum of $25 — |
| " | Cause dismissed — |

J. H. Hall J.P.

No. 779.

# In the Justice's Court of Jhird Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Franklin Wilson
Defendant

Action Vis Trespassing the Law

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 9. | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. |
| " 11. | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Law. Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now. |
| Paid | Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid. It is ordered and adjudged, that for said offence that you Franklin Wilson be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $6.50 Defendant at once fined said fine into Court & discharged. Said Court's $2.00 |

J. H. Hill J.P.

60

No. 784

# In the Justice's Court of Grant Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Franklin Wilson
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 9. | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given in Court: Made |
| " 11" | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be sentenced now — |
| " | Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid, It is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Franklin Wilson be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $62.50 — Defendant also ordered paid said fine into Court — & is charged — |
| paid | Paid — Court. $2.50 — |

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 781

# In the Justice's Court of Flint Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne.

H. Trowsbury. Plaintiff

vs.

H. S Chubb Defendant

Action Account.

Demand $137.50/100

Sayle & Haas
Attorney for Plaintiff

Snyder & Snyder
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Aug. | 9. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Whitehouse |
| | " | affidavit for attachment made and filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued and given Const. Whitehouse |
| | 13" | Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 12th day of Aug. 1884 and filed this day |
| | " | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed |
| Sept. | 5" | Plaintiff files his order to dismiss this action Whereupon by reason of Plaintiff order this Cause is hereby dismissed at Plaintiff Cost |
| | | Cost paid by Plaintiff atty. M. K. Haas in full $14.60 — J. H. Hill J.P. |

62

No. 782.

# In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

## of the State of California, County of Mendo

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

James Dunkins, Defendant

Action Assault.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 9th | I ordered Sheriff Lemahon - Sheriff of the County of Mendo State of California - to arrest and bring into Court the said Defendant - James Dunkins who was committing or attempting to commit a Public offense in my immediate presence |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him - Defendant plead guilty as charged - and waived the legal time for Sentence and asked to be Sentenced now - |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you James Dunkins be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $20 Cts - and in default of the payment of said fine - that you be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Mendo and by said Sheriff imprisoned the County Jail of said County for a period Not Exceeding twenty days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine - |
| | A. Dunbar assumed the payment of said fine upon which the Defendant James Dunkins was discharged - |

S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 783.

# In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Burglary

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe. (Chinaman) alias Ah Yuen — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Aug. | 11. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Wade — |
| " | " | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Cause set down for examination at 10. o'c. A. M. Aug 14th 1884 — |
| Paid | | Defendant held for his appearance in a Bond of Two Hundred Dollars — Bond approved and filed — |
| | 13. | Issued Subpoenas for the People and Defendant — |
| | 14. | Defendant examined and acquitted upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to connect. |

D. H. Hill J.P.

64

No. 784.

# In the Justice's Court of Linden Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

W. D. Reed — Plaintiff

vs.

B. B. Bolen — Defendant

Action Book account

Demand $61 43/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 12 | Complaint filed — |

No. 7857

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

C. F. & C. Long Plaintiff

vs.

H. Schell

Defendant

Action Account

Demand $17.25/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 12th | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Made |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued and given Const. Made |
| " | Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein - this day & filed |
| " | Writ of attachment executed returned & filed |
| Sept. 1st | This cause paid in full by P. R. Denny |

S. H. Hill J.P.

66

No. 766.

# In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Account.

Donahoe Beesley & Co Plaintiffs

vs.

J. J. Simpkins Defendant

Demand $ 90.28/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 12 | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff |
| " | Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff |
| 13 | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein this day and filed— |
| " | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed— |
| " 21 | In this action the Defendant having been regularly and regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said Defendant in the premises is duly duly entered according to Law — |
| " | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein, and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of said Defendant in the premises having duly entered according to Law — Now at this day on appearance of Donahoe Beesly & Co. in proper person It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiffs do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of $90.28/100 the Principal sum for and the further sum of $12.90/100 Costs necessarily incurred in this action in lawful money of the U. S. |
| " | Judgment for the Principal $90.28 |
| | " " Justices fees 8.50 |
| | " " Constables " 4.40 |
| | Total amount of Judgment $103.18 |

S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 787

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

J. Chevalier & Co. — Plaintiffs

vs.

J. J. Cole & G. H. Loomis — Defendants

Action Account.

Demand $299.99/100

W. S. Grady — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 13" | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Plaintiff's Atty. |
| " | Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plff's Atty. |
| " 19" | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendants together with a copy of the Complaint annexed filed herein one the 14th day of Aug. 1884. and filed this day. |
| " | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed. |
| 20" | In this action the Defendants having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said Defendants in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law. |
| " | In this action the Defendants having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said Defendants in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of W. S. Grady attorney for said Plaintiff's. It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said Defendants in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein. Wherefor by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiffs do have and recover of and from the said Defendants the sum of $299.99/100 the Principal and the further sum of $45.30/100 Costs necessarily incurred in this action in lawful money of the United States. |
| | Judgment for the Principal — $299.99 |
| | " " " Justices Fee. 8.50 |
| | " " " Sheriffs " 36.80 |
| | Total Amount of Judgment — $345.29 |
| | D. K. Hill J. P. |
| | Issued Execution and gave to Dept. Sheriff Barker. |

J. P. Fees Paid by W. S. Grady
" Sheriff's " " " "
Execution returned Satisfied.

No. 788.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Threats –

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Riley Armstrong Defendant

Demand $

Tuppen & Tuppen Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug 14. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Meade |
| " 16" | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant does not Controvert the Charge – |
| Pariv | Wherefor by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid. It is ordered and adjudged that the Defendant Riley Armstrong be and he is hereby required to enter into an undertaking in the Sum of Five Hundred ($500.00) Dollars – to keep the peace towards the People of this State and particularly towards Charles O'Neal – the informer – Bond given & approved – and Ordered the County Clerk for file in his office – |
| | S. H. Hill J. P. – |

No. 789

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe - alias Charles Emmons

Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 14. | Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Sheff. Court Le. Blanc. |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law - Defendant pleades guilty as Charged in the Complaint - and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now. Wherefore by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid - It is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Charles Emmons be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $10.00 - and in default of the payment of said fine that be and are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Fresno County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period not exceeding Ten days - in the proportion of One day imprisonment for every Dollar of said fine - |
| Paid | S. H. Hill - J. P. |

No. 799.

# In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Richard Boc. alias
Thomas Lyon —
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 14 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & ret. Defd. Court. Le Blanc. |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against |
| 8 o'clock | him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads |
| | guilty as charged — |
| | Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 o'c. |
| | A. M. Aug 15th 1884 — |

No. 791

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

M. C. Berry — Defendant

Action Assault with intent to commit Murder

Demand $

E. T. Edmands
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 14th | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. Le Blanc |
| 15th | Cause set down for Examination at 2. O.C.P.M. Aug 15th/84 — Issued Subpoena for the People & defendant — |
| " | 2. O.C.P.M. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court, Defendant says he is ready for examination — Annie Berry and Louisa Brown sworn and examined as witness for the Prosecution — and closed — Defendant offered no testimony — Cause closed — |
| " | The Court after hearing the evidence and having fully Considered the Same — And It appearing to me from the evidence adduced on the examination of Said Defendant — that on or about the 14th day of August 1884 — at the night time — in the Town & County of Fresno State of California — that Some one did wilfully unlawfully and violently — Shoot in and through a certain House situated in the Town & County and State aforesaid fire distinct Shots and did then and there Shoot — and wound one Geo. E. Bennett a human being — and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named M. C. Berry guilty thereof — & order that he be held to answer to the Same — and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno. and that he be admitted to bail in the Sum of $10.000.00 and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until he give such bail — |
| | J. H. Hill J.P. |
| | Papers handed the District Attorney — |

72

No. 792.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe alias Ge. Bunz
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug 15 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Ge. Blanc- |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads not guilty as charged. Cause set down for trial at 2 o'c. P. M. Aug the 18th 1884. Defendant held for his appearance in a Bond of $50.00 |
| paid | |
| " | Defendant in Court and asks to withdraw his plea of not guilty and to plead guilty and waives the legal time for sentence and asks to be sentenced now. Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid. It is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you Ge. Bunz be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $5.00 Defendant at once paid said fine and was thereupon discharged. Paid Sept. Court $2.50 |

S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 793.

# In the Justice's Court of Ithurel Township,

## of the State of California, County of Yuba

Action Damages—

Ida. Bergham. Plaintiff

vs.

The Central Pacific Rail Road Company— Defendant

Demand $250 00/100

F. V. Gray— Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Aug. | 15. | Complaint filed. Summons issued upon Plaintiff's demand |
| " | 30th | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 25th day of Aug. 1884 in the County of San Francisco State of California and filed this day. |
| Sept. | 4th | By order of Plaintiff's attorney this cause is dismissed at Plaintiff's cost. "J.P.'s fees paid by F. V. Gray." |

D. H. Hill J. P.

74

No. 794.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Butte

W. L. Grady — Plaintiff

vs.

M. C. Terry. — Defendant

Action Account.

Demand $20.40/100

W. L. Grady
Attorney for Plaintiff

Doyle & Harris
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Aug. | 15 | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Mende |
| " | | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Mende. |
| " | 18 | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein - this day and filed - |
| " | | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed |
| " | 22 | Now comes the Defendant by his attorneys Doyle & Harris and files his demurrer to the Plff's Complaint herein - |
| " | 25 | By order of Plaintiff this cause is dismissed |

E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 794

# In the Justice's Court of 3" Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Borello

Defendant

Action Battery —

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug- 16" | Complaint- filed. Warrant- issued & in Court- Made- |
| " | Defendant- in Court and informed of the Charge against- him |
| | and of his rights- before the Court- Defendant- pleads |
| Paid | Not- guilty- as charged- Defendant- held to answer in |
| | a Bond of Twenty- five Dollars for his appearance — |
| " 19" | This Cause Set- down for trial at- 2. o'c. P. M. Aug 20" 1884 — |
| | Defendant- demands trial by jury- Venire issued and |
| | Sub- poenas for the People and Defendant & in Court- |
| 20" | 2. o'c. P. M. Defendant- in Court- and ready for |
| | trial- Venire returned and filed — Defendant- |
| | tried by Jury- Acquitted & discharged- Said Court, [illegible] — |

S. H. Hill Jr.

76

No. 796.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Yoe Smith
Defendant

Action assault

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug 16 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & in Court. Made- |
| " 19 | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads not guilty as charged and bailed to answer in a Bond of Twenty five Dollars. |
| " 25 | On motion of the District Attorney this Cause is dismissed |

S. H. Hall J.P.

No. 797

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

William Lynch — Plaintiff

vs.

Thos E. Hughes — Defendant

Action Money loaned

Demand $100.00/100

R. B. Fenix
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| May. 14" | Complaint filed. Summons issued & in Const. Made— |
| " | Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein and filed this — day— |
| " 29 | By order of Plaintiff this Cause is dismissed— Cost paid by Defendant— |

D. H. Hall J.P.

78

No. 798.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

The Singer Manufacturing Company — Plaintiff

vs.

Julia A. Travis — Defendant

Action Note & Chattel Mortgage

Demand $ 50.00/100

J. R. Webb — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug 14" | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Constable Meade. |
| " | Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Constable Meade |
| " 26" | By order of the Plaintiff this Cause is dismissed. Justices fees Paid by Plaintiff. |

S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 799.

# In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

## of the State of California, County of Mendo

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe - alias Ed. Emery - Defendant

Action Malicious Mischief

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Aug. | 19. | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Deputy Sheriff Barton. |
| " | 21" | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads guilty as charged - and waives the Legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now - Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Edward Emery be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $5-50 and in default of the payment - Defendant at once paid said fine into Court and was thereupon discharged - Paid Deputy Sheriff Barton $2.50 - |
| paid | | S. H. Hill J.P. |

80

No. 460.

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Account

Ordenstein & Co — Plaintiff

vs.

John J. Cole — Defendant

Demand $ 60.00/100

Suppes & Suppes
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 19. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Court Marshal. |
| " | Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Court Marshal. |
| " 21st | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein — on the 19th day of August — 1884 — and filed — |
| " | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed — |
| " 26th | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process — and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law — |
| " | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said Defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of Suppes & Suppes attorneys for Plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein — |
| | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of $60.00 for the Principal and the further sum of $13.60 — Costs necessarily incurred in this action all in Lawful Money of the United States — |
| " | Judgment for the Principal — 50.00 |
| | " " " Justices fees — 8.50 |
| | " " " Constable " 5.10 |
| " | Total amount of Judgment — $ 63.60 |

E. H. Hill J.P.

Issued Execution Aug 26th 1884 returnable within Sixty Days & Ex Curia

Oct. 17th 1884. Justices fees paid by A. C. Suppes & Co.

No. 691

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,
## of the State of California, County of Fresno

G. F. Chesley — Plaintiff

vs.

John J. Cole & G. N. Loomis

Defendant S

Action Account

Demand $299.99/100

F. D. Grady — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1864 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 21st | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff Consul- |
| " | Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff attorney- |
| " 24 | Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein this day and filed — |
| " | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed — |

82

No. 812

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

| | |
|---|---|
| W. Meyerfeld & Co — Plaintiffs | Action Account |
| vs. | Demand $128.00/100 |
| John J. Cole & G. H. Loomis — Defendants | R. B. Seay. Attorney for Plaintiff |
| | Attorney for Defendant |

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 22 | Complaint filed, Summons issued and delivered in Court. Made affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & delivered in Court. |
| " 27 | Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant John J. Cole together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein. on the 28th day of Aug. 1884 and filed — Writ of attachment executed returned and filed. |
| Oct. 7 | Alias Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant G. H. Loomis together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 7th of Oct. 1884. and filed — |
| " 14 | In this action the defendants having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — and the time allowed for answering having expired the default of said defendants, each in the premises is hereby duly entered — according to law. " |
| " | In this action the defendants having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein — and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendants each in the premises having been duly entered according to law. Now at this day on application of R. B. Seay Plaintiffs attorney. It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendants in accordance with the prayer of said plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — |
| " | Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid. It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiffs do have and recover of and from the said defendants the sum of $128.00 — the Principal and the sum of $14.40 Cents — necessarily expended in said action in Lawful Money of the United States — |
| " | Judgment for the principal 128.00 |
| | " " " Constable. fees 5.50 |
| | " " " Justice " 8.50 |
| | Total amount of Judgment — $142.40 |

G. H. Hill J.P.

No. 613.

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

William Winn — Plaintiff

vs.

William Forsythe — Defendant

Action Account for wages and cash furnished.

Demand $74.10/100

Stephens & Stephens
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 22. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Meade. Case settled & dismissed. — D. A. Hill. J. P. |

84

No. 814.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

O. Fry — Plaintiff

vs.

C. H. Hamm — Defendant

Action Account for labor

Demand $76.75/100

E. L. Edwards
Attorney for Plaintiff

Suppe & Suppe
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Aug. | 25th | Complaint filed. Summons issued and gen Const. Made |
| | 26. | Summons returned Showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint filed herein on the 26th day of Aug. 1884. and filed — |
| " | 27th | Now comes the Defendant by his attorneys Suppe & Suppe and files his demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein — |
| Sept. | 1st | Now comes the Defendant and offers to allow Judgment to be taken against him for the Sum of $20.00 — with the Costs now accrued — |
| 1884. Nov | 19. | Now comes the Plaintiff and accepts Defendants offer to take Judgment, for the Sum of $20.00 & Cost of Suit; |
| " | " | Wherefor by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the Sum of Twenty Dollars the amount offered by the Defendant and accepted by the Plaintiff and the further Sum of Nine and 20/100 dollars Costs necessarily expended in this action all in lawful money of the United States — |
| " | | Judgment for the Principal agreed upon — 20.00 |
| " | " " " | Justice fees — 5.00 |
| " | " " | Constable. " 4.20 |
| " | | Total amount of Judgment — $29.20 |
| | | S. H. Hill J.P. |
| 1884. Dec. | 17th | Paid D. H. Hamm the Sum of $20.00 by order of Plaintiff — |
| 1885. Feb. | 7 | Issued Execution and gave Constable — Same — |
| Feb. | 5. | Issued Execution and gave Const. Hatton — |
| " | 21. | This Cause Settled in full, and dismissed — |
| | | S. H. Hill. J.P. |

No. 8115.

# In the Justice's Court of Linda Township,

## of the State of California, County of Yuba

Action Embezzlement

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Mark Anderson
Defendant

Demand $

H. C. Gray
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884- | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| May. 25th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued and to Court. Defendant |
| " 26th | in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant filed his demurrer to the Complaint and to the Summons. Demurrer Submitted and Overruled. Defendant discharged. |
| Paid | E. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 616

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Sierra

M. C. Terry — Plaintiff

vs.

O. J. Meade — Defendant

Action Replevin —

Demand $199.00

Leigh & Haun
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 25 | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given. Plaintiff's attorney — |
| " | Summons returned showing personal service with Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein this day and filed — |
| " | " This cause dismissed at Defendants Cost. D. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 807.

# In the Justice's Court of Fresno Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Daniel Lewis -

Defendant

Action Assault with intent to commit Murder -

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 26th | Complaint filed Warrant issued to Dept. Sheriff Fresno |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court Cause set down for trial at 2. o'c. P. M. Aug 26th 1884 - |
| " | 2. o'c. P. M. Defendant in Court and ready for examination |
| " | P. J. McNamee - D. D. Pratt - Jas. H. Gannon - and George McNamee - all of San Francisco - sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution - Prosecution Closed - Daniel Lewis made a Statement in his own behalf - |
| " | Cause Closed - and submitted to the Court - |

The Court after having fully considered the same and it appearing to me that the offence in the depositions filed herein has been Committed. That on the 26th day of Aug - 1884 - in the County of Fresno State of California between the Town of Fresno and Selma in the said County and State aforesaid the above named Defendant did then and there willfully and unlawfully assault and Cut about the neck and face one P. J. McNamee - a human being with a certain Pocket Knife then and there in the hands of said Defendant - and there is sufficient Cause to believe the above named Daniel Lewis guilty thereof - & Order that he be held to answer to the same - and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno - and that he be admitted to bail in the sum of $2,000 - and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until he give such bail -

S. H. Hall. J. P.

27. Heard the District attorney the papers in this Cause -

88

No. 408

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

C. E. Perry. — Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Farnum & Joseph Schmier — Defendants

Action Account

Demand $

H. S. Grush — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| May 26" | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Mueller |
| " 31" | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendants together with a copy of the Complaint as on file herein this day and filed |
| " | This cause is dismissed by order of Plaintiff |

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 619.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Nelson Chastaman, Defendant

Demand $

E. B. Edwards, Attorney for Plaintiff

E. J. Griffith, Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 26 | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Dept. Sheriff Patterson. |
| " 28 | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court — Defendant pleads not guilty as charged in the Complaint — Cause Set down for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. Aug. 29th 1884 — Issued Subpoenas for the People and Defendant. |
| 29 | 2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court, and after waiting some time for Defendants witnesses. The Cause was continued until 9. O.C. A.M. Sept. 1st 1884 — Issued Subpoena for Defendant and gave Dept. Sheriff. Patterson — |
| Sept. 1st | Defendant in Court — Cause Continued until 2. O.C. P.M. this September 1st 1884 — |
| " | 2. O.C. P.M. Jno. Conroy the District attorney and Jno. the Court to discharge the Defendant upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to Convict — So ordered S. H. Hill J.P. |

90

No. 810.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Whaland. John McDonald
Jas Hogan & John Dulivan
Defendants

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

E. B. Edmands by H. H. Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 26 | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Jo. Blanc |
| " 27 | Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court. Defendants each of them plead guilty as Charged in the Complaint. The Court ordered that said Defendants all and each of them appear for Sentence at 9. O.C A.M. Aug. 28. 1884. on their own recognizance |
| Penalty | |

No. 611

# In the Justice's Court of Shiel Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

P. R. Fanning Plaintiff

vs.

H Shell

Defendant

Action Book account for goods wares & Merchandise

Demand $141.00/100

W. V. Ashbrook
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 27. | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Meade |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of Attachment issued & given Const. Meade |
| 28. | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 27th day of Aug — 1884 and filed this day. Writ of attachment executed returned and filed |
| Sept. 4 | By Order of Plaintiff Counsel this Cause is dismissed at Plaintiff Cost — $15.25 — Not paid — |
| | S. H. Hill J. P. |
| Dec. 3 | Justices fees Paid by Plaintiff. |

No. 812.

# In the Justice's Court of Innis Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John McDonald
Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 28th 1884 | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Meade |

No. 613.

# In the Justice's Court of Knud Township,

## of the State of California, County of Sonoma

G. F. Hanson — Plaintiff

vs.

Thos. Cargile & W. F. Rose — Defendants

Action Labor performed

Demand $43.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 28th | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Made- |
| " | affidavit for attachment Made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Made- |
| " 29th | By order of the Plaintiff this Cause is dismissed Defendants paying the fees & Constable Cost- |

S. F. Hall J.P.

No. 614.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Jonathan Burley & Co — Plaintiffs

vs.

Thos. Cargile & W. H. Bruce — Defendants

Action Goods wares and Merchandise

Demand $25.38/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Aug. | 28th | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Made — |
| " | | affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Made — |
| Sept. | 8th | Summons returned Showing personal service on the Defendants together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 8th day of Sept 1884. and filed — |
| " | | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed — J.P. fees — Paid by Plff — |
| " | 15th | In this action the Defendants having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendants in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law — |
| " | | In this action the defendants having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendants each in the premises having been duly entered according to law, Now at this day on application of the Plaintiffs. It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said Defendants in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — |
| " | | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiffs do have and recover from the defendants the sum of Twenty five and 38/100 the principal sum and the sum of Fourteen and 60/100 Cost of Suit necessarily incured in this action, all in Lawful Money of the U. S. — |
| " | | Judgment for the Principal Sum for — 25.38 |
| | | " " " Justices fees — 8.50 |
| | | " " " Constable " 6.10 |
| " | | Total amount of Judgment — $39.98 |

D. H. Hill J.P. —

No. 8157

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Account

J. C. Welch — Plaintiff

vs.

Thos. Cargile & F. B. Rose — Defendants

Demand $31.44/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 28th | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Court. Made— |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Court. Made— |
| 30th | The Papers returned in this action without service by order of the Plaintiff— |

No. 416.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

Michelson Brown & Co— Plaintiffs

vs.

Bone & Cargile

Defendants

Action Book account

Demand $ 81.17/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Aug. | 28" | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Court Made- |
| | " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment |
| | | approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Court. Made- |
| Sept. | 8" | Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendants |
| | | together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 8" |
| | | day of Sept 1884 and filed |
| | " | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed. |
| 1885. April | 2. | In this action the Defendant Thomas B. Cargile and |
| | | W. F. Bone. having been regularly served with process and |
| | | having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs |
| | | Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law |
| | | for answering having expired the default of said Defendants |
| | | Thomas B. Cargile and W. F. Bone each in the premises is |
| | | hereby duly entered according to law — |
| | " | In this action the Defendants Thomas B. Cargile and W. F. Bone |
| | | having been regularly served with process and having failed |
| | | to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein |
| | | and the legal time for answering having expired and the default |
| | | of said Defendants — each in the premises having been duly entered |
| | | according to law — Now at this day on application of |
| | | W. S. Shaw attorney for Plaintiff. It is ordered that Judgment |
| | | be entered herein against the said Defendants Thomas B. |
| | | Cargile as well against the defendant W. F. Bone in accor- |
| | | dance with the prayer of said plaintiffs Complaint on file herein- |
| | " | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered |
| | | and adjudged that Michelson Brown & Co- Plaintiffs do have and |
| | | recover of and from the said defendants Thomas B. Cargile and |
| | | W. F. Bone the sum of $81.17/100 the amount of account sued on, and |
| | | the further sum of $ Costs necessarily expended in the |
| | | prosecution of this action in Coupon Money of the United States — |
| | | Judgment for the Principal sum on — 81.17. |
| | | " " " Justices fees — 8.50 |
| | | " " " Constable " 6.10 |
| | " | Total amount of Judgment. $ 95.77 |

S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 617.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Yuba

The Singer Manufacturing Co. — Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Johns & Ruth Johns his wife

Defendants

Action Contract —

Demand $65.00/100

Dunphy & Dunphy
Attorney for Plaintiff

Wharton & Shaw
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Aug. 29th | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Plaintiff Convict — |
| " | Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & giv. Plaintiff Convict. |
| Sept. 1st | Now Comes the Defendants by their attorney Wharton & Shaw and files their Notice of Motion and affidavit to dismiss this action — |
| " | Set down for argument — Sept. 8th 1884 — at 10. o'c. A.M. — |
| " 6. | 10. o'c. A.M. Parties in court — Stipulation filed — Motion argued and submitted — Defendants Exception — |
| " | Defendant allowed two days in which to plead — |
| " | Defendant files his affidavit asking a change of venue — Motion argued and allowed — and ordered that said action be and the same is hereby transferred to the Court of B. D. Benton of said Third Township — |

L. H. Hill J.P.

No. 618

# In the Justice's Court of Ford Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Book account

Rubin Goldstein & Co — Plaintiffs

vs.

Thomas Hildreth — Defendant

Demand $31.53/100

Duppa & Duppa — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 1st | Complaint filed Summons issued & service Constr. Made— |
| " 4th | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 4th day of Sept. 1884 and filed this day— |
| " 11th | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the time allowed for answering having expired the default of said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law— |
| " " | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein, and the legal time by law for answering having expired, and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law. Now at this day on application of Duppa & Duppa attorneys for said Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein— |
| | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the the said defendant the sum of $31.53/100 Principal and the further sum of $7.53/100 Costs necessarily incurred in this action in lawful money of the United States— |
| " | Judgment for the Principal — 31.53 |
| | " " " Justices fee — 5.00 |
| | " " " Constable " 2.00 |
| " | Total amount of judgment — $38.53. |
| | S. H. Hill J.P. |
| " | Issued Execution and gave Pltffs atty— |

No. 819

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Ed. Price — Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 2nd | Complaint filed Warrant issued & time Court Made— |
| " 3rd | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against |
| Case | him and of his rights before the Law. Defendant pleades |
| | guilty as charged in the Complaint. and waives the legal |
| | time for sentence and asks it be pronounced now. |
| | Wherefor by reason of the law and the premises |
| | aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that for said |
| | offence that you Ed. Price be and you are hereby |
| | fined in the Sum of $21.50 — Defendant at once paid |
| | said fine into Court. & was thereupon discharged — |
| | Paid Dist. Atty. $15.00 — Paid Court, $2.50 — |
| | J. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 824.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

G. C. Ralph — Plaintiff

vs.

J. A. Denoman

Defendant

Action Book account

Demand $134.75/100

F. S. Grady.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 2nd | Complaint filed. Summons issued and given Const: |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const: J.A. Paid, Fee in action dismissed. J.P. fees paid by Plaintiff |
| | D.H. Hill J.P. |

No. 821.

# In the Justice's Court of Linda Township,

## of the State of California, County of Yuba

Action Jur Labor performed

Geo Hoyt Plaintiff

vs.

M. Jewell & Geo. M. Jewell Defendant

Demand $46.00/100

F. L. Grady
Attorney for Plaintiff

Wharton & Shaw
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 2nd | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable |
| " 10th | Now comes the Defendant M. Jewell by his attorneys Wharton & Shaw and files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein |

No. 822

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

W. G. Griffith, Plaintiff

vs.

J. C. Ryan, Defendant

Action Book Account

Demand $28.01

E. J. Griffith
Attorney for Plaintiff

J. S. Rhoades
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 2nd | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Made |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Made |
| 3rd | Summons returned Showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein and filed. |
| " | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed. |
| " 6th | Now comes the Defendant & files his demurrer to the Plff's Complaint. |
| " 10th | Demurrer set down for argument at 10. O.C. A.M. Sept 11th 1884 |
| " 11th | 10. O.C. A.M. by consent of Defendant demurrer overruled. Plaintiff allowed Defendant ten days in which to answer. |
| " 24th | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with proc. and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is duly duly entered according to law. |
| " | In this action the defendant having been regularly served with proc. and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law. Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein. |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid. It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of $28.01 the amount sued on and the sum of $13.50 Costs necessarily incurred in said action in lawful money of the United States. |
| " | Judgment for the sum sued for — 28.01 |
| | " " " Justices fees — 8.50 |
| | " " " Constable " 5.00 |
| | Total amount of Judgment $41.51 |
| | J. H. Hill J.P. |
| 4th | Issued Execution and given Const. — J. H. Hill |

E. J. Griffith by Plff. Feb 17th 1885

1885. Feb. 4.

No. 623.

# In the Justice's Court of Ilinois Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John McSwiggen, Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept 3d | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const to Blanc |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law. Defendant pleads guilty as Charged in the Complaint and waives the Legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now — Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that for Said offence that you John McSwiggen be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $5.00 and in default of the payment of Said fine that you be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by Said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of Said County for a period not exceeding 5½ days in the proportion of One day imprisonment for every Dollar of Said fine — |

E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 824.

# In the Justice's Court of Knid Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

O. A. Yaney — Plaintiff

vs.

S. D. Ballou — Defendant

Action Suit on Settlement

Demand $2.50 & Costs

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| 1884 Sept. 3rd | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff for service. Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff |

No. 825.

# In the Justice's Court of Linda Township,

## of the State of California, County of Yuba

Bernard McClosky Plaintiff

vs.

R. O. Ince Defendant

Action Replevin - & Hire - or

Demand $ 150.00/100

Wharton & Shaw
Attorney for Plaintiff

Campbell & Hines
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884. | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Sept. | 6th | Cause Change of venue from 1st Township John Charles Court — Papers duly filed as follows Viz: Complaint. Summons - Affidavit - on Claim & delivery - Undertaking on Claim and delivery - Answer - Affidavit for Change of venue - Bill of Cost - & Transcript - abo. Separations from Sup Court - |
| Oct. | 17th | By Consent of Parties this Cause is set down for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. Oct. 24th 1884 - |
| " | 23 | By Consent of Parties this Cause is continued until Oct. 28th 1884 - per Stipulation — on file Viz - |
| " | 28th | 10. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court - and by Consent this Cause is Continued until 10. O.C. A.M. Oct. 11th 1884 Plaintiff to pay Cost of Continuance - |
| Nov. | 11th | Parties in Court - Cause dismissed - Plff. to pay Cost - S. H. Hill J.P. - |

No. 826

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action Petit Larcy

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Murphrey
Defendant

Demand $

Duppa & Duppa
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1864 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 7th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Court Meade- |
| " 8th | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against- |
| " | him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads |
| | not guilty as charged in the Complaint. |

No. 627.

# In the Justice's Court of Ithaca Township,

## of the State of California, County of Napa

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Murphy
Defendant

Action Malicious Mischief

Demand $

Guppy & Guppy
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 7th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued and given Const. Meade |
| " 8th | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads not guilty as charged in the Complaint. Cause set down for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. Issued Subpoena for Defendant |
| Paid | |
| " 2. O.C. P.M. | Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial. Joseph Spring Sworn and examined as witness for the People. Closed. |
| " | Defendant Chs Bailey. Thomas Rice. Brad Lewis Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Defendant. Cause Closed. After argument of Counsel the cause was submitted to the Court. The Court took the matter under advisement. |

No. 628.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

B. Myer
Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

E D Edwards by J R Mott
Attorney for Plaintiff

R B Dunn
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 7th | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Le Blanc |
| " " | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant held for his appearance in a bond of $150 00 |
| Pd $1 | |
| " 11th | This Cause is set down for trial at 10. OC. A.M. Sept. 11th 1884 |
| | Issued Subpoenas for the People & Defendant |
| " 11 | 10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court; Pro Con the Defendant and files his demurrer to the Complaint on file Com- |
| | demurrer argued and Sustained - Cause dismissed |
| | D K Hill J.P. |

No. 427.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Account

Frank Saradel Plaintiff

vs.

S. H. Hess Defendant

Demand $57.57/100

Guppner & Guppner
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Sept. | 8 | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Made- |
| " | | Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file here in this day and filed- |
| " | 14 | Now comes the defendant by his attorney S. H. Hess and files his answer to Plaintiff's Complaint- on the issues and offer of judgment to be taken against him- |
| " | 16 | By consent of parties this cause is set down for trial at 11 o'c. A. M. Sept. 22nd 1884- |
| " | 20 | Issued Subpoenas for the Plaintiff & Defendant & one Const- |
| | 22 | This cause is this day settled each party paying his own cost- Justice fee- Paid by Pltff- |
| | | S. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 831.

# In the Justice's Court of Shid Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

William Degen — Plaintiff

vs.

Stefano Spano and Gruppa Dagnaci — Defendants

Action Writ of Lien

Demand $255 00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 8th | Complaint filed Summons issued &c— |
| " | Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued &c— |
| " 10th | Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 8th day of Sept 1884. and filed this day— |
| " | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed— |
| " | Issued alias Writ of attachment &c to Coun. Kittleman |
| " 11th | Now comes the Plaintiff by his attorneys Supps & Supps and files his amended Complaint— |
| " 13th | Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant Gruppa Dagnair together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 12th day of Sept 1884. and filed this day— |
| " 15th | By order of Plaintiff the amended Complaint is hereby dismissed as to Gruppa Dagnair in this action— |
| " 16th | By order of Plaintiff this Cause is dismissed at Plaintiffs Cost— J.S. paid by Plaintiff |

S. W. Hill J.P.

No. 631.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of Cal. — Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Pondt — Defendant

Action Cruelty to animals

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 10th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given — Const. Mundle |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court — Defendant pleads not guilty as Charged in the Complaint — Defendant held for trial in a Bond of $50.00 — Defendant demands trial by Jury — Venire issued Sept. 19th 1884 and given Const. — |
| Paid | |
| " | |
| 19th | Defendant Present and regularly tried by Jury and acquitted |

E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 832

# In the Justice's Court of Flint Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

H. H. Chance — Plaintiff

vs.

Ephram Moore — Defendant

Action Writ of Hand

Demand $40.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 10 | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Whitehouse. affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued and given Const. Whitehouse |
| 11 | This cause dismissed having been settled in full. D. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 833.

# In the Justice's Court of Stud Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Thos. H. Reynolds, Defendant

Action Nuisance

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 10th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & in Court Made- |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against |
| | him and of his rights before the Law — |
| Paid | 22 This cause is set down for trial at 2. O.C.P.M. Sept 23d 1884 |
| | Issued venire and Subpoenas for the People & Defendant. |
| " | Now come the Defendant Thos. H. Reynolds and |
| | pleads guilty and waives the Legal time for |
| | Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now |
| | Whereupon by reason of the Law and the |
| | premises aforesaid It is Ordered and adjudged that |
| | you Thomas H. Reynolds be and you are hereby |
| | fined in the Sum of $10 — Defendant at once |
| | paid said fine in to Court and was discharged — |

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 634

# In the Justice's Court of Eliot Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. William — Defendant

Action Insurance

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 11th | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given — Const Mack- |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against- him and of his rights before the Law. Defendant held for trial in a Bond of $50.00 — — — — |
| " | Now Come the Defendant by his attorney J. R. Wells and files his affidavit asking a change of venue- |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the premises it is hereby ordered that said action be and the same is hereby transferred to the Justice Court of B. D Benton one of the acting justices of said Township — |

J. W. Hill J.P.

No. 435.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

W. D. Grady — Plaintiff

vs.

J. M. Williams — Defendant

Action Fo Damages

Demand $25.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 11. | Complaint filed. Summons issued again Court. Made- |
| " | Summons returned showing personal service and Defendant- together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein and- this day and filed- |
| 17 | In this action the Defendant, having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law- |
| " | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein, and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said Defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to Law- Now- at this day on application of the Plaintiff, It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff complaint on file herein- |
| " | Whereon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that W. D. Grady Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the the said Defendant the Sum of $25.00/100 the Principal and the further Sum of $7.00/100 Costs necessarily in- curred in this action- all in gold coin of the U. S. D. |
| " | Judgment for the Principal $25.00 |
| | " " Costs Fees- 5.00 |
| | " " Constable " 2.00 |
| | Total amount of Judgment- $32.00 |
| | E. R. Hill J.P.- |
| 14" | Execution issued & in Court returnable within Sixty days- |

116

No. 836

# In the Justice's Court of Bluff Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Writ of Attachment

Donahoe Beesley & Co. Plaintiffs

vs.

F. G. Strachan

Defendant

Demand $140.00 & Costs

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 12. | Complaint filed Summons issued and given Plaintiff |
| " | Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of Attachment issued & given Plaintiff |
| | J.P.'s fees Paid by Pltff |

No. 837.

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

A J Cecelar — Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Spinny — Defendant

Action Book account

Demand $30.00/100

Campbell & Hinds
Attorney for Plaintiff

Suppes & Suppes
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 12. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given. Const. |
| " 13. | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein. on the 12th day of Sept 1884 and filed this day. |
| " 15. | Now comes the Defendant by his attorney Suppes & Suppes and files his answer to the Plaintiff Complaint herein. |
| 16. | Defendant files his offer to allow judgment to be taken. |
| " | Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants offer to take judgment for $10.00 |
| Oct. 3. | This cause set down for trial at 2. O.C.P.M. Oct. 4th 1884. |
| " 10. | Cause continued until 2. O.C.P.M. Oct. 16th 1884. |
| 16. | By consent this cause is continued until 2. O.C.P.M. 20th of Oct. 1884 |
| 20. | 2. Oc. P.M. By consent of Parties this cause is continued until 2. O.C. P.M. Oct. 21st 1884. |
| 21st | Cause settled in full except Const. Cost. Cost. Bill $6.75 |

S H Hall JP

No. 834

# In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Isaac Moffatt

Defendant

Action Felony

Demand $

E. S. Edwards
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1864 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 14 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued and given to officer. |
| " 24 | Issued alias Warrant - Served and Com. Williams |

No. 439.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township, of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe – alias. Daniel Staley, Defendant

Action Battery.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884- | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 15th | Complaint filed & Warrant issued & in Sheriff's Office Inyo |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant pleads not guilty. Cause set down for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. Sept 16th 1884 Defendant held for trial in a Bond of Twenty five Dollars. Issued Subpoenas for the People and for the Defendant Subpoenas returned & filed |
| Paid | |
| 16th | Now come the Said Parties injured and acknowledges that he has received Satisfaction for the injury done him and on payment of the Costs incurred it is ordered that proceedings be stayed upon the prosecution and the Defendant be discharged thereform |

S. B. Hill J.P.

No. 841.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

William R Richey, Defendant

Action Bastardy & the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884- | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 15 | Complaint filed & Warrant issued & given to Const. Towsin |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads guilty and waives the legal time for sentence and asks to be sentenced now — |
| " | Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you William R. Richey be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $5.00 — Defendant ordered paid said fine & thereupon discharged — Paid Court $2.50 |
| Paid | P. H. Hill J.P. |

1885 Paid Collector — $20.00 — Duly recorded Book

No. 641.

# In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

## of the State of California, County of Mendo

Action Money Loaned

Axel Anderson — Plaintiff

vs

Thomas Schmidt

Demand $26.03/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 16" | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given over. Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment signed. Writ of attachment issued and given over. |
| " 19" | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 17th day of Sept. 1884 and filed this day. Writ of attachment executed returned and filed. |
| " 29" | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law. |
| . | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said Defendant in the premises having duly entered according to law Now comes the Plaintiff on this day in proper person and by application It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein — |
| | Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of $26.03/100 the Principal and the sum of $23.60 costs necessarily expended in this action in lawful money of the United States — |
| " | Judgment for the Principal 24.03 |
| " " | " Justices fees — 4.50 |
| " " | " Constables " 19.10 |
| " | Total amount of judgment — $53.63. |

S. H. Hill J. P. —

1884 Sept 16th Com issued — $20 — Sum issued & given over
Sept 16" Affidavit & Undertaking — $30 — on Writ
1884 Sept 17th Com served by Thomas McCarthy —

122

No. 642.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

William Rehm

Defendant

Action Penalty

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 16 | Complaint filed Warrant issued & Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant held for his appearance in the Sum of $100 Bond filed and approved |
| " | Now comes the said injured and acknowledges that he has received satisfaction for the injury done him, and on payment of the Costs incurred, it is ordered that all proceedings be stayed upon the prosecution and the Defendant to be discharged thereupon. S. H. Hall |
| Jan. 1887 Page 124 | |
| Jan. 24 | Execution returned not Satisfied |
| " | Plaintiff filed his affidavit asking the Court for an order of Examination of the Defendant. Order allowed and issued returnable at 10 o'clock A.M. Jan 28th 1887 |
| " | Order returned and filed |
| " 27 | By order of Plaintiff this cause is dismissed having been paid in full S. H. Hall. J.P. |

paid

No. 843

# In the Justice's Court of Eureka Township,

## of the State of California, County of Humboldt

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

William Rohrer

Defendant

Action assault with a deadly weapon—

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 16th | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const— Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court— Defendant held for his appearance in the Sum of $100— Bond filed and approved— |
| Paid | |
| " | Now comes the person injured and acknowledges that he has received Satisfaction for the injury done him— and on payment of the Costs incurred it is ordered that all proceedings be stayed upon the prosecution, and the defendant is discharged therefrom— J. H. Hill J.P. |
| Page 125. | after argument of Counsel pro and Con— The Court gives the Jury for their deliberation and recharge— The Jury after Careful deliberation were returned into Court stating that they Cannot agree— The Jury were then discharged by the Court— |
| " | By Consent— this Cause is set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Sept. 29th 1884. Defendant demands trial by Jury— |
| " 29th | 10. O.C. A.M. This Cause is continued generally— |
| Oct. 8th | Now comes the District and moves the Court to dismiss this Cause of action upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to Convict— It is so ordered & the Defendant discharged. J. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 444.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Brown

Action Book account

Thomas H. Reynolds Plaintiff

vs.

J. S. Eastwood

Defendant

Demand $65.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

R. B. Bury

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 17th | Complaint filed. Summons issued &c in Sept. Court Brown |
| " 22. | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant Together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 20th day of Sept. 1884 and filed this day |
| " 26th | Defendant Credited by the sum of $25cts leaving abalance now due and unpaid of $40cts |
| " 27 | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by Law for answering having expired the default of Said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to Law |
| Oct. 3rd | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the Legal time for answering having expired and the default of the Said Defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to Law. Now at this day on application of R. B. Bury attorney for Said Plaintiff. It is ordered "and adjudged" that Judgment be entered herein against the Said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of Said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendant the Sum of $40.00/100 the Principal and the further Sum of $7cts the Cost necessarily incurred in this action in lawful money of the United States |
| " | Judgment for the Principal 40.00 |
| | " " Justices fees 5.00 |
| | " " Constables " 2.00 |
| | Total amount of Judgment $47.00 |

S. B. Hill J.P.

1884. Sept 17th Complaint Issued Summons Delivered to Sheriff

1884. Sept 22nd Defendant Served Personally

Sept 27th

No. 445

# In the Justice's Court of Flint Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Bill Smith, Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

C. B. Edwards, Attorney for Plaintiff

H. J. Grady & R. B. Ivy, Attorneys for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 18 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Made- |
| " | defendant in Court and informed of the charge against- |
| | her and of her rights before the Court. Defendant pleads |
| | not guilty as charged. Cause set down for |
| | Trial at 2. O.C. P.M. Sept. 19th 1884. Defendant held |
| | for trial in the Sum of $50.00 Bond approved & filed. |
| 19th | Issued Subpoenas for the People and Defendant. |
| " | 2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court. Defendant demands |
| | trial by Jury. Cause Continued until 11. O.C. A.M. Sept. 23d 1884. |
| 22. | Issued venire and gave Const. Made. |
| " | 23. 11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and ready for trial |
| " | Jurors drawn to try the cause by Consent as follows |
| | to wit; John Coffman. Seth Shelly. Geo. Rudolph. J. A. Hen- |
| | P. M. McGinley. A. Barker & N. G. Griffith. |
| | Camelia Jones drawn and examined as a witness |
| | by the Prosecution after which the People closed. |
| " | Bill Smith drawn and examined as a witness |
| | in his own behalf. Defense closed. |
| " | after argument of Counsel. the Cause was given |
| | the Jury for their deliberation and retired. after |
| | and extended Consultation the Jury were returned into |
| | Court and stated that they could not agree. they |
| | were thereupon discharged. Cause set down for |
| | trial at. 3. O.C. P.M. Sept. 23d 1884. Defendant demands |
| | trial by Jury. venire issued & given Const. |
| " | 3. O.C. P.M. venire returned and filed. Defendant in Court |
| | and ready for trial. Jurors drawn to answer questions |
| | as to qualification. after which by Consent of parties |
| | the following jurors were drawn to try the cause as |
| | follows to wit; J. A. Couting. S. Griffith. Joe Holcomb. |
| | J. Roberts. V. R. Dehaffer. J. Cunningham. G. H. Bernhard. |
| | H. Foster. E. P. Hart. S. E. Jones & Frank Bard. |
| " | Camelia Jones. drawn and examined by the People. Prosecution |
| | closed. Bill Smith & Chas Hurley. drawn and examined for |
| | the Defense. Cause closed. (See Page 123.) |

126

No. 646.

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Walter Kirby.

Defendant

Action Grand Larceny.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 19 | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given the affiant. |
| " 22. | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court, Cause set down for examination at 10. O.C.A.M. Sept. 25th 1884. Defendant held for examination in a bond of $500 00 Bond approved and filed. |
| Paid | |
| 23 | Issued Subpoenas for the People and the Defendant. |
| " 25. | 10. O.C.A.M. This cause is dismissed for want of Prosecution |

S. K. Hill, J.P.

No. 847

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Jose F. Vierra — Defendant

Action Assault with intent to kill & murder.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff
Tuttle & Tuttle
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 20th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Meade. |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court - Defendant held for examination in a Bond of $5,000.00 - Bond appvd and filed - |
| paid | |
| " 22d | Defendants Bondsmen brought him into Court and gave him up to the Court - Stating that they would not longer remain on the Defendants Bond - Whereupon the Court Committed said Defendant to the Custody of the Sheriff of Fresno County for examination his mittimus - by the Court - S. H. Hall. J. P. |

No. 848.

# In the Justice's Court of Shud Township,

## of the State of California, County of Township

Action Grand Larceny

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Moffat et al
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| 1884 Sept 20 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued and given Const. Meade for service |

No. 849.

# In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

## of the State of California, County of Mendocino

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

S. M. Phillips, Defendant

Action Appeal with intent to kill—

Demand $

E. B. Edmonds, Attorney for Plaintiff

H. S. Brown, Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 20 | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Sadlock |
| Paid | " Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law—Cause set down for examination October 7th 1884—at 11. O.C.A.M— |
| | Defendant failed for his examination in a Bond of Two Hundred Dollars—Bond approved & filed— |
| Oct. 3 | Issued Subpoenas for the People and Defendant— |
| " 7 | 11. O.C.A.M. Defendant in Court—and Says he is ready for examination. Witnesses Jno and Con—Sworn and examined after which the matter was submitted to the Court—for its deliberation and decision. The Court after hearing fully Considered the matter, Ordered that the Defendant be discharged— |
| | S. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 850.

# In the Justice's Court of Fresno Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

G. W. Hensley — Plaintiff

vs.

P. P. Martin — Defendant

Action account

Demand $ 85.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 22. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. to make |
| " 23. | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 22d. day of Sept. 1884 and filed this day |
| " 25. | Now come the Defendant and offer to allow the Plff. to take judgment against him for the sum of $3.50 and cost up to date |
| " | Now come the Plaintiff and accepts the Defendants offer to allow judgment. |
| 26. | Paid Plaintiff's Defendants fee $3.50 |
| " 27. | Cause fixed as settled & dismissed |

D. H. Hill J. P.

No. 851.

# In the Justice's Court of Eliot Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of Calipsonia, Plaintiff

vs.

Pe Neilson, Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Doyle & Harris, Attorney for Plaintiff

Trafton & Trafton, Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept 22 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & ret. Def't Court: Fresno |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Pleads Guilty & enters into a Bond of $50 Bond approved and filed |
| " 24 | Cause set down for trial at 3 O.C. P.M. Sept 25th 1884 |
| " | 3 O.C. P.M. Parties in Court and by consent this cause is set down for trial at 11 O.C. A.M. Oct 2d 1884 |
| Oct 1st | Defendant demands trial by jury. Venire issued & ret. Cause |
| " 2d | Defendant in Court and ready for trial. Venire returned and filed. Jurors drawn to answer questions as to qualification, after which by consent the following jurors were drawn to try the cause. Toit: H. Levy. A Hussey. J.P. Brannan. J.S. Campbell. C.J. Riggs. Jr. O. Litten S. Bartholomew J.A. Welch. Ge. McCullough and Thomas Simpson |
| " | G. Greenwood. J.J. Stivers and Wm S.S. Stivers. People close |
| " | Henry Glasp. & P. Neilson. Sworn and examined as witnesses by the Defendant. Defendant & Counsel close |
| " | after argument of Counsel. Jury and Court. The Cause was submitted to the jury for their deliberation and verdict after a short retirement the the jury were returned into Court by the proper officer of this Court with the following verdict, We the jury find the Defendant not guilty. Defendant discharged |

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 852.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

William Greenwood — Plaintiff

vs.

P. Neilson — Defendant

Action Damages —

Demand $299.00/100

Doyle & Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Duppa & Duppa — Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884- | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 22. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given to Sheriff. Copy. Service— |
| " | Summons returned & Hearing praecipe issued on the 27th inst together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein this day and filed— |
| " 27. | Now comes the defendant by his attorney Duppa & Duppa and files his answer and offer to take Judgment. |
| 1885. Jan. 12th | Continued generally— |
| " 26th | Cause set down for trial at 11 O.C. A.M. Feb. 9th 1885 |
| "Feb. 9. | 11 O.C. A.M. Cause continued generally— |

No. 853.

# In the Justice's Court of Linda Township,

## of the State of California, County of Yuba

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Murray Moffat - Ed. Price - Ed. Mordecai
Annie Slate and Nellie Clark
Defendant

Demand $

E. B. Edwards
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 22 | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Meade |
| " 24. | Issued alias Warrant for Murr Moffatt. |
| " 26 | Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the Court. Defendants each for him and herself plead not guilty as charged. Cause set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. October 3rd 1884. Defendants remanded to the custody of the Sheriff - until such time. |
| Paid | |
| " 29 | Now comes the District attorney and moves the Court to discharge the Defendant Annie Slate from prison in the County Jail and Order that she appear in this Court Room for trial as charged at 10. O.C. A.M. Oct. 3rd 1884 on her own recognizance. Order allowed and Defendant discharged as aforesaid. |
| Oct. 3 | Now Comes the District attorney and asks the Court to Continue this Cause set for 10. O.C. A.M. this day, upon the grounds that Subpoenas have not been returned and upon the further grounds of the non appearance of the Defendant Annie Slate whose obligation to this Court is this day forfeited. |
| " | Therefore by reason of the premises aforesaid this Cause is Continued until 10. O.C. A.M. Oct. 4th 1884. |
| 4. | Now Comes the District attorney and moves the Court to discharge the Defendant Nellie Clark from the County Jail and Order that she appear on her own recognizance order allowed and the defendant discharged as aforesaid |

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 854

# In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Patrick Martin

Defendant

Action Burglary in the night-time, Reduced to Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 22 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Co. Ct. Le Blanc |
| " 23 | & defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defend and plead guilty to the Crime of Petit Larceny and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that you Patrick Martin be and you are for said offence fined in the Sum of $25.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Fresno County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period not exceeding twenty five days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine — |
| | S. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 855

# In the Justice's Court of Flint Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Note Bill

Stw. H Reynolds Plaintiff

vs.

E. M. Gebhardt Defendant

Demand $50.00/100

R K Jerry Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 22 | Complaint filed Summons issued &c in Supt. Court Sadler C. |
| " 23 | Summons returned Showing Personal on the Defendant- |
| " | Together with a copy of the complaint on file herein. on the 22nd of Sept 1884 and filed this day- |
| " 26 | Now comes the Defendant in proper person and for answer, denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiffs Complaint Contained — |
| Oct. 20 | This cause set down for trial at 2. O.C.P.M. Oct. 21st 1884- |
| " 21st | 2. O.C.P.M. Parties in Court.- after examination of the case and by consent of Parties to this action — |
| | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendant the Sum of $33.00- the Principal agreed upon by the parties to this action and the further Sum of $6.75- Costs necessarily Expended in this action- in lawful Money of the United States — |
| | Judgment for the Principal agreed upon — 33.00 |
| | " " " Justices fees- 4.75 |
| | " " " Constable - " 2.00 |
| | Total amount of Judgment — $39.75 |
| | S. B. Hill J.P. |
| " | Plaintiff filed his Cost- Bill- $6.75- |
| " | Issued Execution returnable within Sixty days &c in Cons. Sadler C. |
| 22. | Cost- Paid $6.75/100 |
| " 24 | Execution returned not- Satisfied and filed- |

No. 856.

# In the Justice's Court of Eliud Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

W. H. Owen — Plaintiff

vs.

M. B. Thompson — Defendant

Action Book account

Demand $23.35/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| 1884 | |
| Sept. 22 | Complaint filed — |

No. 857

# In the Justice's Court of Shasta Township,

## of the State of California, County of Trinity

Ray White — Plaintiff

vs.

Two Horn Colby & Hutchinson owners — Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $10.00/100

H. S. Byron
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Sept: | 25 | Complaint filed Writ issued — S. H. Hill J.P. |
| Trinity Page 138 — | | Now come the Defendant by his attorneys and files his Notice and Undertaking on appeal to the Supreme Court — accepting costs $4.50 — |
| Nov | 21st | Handed the Clerk of the Supreme Court all the papers in this action — S. H. Hill J.P. |
| 1885. Feb | 21 | Assignment of Judgment filed |

No. 658

# In the Justice's Court of Ibis Township,

## of the State of California, County of Yuma

The People of Yuma — Plaintiff

vs.

Mattie Wallace — Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 25 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Const. Yuma — |
| Paid | " Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against her and of her rights before the Law. Defendant pleads guilty as charged — and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now — |
| | " Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that you Mattie Wallace be and you are for said offence fined in the Sum of $10.00 — Defendant at once paid said fine into Court and was discharged — Paid Court $12.50 |
| | S. H. Hill J.P. |
| Yuma | |
| Page 139 | " A. Hansen — Witness 1 — day — 2.00 |
| " " | Theodor Dubs — " " " 2.00 |
| " " | Peter Jansen — " " " 2.00 |
| " " | A. Anderson — " " " 2.00 |
| " " | Gust Anderson — " " " 2.00 |
| " " | — Eriekson " " " 10 — Mi — 4.00 |
| " " | Gust Hansen — " " " 00 — 2.00 |
| " " | Peter Broten " " " 14 " 4.80 |
| " " | C. Lauritzen — " " " 14 — " 4.80 |
| " " | Total amt of Judgment — $210.80 |
| | S. H. Hill J.P. |
| | " Defendant allowed a stay of Proceeding for ten days — |
| 28 | Plaintiff files his Cost bill. $89.80 — |
| 29 | Defendant files his Notice of motion to retax Cost in this action — |
| Nov 3 | Parties agree to continue motion to retax Cost until Nov 15th 1884 — |
| " 15 | J. O.C.A.M. Partin in Court. Cost-bill argued and submitted — The item in cost bill of $4.00 — fees for C. Lauritzen stricken out on the Ground that he was present at the trial as a witness at the instance of Plaintiff without subpoena and not examined as such witness, and for the Sum of $1.80 — on account Charge of Mileage in the Case of Witness Peter Broten — aggregating the Sum of $6.00 — Reduction on Cost bill — See Page 137 |

No. 859

# In the Justice's Court of Grand Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Annie Ashley

Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 25 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Const. Court. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against her and of her rights before the Law. Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence and asks to be sentenced now — |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid. It is ordered and adjudged that you Annie Ashley be and you are fined in the sum of $5.00 Defendant ordered paid said fine into Court & was discharged — Paid Court $5.00 |
| | S. H. Hill J.P. |
| Sept. 26 | After a short retirement the jury were returned into Court by the proper officer of this Court with the following verdict — We the jurors in the case of Brockville, D. Pettibone find for the Plaintiff for the amount claimed O. P. Wilson Foreman — |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of One Hundred and Twenty ($120.00) Dollars the Principal sued for and the further sum of $89.80 Cost necessarily expended in the prosecution of this action in Lawful money of the United States of America — |
| " | Judgment for the Principal sued for — $120.00 |
| | " " " Justices fees — 12.50 |
| | " " " Constables " 15.70 |
| | " " " Jurors " 24.00 |
| " | Alfred Jacoby — Witness one day — & mileage — 2.00 |
| " | William Prather — " " " " " " 2.00 |
| " | Gust Nelson " " " " " " 2.00 |
| " | Johnson Nelson — " " " " " " 2.00 |
| | — Rasmusen — " " " " " " 2.00 |
| " | Geo. Rasmusen " " " " " " 2.00 |

(See Page 138)

Trans.

Page 146.

No. 661.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

E. Lehman - Plaintiff

vs.

M. J. Donahue. Sheriff - Defendant

Action Damages and recovery of Personal property.

Demand $250.00/100 -

Dayle & Harris Attorney for Plaintiff

Campbell & Harmon - & Martin & Shaw Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Sept. | 26 | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Dept. Const. Talbot. |
| " | 29 | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 29th of Sept. 1884 & filed. |
| Oct. | 3 | Now comes the Defendant by his attorneys. Campbell & Harmon and files his answer to Plaintiff Complaint on file herein. |
| " | " | This cause set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Oct. 11th 1884. |
| " | 9 | By consent of Parties this cause is continued until Oct. 20th 1884 at 10. O.C. A.M. |
| " | 20 | 10. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court and ready for trial. |
| " | | Solomon Lehman and M. Lehman. sworn and examined as witnesses for the Plaintiff. after which the prosecution closed. direct. |
| " | | Defendant asked leave of the Court to file his amended answer to Plaintiffs Complaint herein. Leave granted. and amended answer filed. |
| " | | Plaintiff filed his affidavit. Claiming that an adjournment is necessary. in consequence of defendants amended answer. Defendant objects upon the grounds of the insufficiency of Plaintiffs affidavit; objection argued and submitted. The Court overruled the objection. Defendant Excepts. |
| " | | By consent of Parties this Cause is Continued until Nov. the 10th 1884. |
| " | 6 | Cause Continued until Nov. 17th 1884. at 10. O.C. A.M. |
| Nov. | 12 | Stipulation filed. by request. |
| " | 17 | 10. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court and ready to proceed. Transcript of an action Commenced in one of the Justices Court of Stockton against E. Lehman. offered in evidence by the Defendant. Plaintiff objects; objection overruled Plaintiff Excepts. Transcript read. |
| " | | Defendant offered in evidence the deposition of A. L. Hoyt Richard Lehman and M. J. Donahue. Depositions read & ordered filed. |
| " | | Plaintiff offered in evidence the testimony of S. Lehman & the deposition of E. Lehman. (See Page 148) |

No. 861.

# In the Justice's Court of Ithaca Township,

## of the State of California, County of Solano

Joseph Herbe, Plaintiff

vs.

N. N. Smith, Defendant

Action account

Demand $215.00/100

E. E. Colburn
Attorney for Plaintiff

F. S. Grady & C. C. Morrison
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Sept. 27. | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff attorney. |
| " " | Summons issued & duly served on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 27th day of Sept. 1884 and filed this day. |
| " 29th | Now comes the Defendant by his attorneys, F. S. Grady & C. C. Morrison and files his demurrer to the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein. |
| Oct. 2. | Demurrer argued by counsel. Defendant files his answer to Plaintiff's complaint. |

142

No. 862.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Murder

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Jose H. Vieira, Defendant

Demand $

E. S. Edwards, Attorney for Plaintiff

Thomas A. Griffin, Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct- 1st | Complaint- filed. Warrant- issued & sent to Sheriff. Bail fixed at $3000 |
| " 2nd | Defendant- in Court- and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights- before the Court. Cause set down for examination at 2 P.M. Oct. 4th 1884- |
| " 4 | Parties in Court- and by Consent- this Cause is continued until 10 A.M. Oct. 6th 1884- |
| " 6th | 10 O.C.A.M. Defendant- in Court- and ready for examination- C. H. Gearhart- appointed by the Court to take down the testimony of witnesses in Short- hand- Antone Eschamula- & John Silveira- Sworn and examined as witnesses by the People- Prosecution Closed- Defendant- waived examination of any witness- Cause Submitted to the Court- for its findings- The Court after having fully considered the Same and It- appearing to me that the Offence of Manslaughter has been committed- and there is sufficient Cause to believe that Jose H. Vieira the defendant- did willfully unlawfully & feloniously, Cut & Stab one Manuel Maria- with a certain Knife Known about the Abdomen, a human being- from the effects of Said wound the Said Manuel Maria Soon after died- & order that he be held to answer to the Same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno. And that he be admitted to bail in the Sum of $3000.00- and is committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno- until he give such bail- |

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 463.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The Fire Insurance association
Plaintiff

vs.

Robert Crawford
Defendant

Action Insurance Policy.

Demand $55.00/100

F. L. Gray & C. C. Morrison
Attorney for Plaintiff

Dayle & Harris
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 1st | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Constable Fresno |
| " 2 | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 1st day of Oct 1884 and filed this day |
| " 3 | Now comes the Defendant in proper person and for answer denies each and every material allegation in Plaintiffs Complaint contained |
| " 6 | Now comes the Plaintiff and files his affidavit and Undertaking for attachment. Attachment issued and given Plaintiff |
| " 27 | Writ of Attachment executed returned and filed |
| 1885 Jan 12 | This cause set down for trial 11 O.C. P.M. January 16th 1885 |
| " 13 | Issued Subpoena for the Plaintiff and given Constable Winchell |
| " 15 | Subpoena returned and filed |
| " 16 | 11 O.C. A.M. Parties in Court and ready for trial. S. L. Hogue sworn as a witness and on the Stand, Plaintiff asked witness whether or not he was the agent of The Fire Insurance association. Defendant objects upon the ground that the agency must be in writing taken with all other matters in this cause under advisement. Plaintiff asked witness if he issued the Defendant. Defendant objects upon the ground that the premium must be in writing. Same Court. The Policy offered in evidence. Defendant objects upon the ground that the Complaint is not a copy of the Policy. Same Court. Henry Stutsman sworn and examined for Plaintiff. Off. Cl. Defendant moved the Court to grant a nonsuit 1st upon the ground that the evidence fails to establish a Complaint on the part of the Plaintiff 2d The Plaintiff has failed to prove the existence of any such Company as the Plaintiff in this action and on the further ground that there is such a Company in existence. Corporation association or partnership and failed to prove that it has complied with Section 594 of the Political Code. See Page 144 |

144

No. 464

# In the Justice's Court of Flint Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Account

Geo Studer — Plaintiff

vs.

B. B. Brooks — Defendant

Demand $150

Attorney for Plaintiff

F. & Gundy & C. C. Merriam

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 2. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given to Const. Paddock |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment |
| | approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given to Const. Paddock |
| | to serve. Came the defendant by his attorney Gundy & Merriam |
| | and files his demurrer to Plaintiff's Complaint herein |
| 1885. Jan. 23. | Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant |
| | together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein. this |
| | day and filed. Writ of attachment returned & filed |
| 24. | This cause submitted in full |

D. B. Hill J.P.

Trans
Page 145

1885. Jan 25. By directions from the attorney of A. H. Statham the |

By directions from the attorney of A. H. Statham the judgment in favor of Creditor herein this day rendered is modified with the sum of $188.00 in favor of Creditor and against the defendant Kinderd as Executor of the last will and testament of a certain Geo. Kinderd deceased. Judgment is rendered in favor of Creditor and against the defendant Kinderd as Executor of the last will and testament of a certain Geo. Kinderd deceased in the Superior Court of Fresno County State of California on the 18 day of January A.D. 1885 in favor of George Kinderd the judgment debtor herein against the defendant A. H. Statham the judgment creditor herein for the sum of $188.00 and interest in full to date hereof.

D. B. Hill
J. P.

5 Credited
- of a. Certain
Execution
on the 18th
Sale the
A. H. Statham
Sum of

H. Hills J.P.

No. 465.

# In the Justice's Court of Shiel Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

A. H. Statham — Plaintiff

vs.

George Kohler — Defendant

Action Note & Chattel

Demand $200.00 Dollars

Sayle & Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 3. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given to Constable Baldwin |
| " 9. | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein this day and filed — |
| " 23. | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein, and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law — |
| " | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint herein, and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of said Defendant in the premises having duly entered according to law, Now at this day on application of Sayle & Harris Attorneys for said Plaintiff, It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein — |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of Two Hundred Dollars the Principal sued on in this action for the sum Thirty-three & 75/100 — interest thereon at 9 per cent per annum — and for the sum of Seven Dollars Costs expended in said action in Gold Coin of the United States — |
| " | Judgment for the Principal — 200.00 |
| | " " " Interest thereon 33.75 |
| | " " " Justices fee 4.50 |
| | " " " Constable " 2.00 |
| | Total amount of Judgment $240.25 |
| | S. H. Hill J. P. |
| 1885. June 18 | Made and handed Plaintiff an Abstract of judgment — Page 144 — |

144

No. 464

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Account

Geo Stinson Plaintiff

vs.

B. B. Brock Defendant

Demand $150

Attorney for Plaintiff

Gray & Merriam

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Oct. | 2. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given to Const. Sanford |
| " | | Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given to Const. Sanford |
| " | | Now come the Defendant by his attorneys Gray & Merriam and files his demurrer to Plaintiff Complaint herein |
| 1885. Jan. | 23. | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein this day and filed. Writ of attachment returned & filed |
| | 24. | This cause settled in full — D. B. Hill Jr. P. |
| Fresno Page 145 | | |
| 1885. Jan | 25. | By directions from the attorneys of A. H. Statham the Judgment Creditor herein, this Judgment is Credited with the sum of $188.50 in full payment of a certain Judgment Rendered and Entered in the Superior Court of Fresno County State of California on the 18th day of June A.D. 1885. in favor of Geo. Stinson the Judgment Debtor herein and against A. H. Statham the Judgment Creditor herein for the sum of $188.50 and No Fresno in Docket No. 484 — D. B. Hill Jr. P. |

Fresno, Cal., 188

No. 4657.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

A. H. Statham Plaintiff

vs.

George Kohler Defendant

Action Note & Chattel Mortgage

Demand $200.00 Gold Coin

Dayle & Harris Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 8. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given to Const. Tom Faulkner |
| " 9. | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein this day and filed — |
| " 23. | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law — |
| " | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process — and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein — and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of said Defendant in the premises having duly entered according to law, Now at this day on application of Dayle & Harris Attorneys for said Plaintiff, It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of Two Hundred Dollars the Principal Sum in this action for the sum Thirty-three & 75/100 — interest thereon at 9 per cent per annum — and for the sum of Seven Dollars Costs expended in said action in Gold Coin of the United States — |

| | |
|---|---|
| " Judgment for the Principal — | 200.00 |
| " " " Interest thereon | 33.75 |
| " " " Justices Fee | 4.50 |
| " " " Constables " | 2.00 |
| Total amount of Judgment. | $240.25 |

S. H. Hill J.P.

1885. June 18 Made and handed Plaintiff an Abstract of Judgment — Page 144 —

146

No. 866.

# In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

A. J. Beckwith — Plaintiff

vs.

N. Petkovich — Defendant

Action for work & labor performed

Demand $121.00/100 —

Boyle & Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Suppa & Suppa — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884 — PROCEEDINGS.

Oct. 13. Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Const. Sadlock

" " Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein this day and filed —

" 17. Now comes the Defendant by his attorney, Suppa & Suppa and files his answer to the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein

18. This cause is set down for trial at 11. o'c. A.M. Oct. 25th 1884.

23. Defendant demands trial by Jury — summons issued & given Const.

" 25. Defendant files his offer to take Judgment for $50.00 —

" " 10. o'c. A. M. Parties in Court. Venire and Subpoenas returned and filed. Parties ready for trial — Jurors sworn to answer questions as to qualification — after which the following jurors were sworn to try the cause as follows to-wit. M. Phillips. J. A. Blearingame. M. L. Williams. S. H. Witt. N. F. Pickle. James Parkins. R. B. Lucas. J. S. Morgan. Comfort Mitchell Martin Madsen —. O. P. Nilsen & J. C. Fulton —

" Court took a recess until 11. o'c. A. M. this day —

" 11. o'c. A. M. Parties in Court Jury all present.

" A. J. Beckwith and C. Erickson. Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Plaintiff. Plaintiff asked witness A. J. Beckwith to tell the Jury the Customs of the County as to Casing walls — Defendant objected. objection overruled — Defendant Excepts — C. Erickson asked the same question. same objection. Ruling and exception — Court took a Recess until 1. o'c P. M. this day —

" 1. o'c. P. M. Parties in Court Jury all present —. Chris Hansen, Alex Anderson & Peter Jensen, Sworn and examined as witnesses by the Plaintiff. Prosecution closed —

" L. C. Harris. E. J. King sr. N. Petkovich Sworn and examined as witnesses for the defense. Defense closed — after argument of Counsel for the respective parties — the Cause was given the Jury for their deliberation and verdict.

See Page 169 —

No. 607.

# In the Justice's Court of Vinci Township,

## of the State of California, County of Sonoma

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Edwin Doe –

Defendant

Action Petit Larceny.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Oct. | 3" | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given the officer; |
| | | Sum Pay, 143. |
| 1885. Jan. | 16" | Same Comes. Robt Crawford sworn and examined, by the defence – after which the defence Closed – D L. Hogan recalled in rebuttle – Comes Closed – Argued and Submitted – The Court took the matter under advisement – |
| March | 26" | The Court – after having fully Considered this matter – Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid. it is ordered and adjudged that the defendant do have and recover of and from the Plaintiff a judgment for defendants Cost & punishd necessarily & punished in defence in this action – taxed as follows, Towit: – |
| | | Justices fee – $10.75 |
| | | Constables fee – Nothing |
| | | D. H. Hill Jus. |
| | | Cost bill filed – |

148

No. 868.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

E. B. Edmunds

Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Oct. | 4th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Const. Sadbost |
| June | | |
| Page 140. | " | in evidence - also a decree made in San. Francisco County State of California authorizing Wm E. Lehman to become a Sole Trader in submitted - |
| | | Cause argued and submitted - Court took the same under advisement - |
| Nov | 22 | After having fully considered the testimony - the Court finds that the property described in the Complaint - is the property of Plaintiff and of the value of $290 - and that the same was wrongfully taken from Plaintiff by Defendant - and upon demand Plaintiff demanded the same from Defendant - That Defendant refused to deliver the same, and still wrongfully holds the same - Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that E. Lehman the Plaintiff do have and recover of M. J. Donahoo defendant herein the possession of the following described goods and Chattels Viz: — |

43 pairs - Childrens Colored Shoes and Slippers -
7 - " Misses - " "
7 - " assorted Leather - "
12 " " " "
11 " " Shoes -
4 - " Hand Sewed Shoes -
4 - " Mens Boots -
17 - " Mens & Boys - Shoes -
1 " Leather Slippers -
1 Piece - Calf Skin -
2 " Sheep Skins
1 Bundle Sole Leather -
1 Saw and Square -
1 Jack Plane
1 Small Plane -
3 Pairs Webbing —

See Page 147

No. 669

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

James E. Hughes — Plaintiff

vs.

C. H. Hamm

Defendant

Action Account for labor

Demand $92.50/100 —

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Oct. | 4th | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given to Const Gadbock affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given to Const Gadbock |
| | 21st | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file this cause on the 21st day of Oct 1884. and filed this day — |
| | " | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed — |
| | 27. | Now comes the Defendant in proper person and files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein — |
| | 28th | This cause set down for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. Nov 4th 1884 — |
| | 31st | By order of Plaintiff this cause is dismissed — |
| | | E. H. Hill. J.P. |
| Same | | |
| Page 148. | | or for the sum of Two Hundred and Ninety dollars the value thereof in case a delivery thereof cannot be had — and the further sum of $31 50/100 Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action — in favor of the United States — |
| | " | Judgment for the possession of property and for or for the sum of — 290.00 |
| | | Judgment for the Justices fees — 10.00 |
| | | " " " Constables " 2.00 |
| | | Wm M. Lehman 1 day & 30. M — 8.00 |
| | | Paid for Deposition of E. Lehman & Mileage 11.50 |
| | | Total amount of Judgment — $321.50 |
| | | E. H. Hill J.P. — |
| | | Defendant allowed 5 days to proceed for ten days. |
| | 25. | Plaintiff filed his Bill of Costs $31 50/100 — |
| Dec. | 3. | Now comes the defendants by their attorneys Martin and Shaw and file their Notice and Undertaking on appeal to the Superior Court — |
| ~~1885 Feb~~ | ~~21.~~ | ~~Assignment of Judgment filed~~ |

No. 871.

# In the Justice's Court of Sharon Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

M. C. Jerry

Defendant

Action Assault with a deadly weapon with intent to murder

Demand $

E. B. Edwards
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 4th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued and given the officer. |
| " 7th Paid | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Case set down for examination at 11 O.C. A.M. Oct. 14th 1884. |
| " 13 | Issued Subpoena for Defendant & Com. Court. |
| " 14 | 11 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court, and in consequence of the absence of Defendant Attorney. This Cause is continued until 10 O.C. A.M. this day Oct. 18th 1884. |
| 18" | 11 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for examination. - Louisa Dennant, Edward Kontz - John Burns, Geo. Rasmussen, Joe Johnson and Pat Jay - Sworn and examined as witnesses by the People - Prosecution Closed - |
| " | Defendant waived the examination of any witness - Cause Closed - and Submitted - |
| " | The Court took the matter under advisement - |
| " 20" | The Court having fully Considered this matter, and it appearing to me that the offence in the Complaint filed herein has been Committed - that - that the Defendant M. C. Jerry did wrongfully unlawfully and feloniously, Shoot and wound one George E. Dennant, on or about the 4th day of August 1884 with a Certain Pistol then and there in the hands of the said M. C. Jerry loaded with powder and leaden Balls - and upon examination there is sufficient Cause to believe the within named M. C. Jerry guilty thereof. I order that he be held to answer to the Same, and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and that he admitted to bail in the Sum of $ Seven Thousand Dollars and is committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne until he give such bail - |

J. H. Hill
J. P.

No. 871

# In the Justice's Court of Sharon Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Selling obscene Pictures

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Edward Morgan & Frank Kirby
Defendant

Demand $

E. F. Edwards
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 6th | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Shurtliff. Bartlett. |
| Paid | " Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court " Cause set down for Trial at 1. O.C. P.M. Oct. 6th 1884 Defendant plead not guilty as charged |
| | " 2. O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court and ready for trial. Witnesses sworn and examined for the Com. after argument of Counsel for and Com. Cause submitted to the Court for its deliberation and verdict. The Court took the matter under advisement until 10. O.C. A.M. Oct. 7th |
| | 7. 10. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court. This being the time fixed for the Opinion of the Court " Whereupon it is ordered that the defendants be discharged upon the ground that the evidence is in the Opinion of the Court insufficient to find the Defendants guilty. |

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 872.

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

P. Neilson — Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. Greenwood. — Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $250.00/100

Suppe & Suppe — Attorney for Plaintiff

Doyle & Harris — Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Oct. | 6th | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Canon. — Bill Hearn |
| | 7. | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein this day and filed — |
| " | 8. | Now comes the Defendant by his attorneys Doyle & Harris and files his answer to the Plaintiff's Complaint herein — |
| Dec | 31. | This cause is set down for trial at 11. o'c. A. M. Jan. 6th 1885. |
| 1885 | " | Continued by Consent — without day named — |
| 1885. Jan | 26 | By Consent — this cause set down for trial at 11. o'c. A. M. Feb. 9th 1885 — |
| Feb. | 9. | 11. o'c. A. M. Cause Continued generally — |

No. 873.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of "Township" Inyo

Action Battery—

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Bale—

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884- | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 6. | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. LeBlanc |
| " " | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty as Charged — and waives the legal time for sentence and asks to be Sentenced now — |
| " | Wherefor by reason of the law and of the premises aforesaid. It is ordered and adjudged the you Chs. Bale for said offence be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $65/100 Defendant at once pay said fine in to Court and thereupon discharged — Paid Court $2.50 |
| | S. A. Hill J.P. |

No. 874.

# In the Justice's Court of Knight Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

John Enders & Fred Bonnett — Plaintiffs

vs.

Daniel Maloney & Mary Allison — Defendant

Action account

Demand $129.25/100

Duppa & Duppa — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 7th | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Plaintiff attorney — |
| " | Cause dismissed by order of Plaintiff Counsel — |
| | S. H. Hill J.P. |
| Fresno. Page 157. | That you be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Fresno County, and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of One Hundred days in the proportion of one days imprisonment for each dollar of said fine — |
| | S. H. Hill. J.P. |
| Nov 1st | Now comes the defendant by his attorney E. E. Calhoun and files his Notice of Appeal and Undertakings on appeal to the Supreme Court — |
| | Bonds approved and filed — |
| | Hand the County Clerk the papers in this action — |

No. 475.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Sierra

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Daniels — Defendant

Action Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| 1864 Oct. 4th | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Constable Davis |

156

No. 476.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Knecht

Defendant

Action Selling Whiskey to Indians

Demand $

E. D. Edmands
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884- | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 10th | Complaint filed Warrant issued thereon Constable |
| " 15th | Defendant appeared by his Counsel in the sum of $200 Dollars Same being filed this day |
| " | Cause set down for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. Oct. 22d. 1884 |
| 22 | 2. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court Summons to the Complaint filed Same Summons Argued and Demurrer Defendant Excepts Defendant pleads Not guilty as charged in the Complaint Cause set down for Trial at 9. O.C. A.M. Oct. 23d 1884 Defendant demands trial by Jury Venire issued thereon Const |
| 23 | 9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court Venire returned and filed Defendant ready for trial 11. Jury men Sworn to try the Cause by Consent of Parties Witnesses Sworn and Examined for and Con after Argument Jury for the Defend the Cause was given the Jury Defendant acquitted and discharged |

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 877.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Burglary

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Bruno

Defendant

Demand $

E. E. Edwards
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884- | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 10th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const- Defendant |
| Paid | in Court- and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court, Defendant- subsequently pleads not guilty- Held for his appearance for trial in the Sum of $200.00 fee- |
| " 14 | This Cause Set- down for trial at- 2. O.C. P.M. Oct. 15th 1884 |
| | Issued Subpoena for the People- |
| 15th | Defendant- in Court. Cause Continued in consequence of the absence of the prosecuting witness- until 2. O.C. P.M. Oct. 16th 1884- |
| 16th | Cause Continued generally by consent- of the Defendant he being in Court- at 2. O.C. P.M. this day- |
| 17th | By Court- this Cause Set- down for trial at- 2. O.C. P.M. Oct. 18th 1884- Jury trial waived by both parties- |
| 18th | 2. O.C. P.M. Defendant- in Court- and ready for examination- Mary Mills & Zoe Smith Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People- People Closed- Theodore Schmidt. M. J. Bixpo. Wm Adams. Louis Paradise- Defendant Closed- Cause Submitted- |
| " | The Court after hearing the evidence- and having fully considered said testimony & evidence finds the Defendant- guilty as Charged in the Complaint- and orders that the Defendant- appear for Sentence at- 9. O.C. A.M. October the 20th 1884- |
| " | Defendant filed his Bond for his appearance for Sentence as aforesaid- Bond approved- |
| 20th | 9. O.C. A.M. Defendant- in Court. This being the time fixed for Sentence. Whereupon by reason of the Law and the evidence and the premises aforesaid. It is ordered and adjudged that you John Bruno for this offence be and you are hereby committed to the Sheriff of Fresno County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of One Hundred days and in addition that you be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of One Hundred dollars and in default of the payment of said fine- See Page 15-4- |

158

No. 878

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Grand Larceny.

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Williams & Chas Logan

Defendant

Demand $

E. B. Colcord
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 11. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued and given Const. Meade |
| " 13 | Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Law. Defendants say they are ready for examination - People ready - |
| " | O. J. Meade & R. H. Bozeman Sworn and examined as witness for the People - Prosecution Closed - Defendant Sworn and made a Statement in their own behalf - Cause Closed - |
| | Court took the matter under advisement until 2. O.C.A.M. Oct - 14th 1884 - Defendants remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff until Said Time - |
| 14 | 2. O.C.A.M. Defendants in Court. The Court having fully Considered this Cause of Action - and It appearing to me that the offence in the within complaint mentioned to wit - the Said Defendants did on the 10th day of October 1884. feloniously steal take and Carry away from the person of one John Doe whose true name is unknown one Silk Handkerchief of the value of fifty Cents the property of Said John Doe - and that there is sufficient cause to believe the above named Frank Williams and Charly Logan guilty thereof - & Order that they be held to answer to the Same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno - and that they be admitted to bail in the Sum of $1,000.00 each and be Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until they give Such bail - |
| | D. H. Hill |
| | J. P. |

No. 879.

# In the Justice's Court of Ivins Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Alex Scott- John Doe & Richard Roe-

Defendant

Action Burglary-

Demand $

E. S. Redmond, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 13. | Complaint filed Warrant issued thereon & delivered to Sheriff Fanning- |
| " | Defendant Alexander Scott in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law- Defendant remanded to the custody of the Sheriff of Tuolumne County to await his examination- |
| " 20. | Defendant in Court- and on Motion of the District Attorney the charge of Burglary be withdrawn- and that the defendant be allowed to plead guilty to the crime of Petit Larceny- The Court Do order- Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence at 5. O.C. P.M- this day- |
| " 21" | 10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court- this being the time fixed for Sentence Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that you Alex Scott- be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $5 Cts and in default of the payment of said that you be and are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne- and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period not exceeding five days— |

S. H. Hill Just

No. 880.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Ying Lee — Defendant

Action Arson

Demand $

Suppes & Suppes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 13" | Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. Meade- |
| " 14" | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Cause set down for examination at 10 O.C. A.M. Oct. the 15th 1884- |
| " | Defendant remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff in default of a Bond in the Sum of $5000- |
| " 15. | By consent this Cause is set down for examination at 10 O.C. A.M. Oct. 22d 1884- |
| " | Issued Subpoena for Defendant & one Wh. Conrad- |
| 17. | Issued Subpoena for the People & one Const. Meade- |
| 22. | 10 O.C. A.M. Parties in Court- Cause Continued until 2 O.C. P.M- |
| " | 2 O.C. P.M. Parties in Court- the prosecution asks a Continuance upon the grounds fan absent witness Cause Continued until 10 O.C. A.M. Oct. 23d 1884- |
| " 23. | 2 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial on examination- F.S. Suppes. C.A. Sage. J.E. Longacre and Ah Gun Sworn and examined as witnesses for Prosecution- People Close- Ying Lee Made a Statement in his own behalf- Cause Closed- |
| " | The Court took the same under advisement- until 2 O.C P.M. Oct. 24th 1884- |
| 24" | 2 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court. The Court having fully Considered this Matter and it appearing to me that the offence of assault with intent to Commit Murder, a felony has been Committed as follows to wit: That on or about the 18th day of May 1884, at the County of Fresno State of California an unlawful attempt, Coupled with the present ability, was Made to Kill. Ying Lee, Ah Gun and two other Chinamen, as follows, at the time and place aforesaid by means of Fagots, fire, fuel and gunpowder placed next to and under a building, occupied as a wash house and sleeping apartment by said Ying Lee, Ah Gun and two other Chinamen- (See Page 161) |

No. 881

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Owen Holmes — Plaintiff

vs.

H. L. Thompson — Defendant

Action Account for Rent

Demand $10.00/100

Frank Owen
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 14 | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given to Const. Fresno |
| " " | Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein this day and filed — |
| 15 | By consent this cause is set down for trial at 11 O.C.A.M. Oct. 22d 1884 — |
| " | Issued Subpoena for Defendant given to Const. Counsel — |
| 16 | Parties in Court; Cause dismissed Defendant paying costs — |

D. H. Hill J.P.

---

Fresno. Page 140.

caused in the night-time, an attempt was made unlawfully, feloniously and with Malice aforethought to Kill and Murder said Ning Lee. Ah Gun and two other Chinamen Witnesses being — and it further appearing to me that there is sufficient cause to believe the within named Ning Lee guilty thereof. I do order that he be held to answer to the same. and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno — and that he be admitted to bail in the sum of Three Hundred ($300.00) Dollars — and is committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until he give such Bail —

D. H. Hill J.P.

Handed the Papers in this action to W. B. Trapp —

No. 682

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Indian Sam— Defendant

Action a Bond with intent to Murder—

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct, 15 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Wilkinson |
| " 17 | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Cause Set down for examination at 2. O.C. P.M. Oct. 23d 1884— |
| " " | Issued Subpoenas for the People and the Defendant— |
| Paid 23 | 3. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial— John N. Appleton. Charly "Indian" & Mollie "Indian" Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People— after which the People Closed— Defendant summed in his own behalf— Cause Closed— |
| " | After hearing the testimony pro and Con— and It appearing to me that the offence in the depositions mentioned filed herein has been committed within this Township by the defendant on or about the 13th day of October 1884— did assault on John N. Appleton with a certain Pistol— and did then and there willfully unlawfully and Maliciously Shoot at the said John N. Appleton a human being— with said Certain Pistol— with intent to Kill and Murder said John N. Appleton— said Pistol being then and there loaded with powder and leaden Balls— and there is sufficient cause to believe the above named Sam "Indian" guilty thereof I order that he be held to answer to the same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne, and that he be admitted to bail in the sum of Five Hundred Dollars, and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne until he give such bail— |

E. H. Wile J.P.

No. 883.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

Carrie Delmar — Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Ball — Defendant

Action Account for wages

Demand $48.50/100

E. S. Edmands & F. R. Bell — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 15th | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Plaintiff attorneys |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff attorneys. |
| " 17th | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 16th day of October 1884. |
| " | Writ of attachment & account returned and filed. |

No. 884.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

The Inyo Lumber Company
Plaintiff

vs.

Yee Guth
Defendant

Action Account for Lumber

Demand $ 56.68/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 17th | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given to Const. Badlock |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given to Const. Badlock |
| 18 | This cause settled in full of all demand— |
| | D. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 865.

# In the Justice's Court of 2d Thud Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Acct. for goods and Merchandise

Demand $21.57/100

Hattingly & Co — Plaintiff

vs.

Eve Gusti — Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 17" | Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Const. Paddock |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given to Const. Paddock |
| 18" | This cause settled in favor of plaintiffs |

D. K. Hill & Co.

No. 4864

# In the Justice's Court of Shine Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action Note & Judgment

Butner & Goldstein
Plaintiff

vs.

C. H. Haven
Defendant

Demand $149.25

Tuppa & Tuppa
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 18" | Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Sh: Coun: Bartlett |
| " 21" | Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 21st day of October 1884 and filed— |
| " 27" | Now comes the Defendant in proper person and file his answer to Plaintiff's Complaint herein— |

No. 667.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Yuba

Kutner & Goldstein
Plaintiff

vs.

P. B. Lonabee
Defendant

Action Note & Chattel.

Demand $70.00 Gen

Suppes & Suppes
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 18 | Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Dept. Const. Endicott. |
| " | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein this day and filed — |
| 23 | Now comes the Defendant in proper person and files his answer and denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff's Complaint contained — |
| 29 | This cause coming on for hearing by Defendant & his counsel — |
| | S. H. Hill, J.P. |

No. 888.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

W. J. Rowe — Plaintiff

vs.

C. R. Brown — Defendant

Action For Merchandise Sold and delivered

Demand $299.00/100

H. H. Gray & C. C. Miriam — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 18th | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Plaintiff |
| " | Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff |
| " 22. | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein. on the 22d day of October 1884 and filed — |
| " | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed — |
| " 31st | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law — |
| " | In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law. Now Comes at this day and on application of H. H. Gray & Miriam attorneys for the Plaintiff — It is ordered that Judgment be entered against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of Two Hundred and Ninety Nine Dollars the Principal and the further sum of Fifteen and 90/100 dollars costs necessarily expended in this action in lawful money of the United States — |
| " | Judgment for the Principal 299.00 |
| | " " " Justices fees — 8.50 |
| | " " " Constables " 7.40 |
| " | Total amount of Judgment. $314.90 |

S. H. Hall J.P.

No. 889

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph D Jimmy

Defendant

Action Dis Turbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 21. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & in officers attorney |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against |
| Oct 21 | him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant held |
| | for trial in a Bond of $25.00 Bond given & approved |

No. 890.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Joseph D Spinney Plaintiff

vs.

Amos Hussey Defendant

Action Account.

Demand $160.50/100

Gregory & Sapp
Attorney for Plaintiff

Wharton & Shaw
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 21st | Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Const. Tuolumne |
| " | Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment. issued to Const. Tuolumne. |
| " | Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein this day & filed. Writ of attachment executed returned and filed |
| " 25 | Now comes the Defendant by his attorney Wharton & Shaw and files his demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein |
| Nov " 25 | Demurrer Set down for argument at 10. O.C. A.M. Nov 26th 1884 |
| Dec 6 | Parties in court. Demurrer to Complaint overruled. Complaint amended. a bill of particulars to be furnished defendant by Monday the 8th of Dec, 1884. Defendant to answer by the 10th of Dec. 1884. |
| " 16. | Defendant filed his Notice for further account |
| 1885. " | " " " " Answer & Plffs. Complaint herein |
| Feb " 24 | Cause Set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. March 2d 1885. both Parties waive trial by jury. Cause tried by the Court. |
| March 2. | By Consent this Cause is Continued generally |
| " 26th | This Cause is Set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. March 28th 1885. |
| " 28th | This cause by consent Continued indefinitely |
| April 28 | By Consent of Parties this Cause is Set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. May the 1st 1885. |
| May 1st | Cause Continued until 10. O.C. A.M. May 2d 1885. until 1 O.C. P.M. |
| " 2. | 1. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court and ready for trial. Joseph D Spinney Sworn and examined as a witness for the Plaintiff. Spinners Book Submitted in evidence. Amos Hussey. Sworn and examined as a witness for the defence. Cause Closed. Argued and Submitted. The Court took the Cause under advisement. |
| " 11th | The Court having fully Considered this matter. |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the law and the evidence and all of the premises aforesaid. it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover and from the Defendant a judgment for the Sum of One Hundred and One and 50/100 dollars damages. See Page 172. |

No. 891.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

B. W. Jenda

Defendant

Action Fraud-

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 21st | Complaint filed Warrant issued & Jury Court- Made |
| Davis | 25. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against- him and of his rights before the Law Court Set- down for examination at 2. O.C. P.M. Oct. 27th 1884- |
| | 27. 2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial- |
| " | N. N. Smith, Louis Einstein, J. E. Jones- Benjamin Myers. G. H Whittmore, Thomas Hill. Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People. Prosecution closed- B. W. Jenda- Sworn and made a Statement in his own behalf. Defense closed- |
| " | After argument of Counsel- The Court took the matter under advisement- |
| Nov. " | 5th The Court- after having fully Considered this matter- and it appearing to me that the offence of Embezzlement has been Committed as follows to wit: That on or about 20th day of October 1884- at the County of Tuolumne State of California: That the above named defendant did wilfully and feloniously, fraudulently appropriate the Sum of $54 the personal property of N. N. Smith & E. J. Jones with a fraudulent intent- by false representation to one Louis Einstein- and that there is sufficient- Cause to believe the above named B. W. Jenda guilty thereof- I order that he be held to answer to the same and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne, and that he be admitted to bail in the Sum of Five Hundred Dollars- and is Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne until he give such bail- |
| | D. H. Hill |
| | J. P. |
| " | Handed the papers in this action to the District attorney |

No. 492.

# In the Justice's Court of Flint Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action account

Matt Burton — Plaintiff

vs.

Lee Wah — Defendant

Demand $13.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 21st | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given to Const: Paddock |
| " " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment |
| | approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given to Const: Paddock |
| " " | This cause paid in full of all demands. |
| | S. H. Hill J.P. |
| Same | |
| Page 170. | And the further sum of Twenty one and 14/100 Costs ne- |
| | cessarily incurred in this action all in Lawful Money |
| | of the United States- |
| " | Judgment for the damages- 111.50 |
| | " " " Justices fees- 13.70 |
| | " " " Constables " 7.40 |
| " | Total amount of Judgment. $.122.60 |
| | S. H. Hill J.P. |
| May 11. | Defendant allowed 10 days proceedings for ten days- |
| 12. | Order of proceeding set aside- |
| " | Issued Execution returnable within ten days to Const- |
| " | Execution returned fully Satisfied- |
| | S. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 493.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

J. H. Bohmbach — Plaintiff

vs.

Robert Lucas — Defendant

Action Account.

Demand $27.00/100

E. E. Calhoun. Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884- | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 22. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Plaintiff attorney- |
| Nov. 13" | Summons returned & showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 13th day of November 1884 and filed- |
| " 24" | In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law having expired for answering the default of the defendant is hereby duly entered according to law- |
| Dec. 2. | In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law, Now at this day on application of E. E. Calhoun attorney for Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment be entered against the defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein- |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the Sum of $27.00 the Principal Sued for and the further Sum of $6.50 Cost necessarily incurred in this action in Lawful money of the United States- |
| " | Judgment for the Principal 27.00 |
| | " " Justices fees 5.00 |
| | " " Constables " 1.50 |
| " | Total amount of Judgment- $33.50 |
| | D. H. Hill J.P. |
| 1885. Jany 1. | J. P. fee paid by Plaintiff |

No. 694.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Yuba

J. H. Bohmbach. Plaintiff

vs.

Herman Knendal Defendant

Action Account.

Demand $13 95/100

E. E. Calhoun Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 22nd | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff Attorney |
| " | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 22nd day of Oct 1884 and filed |
| " 28th | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to Law |
| 1885 July 1 | J. P. fees paid by Plaintiff |

No. 895.

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

E. D. Edmonds
Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Johnson & W. J. Church
Defendant

Action Note & Hand

Demand $20.00/100

E. D. Edmonds
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 22 | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Court. |
| " 25 | Summons returned showing personal service on the defendants- Together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 25th day of October 1884 and filed — |
| " 31st | In this action the defendants having been regularly Served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs complaint on file herein — and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendants each in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law — |
| " | In this action the defendants having been regularly Served with process and having failed to appear and and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein, and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendants each in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendants in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — |
| " | Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendants the Sum of Twenty Dollars and for the Sum of Two and 44/100 interest thereon — and the further Sum of Eight and 24/100 Dollars Costs necessarily expended in this action — in lawful money of the U. S. — |
| | Judgment for the principal 20.00 |
| | " " " Interest thereon — 2.44 |
| | " " " Justices fees — 5.00 |
| | " " " Constables " 3.20 |
| | Total amount of Judgment — $ 30.64 |
| | D. H. Hill J. P. — |
| Dec. 9th | Issued Execution returnable within sixty days and gave Court |

No. 576.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Louie Myer — Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 22 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Deputy Const. Sadlock |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads not guilty as charged. Cause set down for trial at 9 O.C.A.M. Oct 23. 1884. Bond for appearance $25.00 — |
| 26" | Cause dismissed for want of prosecution — |

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 897.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo.

Louis and Bard. — Plaintiff

vs.

The Iowa and California Mining Co. — Defendant

Action account

Demand $285.00/100

H. S. Brown
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 24. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Pitchman |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Pitchman |
| " 25th | This cause is settled in full of all demands.<br>S. W. Hill. J.P. |

No. 888

# In the Justice's Court of 2nd Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Gabe Cho-Agn and Wah Chul- Juan Galinda
Defendant

Action Burglary-

Demand $

E. B. Edmunds
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 20th 24. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Hitchcock |
| 1885. June 10 | Issued alias Warrant for the arrest of Juan Galinda one of the Defendants in this action- |
| July 9th | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court Cause set down for examination at 11. O.C. A.M. July 25th 1885- |
| " 18. | On Motion of this District Attorney this Cause is dismissed- |

D. K. Hill-
J.P.

No. 899

# In the Justice's Court of Grind Township,

## of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

J. G. Slaven —
Defendant

Action Indemnity

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 - | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 26. | The affidavit of J. O. F. Meade being upon which a warrant was issued and given Said O. F. Meade returnable before Hon. D. A. Holmes Dispensing Judge of Butte County and State aforesaid — D. H. Hill J. P. |

No. 967.

# In the Justice's Court of Shirt Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
John Smith, Charles Laban and John Burk, Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 26. | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. LeBlanc |
| " 27. | Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Law — Defendants plead guilty as charged — and waived the legal time for Sentence and asked to be Sentenced now — |
| | When for by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that for this offence, that you John Smith Charles Laban, and John Burk, be and you are each of you hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Inyo — and that you and each of you be by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period not Exceeding five days each — |
| | S. H. Hill J.P. |
| Inyo Page 186. | Judgment allowing the possession of said property and if delivery cannot be had then for the value thereof. $125-00 |
| | Judgment for the Justices fees — Paid — 13.25 |
| | " " " Constable " Paid by J.P. — 12.60 |
| | Wm. Martin Witness — Paid days " day — @ 14.00 — 4.80 |
| | Sam Hamilton Paid — by J.P. — " 24. " 6.80 |
| | N. M. Parker Paid J.P. " " " 14 " 4.80 |
| | J.B. Harbison Paid — by J.P. " 14. " 4.80 |
| | W. M. Nolle Paid by J.P. " " " 30. 8.00 |
| | H. B. Harbison Paid J.P. " " " 14 — 4.80 |
| | Jesse Tenney Paid by J.P. — " " 00 — 2.00 |
| | A. Wilkinson " Paid by J.P. — " " 2.00 |
| " | Total amount of Judgment — $188.85 |
| | S. H. Hill J.P. |
| | Defendant allowed 2 days of proceedings for his days — |
| Inyo 6. | Plaint filed his cost — Bill $63.85 — |
| " 9. | Defendant allowed until Inyo — 2 P.M. to pay Costs — |
| " 23. | Paid in full — |
| | S. H. Hill J.P. |
| Inyo 23. | Paid in full — S. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 901.

# In the Justice's Court of Stirling Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Edward Lowry
Defendant

Action Burglary Petit Larceny

Demand $

E. S. Pound Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 27. | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Grace |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads not guilty as charged. Cause set down for trial at 9. O.C. A.M. Oct. 28th 1884. |
| 28. | 9. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and ready for trial. W. J. Mattingly and E. L. Sloan. Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People. Prosecution rests. Defendant waived the examination of any witness. The Court after hearing the evidence and fully considering the same finds the defendant guilty as charged and ordered that the defendant appear for Sentence at 4. O.C. P.M. this day. |
| " | 4. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court. This being the hour fixed for Sentence. Whereupon by reason of the law and the evidence in the premises aforesaid. It is ordered and adjudged that the Defendant Edward Lowry for said offence be and he is hereby fined in the Sum of $20.00 and in default of said fine be and he is hereby Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period Not Exceeding twenty days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine. |

S. H. Hale J.P.

No. 902

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Charley Rolph Defendant

Demand $

E. B. Edmands — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Oct. | 28 | Complaint filed Warrant of arrest issued & given to Const. Gadbert |
| Oct. | 29 | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads not guilty as charged in the complaint. Cause set down for trial at 1. O'C. P.M. Oct. 30th 1884. Defendant demands trial by jury. Venire issued and Subpoena issued for the People and given to Const. Gadbert |
| " | 30 | 2. O'C. P.M. Defendant in Court, venire and Subpoenas returned and filed. Parties say they are ready for trial. Jurors drawn to answer questions as to qualification, after which the following jurors were drawn & by the Court viz: J. C. Welch. R. E. Bennett. B. K. Bruce. Jas Ryan. L. Shelby. S. Griffith. A. Baird. H. Smith. A. Jacoby. D. De Lano. T. Clapp, and B. Schell. Edward Albaugh. & G. O. Owen drawn and examined as witness for the People. Prosecution closed. Defendant drawn and made a statement in his own behalf. Cause closed. after which the Court was given the jury for their deliberation and verdict. The jury after remaining out for some time were returned in to Court. and say they cannot agree at all. Jury discharged. |
| Nov. | 3 | This cause is set down for trial at 1. O'C. P.M. Nov 5th 1884. |
| | 5 | Issued venire for the Defendant. |
| " | " | 1. O'C. P.M. Venire returned and filed. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial. Jurors drawn to answer questions as to qualification. after which by consent of parties the following jurors was drawn & by the Court viz: John Morgan. G. P. Simpson. H. H. Chance. J. C. Hewett. Thomas Carzile. John Troop. J. M. Burleigh M. L. Williams. Thos Simpson & J. C. Walker. |
| " | | Edward Albaugh. and G. O. Owens drawn and examined as witness for the People. Prosecution closed. Charley Rolph. sworn and examined in his own behalf. Defendant closed. Cause given the jury for their deliberation and verdict. |

"See Page 183"

No. 903.

# In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

D. S. Jury & R. B. Jury Plaintiff

vs.

William Forsyth

Defendant

Action For Legal Services

Demand $150.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 28th | Complaint filed Summons issued and given Dept. Const. Paddock |
| 29th | Summons returned and showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein — on this day and filed — |
| Nov. 3rd | Cause settled in full & Dismissed — S. B. Hill J.P. |
| from Page 182. | The jury after a short deliberation brought in the following verdict: We the Jury find the Defendant guilty of Larceny. J. C. Walker Foreman — |
| " | The Court ordered the defendant to appear for verdict at 9. O'C. A.M. November the 6th 1884 — |
| 6th | 2. O'C. P. M. Defendant in Court. This being the hour fixed for Sentence. Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid. It is ordered and adjudged that you Charles Rolph for this offence be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $25.00 — and in default of the payment of said that you be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for aforesaid not exceeding twenty five days the imprisonment for any dollar of said fine — S. B. Hill J.P. |

No. 704.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

James D Harbison Plaintiff

vs.

William Ray — Defendant

Action Replevin

Demand $125 & Costs. Damages $50 &

Thornton & Shearer
Attorney for Plaintiff

Doyle & Harris
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Oct. | 28th | Complaint and Claim and Delivery filed. Summons issued and given Constable Williams. Affidavit and Claim and delivery Bond filed. Undertaking on Claim and delivery issued |
| " | " | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint and file herein — this day and filed — |
| " | | Undertaking returned and filed — this day — |
| " | | Affidavit returned and filed — |
| " | 31st | Now come the Defendant by his attorneys Doyle & Harris and file his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint and file herein |
| Nov. | 13th | Defendant files Stipulation to try this Cause — at 10. O.C. A.M. November 15th 1884 — Issued 4 Grand Defendant Attorney Subpoenas |
| " | 17th | Subpoena issued for Plaintiff & given Const. |
| | 18th | Subpoenas returned and filed — |
| " | | 10. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court and ready for trial — J. D. Harbison. D. B. Hamilton. J. B. Harbison. Sworn and examined as witnesses by the Plaintiff. Court took a recess until 1. O.C. P.M. this day — |
| " | | 1. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court and ready to proceed |
| " | | H. J. Martin Wm. A. Noble — Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Plaintiff — Prosecution Closed direct — C. H. Hamm Wm. Ray — S. G. Stigall. P. A. Carville — A. H. Statham — Sworn and examined as witnesses by the Defendant — Defence Closed direct — A. Williams and Wm. Ennis — Sworn and examined in rebuttle by the defence — Cases Closed — Cases Submitted to the Court for its deliberation and verdict — Court took the matter under advisement. |
| Dec. | 2nd | The Court having duly considered this matter the evidence and arguments of Counsel for the respective parties find for the Plaintiff — That he is the owner of the property described in the Complaint — towit: One Sorrel Horse with white Spot in the head and on nose a defect about the eye — (See Page 186) |

No. 905

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

E. J. Lonzy - Plaintiff

vs.

Simon Henry & Herman Zunk Defendant

Action Damages -

Demand $299.99/100 & $50 cts

F. S. Grady & C. C. Morrison
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 28th | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Court - Witnesses. |
| " 31st | Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 29th day of October 1884 and filed herein - |
| Nov. 5th | In this action the defendants - Simon Henry & Herman Zunk having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time |
| " | The appearance of the defendants being void. a new Summons issued - and given Court, Witnesses - |
| 6th | Summons returned showing personal service on the defendants together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein - On the 6th of November 1884 - and filed - |
| " 12th | In this action the defendants Simon Henry and Herman Zunk having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein - and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendants Simon Henry and Herman Zunk each in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law - |
| " 17th | And afterwards to wit: on this 17th day of November 1884 - the Plaintiff being present in Court with his attorney C. C Morrison - and the following witnesses namely E. J. Lonzy - and Thomas Lonzy - and were duly sworn and testified in the Cause before the Court on behalf of the Plaintiff. and the Court being duly informed in the premises and having Considered the Same - Now therefore it is Considered and adjudged that E J. Lonzy - the plaintiff. do have and recover of and from Simon Henry, and Herman Zunk, the Sum of Three dollars damages upon the first Cause of action set forth in the Complaint herein - and that said plaintiff do have and recover of and from said defendants the Sum of Two Hundred and Ninety Nine Dollars damages - (See Page 188) |

No. 906.

# In the Justice's Court of Hind Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

The Tuolumne Canal & Irrigation Company Corporation Defendant.

Action Unlawfully obstructing the free passage of water.

Demand $

E. B. Edwards Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 29th | Complaint filed Summons issued and given to Constable Sackett |
| 31st | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein - on the 30th day of Oct 1884. & filed — |
| Nov 10th | By Consent of parties this Cause is Continued until 10. O.C. A.M. Nov. the 12th 1884 — Issued Subpoenas for the People and gave Cost — |
| " 12th | Subpoena returned and filed — Cause dismissed Defendant paying Cost. E. H. Hill J.P. |

From Page 184.

both hind feet white to some extent — Branded with a heart and half Circle on the left thigh and a heart on right Shoulder — and that said horse is of the value of $125 cts and that said defendant wrongfully & unlawfully detains the same from the Plaintiff, and that the same has not been delivered to the Plaintiff under this proceeding but was held and retained by the defendant upon his giving a bond for that purpose —

It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the possession of said property to wit; One Sorrel Horse with white Spot in forehead and on the nose — a defect about the eye — both hind feet white to some extent — branded with a heart and half Circle on the left thigh, and a heart on the right Shoulder together with the costs of this action by him expended taxed at $63.85 cts and that in case a delivery of said property cannot be had then in lieu of such delivery that the Plaintiff recover of said defendant the value thereof to wit; the Sum of $125 cts — and that a writ be issued herein to Carry this judgment into execution —

(See Page 180)

No. 907.

# In the Justice's Court of Stent Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Elmer G. Drake — Plaintiff

vs.

Jesse Morrow & Mary Morrow his wife — Defendant

Action Account for board

Suspense

Demand $73 50/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 29th | Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Const. Sadlock |
| Nov. 3d | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendants together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein this day and filed — |
| " 7th | Defendant paid on this action the sum of $20.00 — Leaving a balance now due and unpaid of — $53.50 |
| " 28th | Received this action the sum of $20.00 — Leaving a balance due and unpaid of — $33.50 |
| " 30th | In this action the Defendants having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein, and the time for answering allowed by law having expired the default of the said defendants in the premises is hereby duly entered according to Law |
| " | In this action the Defendants having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendants such in the premises being duly entered according to Law. Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff, It is ordered that Judgment be entered against the said defendants in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendants the sum of $33.50 balance now due on account sued on, and the sum of $6.50 Costs necessarily incurred in this action in Lawful Money of the United States — |
| " | Judgment for the amount aforesaid due — 33.50 |
| " | " " " Justices fee — 4.50 |
| " | " " " Constable " 2.00 |
| " | Total amount of Judgment — $40.00 |
| | D. H. Hill J.P. |
| 1885. Jan. 21. | Execution issued and given Const. Hinchcliff — |
| 21. | Paid on said Judgment the sum $30. |

No. 918.

# In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Yuma

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
G. B. Willig, Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 29 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given affiant- |
| paid " | Defendant brought into Court by Const. Cox-Sandwich |
| | and informed of the Charge against him and of his |
| | rights before the Court. Defendant pleads Not guilty |
| | as charged — Defendant held to answer in the sum |
| | of One Hundred Dollars-Bond approved & filed- |
| " 30 | Jury sworn the jury impaneled and sworn and |
| | find he has received satisfaction for the injury |
| | done him, and assumed the payment of the |
| | Cost incurred - Whereupon it is ordered that all |
| | proceeding be stayed upon the prosecution and |
| | the defendant to be discharged therefrom — |
| | S. H. Hill J.P. |
| Nov. | ——————————————————— |
| Page 185 | upon the second Cause of Action set forth in said |
| | Complaint herein — together with said Plaintiffs Costs |
| | and disbursements incurred in this action taxed at fifteen |
| | and 20/100 — Dollars in Lawful Money of the United States — |
| " | Judgment for first Cause of Action — 30.00 |
| | " " Second " " " 299.00 |
| | " " Justices Fees — 6.00 |
| | " " Constable " 9.20 |
| " | Total amount of Judgment " $344.20 |
| | S. H. Hill J.P. — |
| Nov. " 18 | Defendant files his notice of Motion to set aside Judgment |
| " | " " " The affidavits of E. B. Edwards and |
| | H. Hunt — filed herein |
| " 24 | Parties in Court — Motion argued to set a side Judgment — |
| | Motion allowed — Plaintiffs Exceptions — |
| " | Defendant files his answer to Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — |
| " | Cause set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Nov. 28th 1884. |
| " | Issued subpoenas for Plaintiff & Defendant — |
| " 26 | Defendants demand trial by Jury — Issue joined — |
| 28th 24 | Continued until 10. O.C. A.M. Nov 29th 1884 — |

See Page 201

No. 919.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
E. B. Levy, Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff
W. S. Gray
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 30. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & Def'dt in Court. Witnesses |
| " 31 | Def'dt in Court, and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Def'dt held to answer in a bond of fifty dollars for his appearance for trial. |
| Nov. 17. | Cause set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Nov. 28th 1884. |
| " | Issued Subpoenas for the People and Def'dt |
| " 26. | Def'dt demands trial by Jury - Venire issued. |
| 28 | Continued until 1. O.C. P.M. this day. |
| " | 1. O.C. P.M. Def'dt in Court and ready for trial Venire and Subpoenas returned and filed. Jurors Sworn to answer question as to qualification - after which the following Jurors by consent of both parties were Sworn to try the Cause Viz: A. H. McCrary - P. Monahan H. H. Chance C. H. De Long - Geo. Ralph - D. H. Hill - H. J. Briggs and Alex Silverstein - |
| | Herman Frank - Frank Short - Arthur Davis. Thomas Cook and Fred Hoag - Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People - Prosecution Rests - direct - |
| | E. B. Levy. Mrs Cavanaugh and Miss Cavanaugh - Sworn and examined as witnesses for the defense - before Close direct - |
| | Frank recalled in rebuttle by the Prosecution - |
| | E. B. Levy - recalled in rebuttle by the defense - Cause Closed - |
| " | after argument of Counsel for the respective parties. the Cause was given the Jury for their deliberation & retired |
| " | after a Continued retirement the Jury was returned in to Court - Stating that they could not agree - upon a verdict - Jury discharged - by the Court |
| 1885. Jan 3. | This Cause dismissed for want of prosecution - |

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 910.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Note & Chattel

Hans Neilsen & James Rasmussen
Plaintiffs

vs.

Wm. J. Harper
Defendant

Demand $73.50/100 with interest

Wheaton & Shaw
Attorney for Plaintiff

C. C. Thurmin
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 31. | Complaint filed. Summons issued and given Plaintiffs |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiffs. |
| Nov. 14. | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 14th day of Nov 1884 and filed. |
| | Writ of attachment executed returned & filed. |
| " 17th | Now comes the Defendant by his Counsel C. C. Thurmin and files his answer to Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein. |
| " 24th | By consent of the Parties to this action this cause is set down for trial at 2. O.C.P.M. December 4th A.D. 1884. |
| Dec. 1st | Issued Subpoena for the Defendant & given Court. |
| 2. | Subpoena issued returned and filed. |
| " | Subpoena issued for the Plaintiffs. |
| " 4. | Sub. returned and filed. |
| " " | 2. O.C.P.M. Parties in Court and say they are ready for trial. Both parties waive trial by Jury. |
| | James Rasmussen, S. G. Hendee, J. Hedrick, Hans Nielsen Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Plaintiffs. Prosecution Closes. Note filed in evidence by the Plaintiffs. |
| | W. J. Harper Sworn and examined in his own defence. |
| | Cause closed. And after argument of Counsel for the respective Parties. Cause submitted to the Court for its consideration and verdict. |
| " | The Court after hearing the testimony and arguments of Counsel pro and con. |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid. It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiffs do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the Sum of Seventy three & 50/100 the principal of the Note sued on, and the Sum the Sum of $26.27/100 the interest thereon - and for the Sum of $57.50 Costs accruing in Court in this action and the further Sum of $20 Cts - attorney fees - all in Coin of the United States. |

See Page 199

No. 911

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action upon Contract-

Harry Stewart- Plaintiff

vs.

John Woods Sam. Woods & Bice Woods. Defendant

Demand $250.00 Dlrs-

H. S. Grady & C. E. Bunnam Attorney for Plaintiff

Duppa & Duppa Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884- | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 31st | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given to Sheriff. Court adjourned |
| Nov. 3rd | Now comes the Defendant by their attorney Duppa & Duppa and waives Service of Summons and Copy of the Complaint on file herein - and hereby acknowledge service of the Same - |
| " 5th | Now comes the Defendant by his attorney Duppa & Duppa and files answer to Plaintiff Complaint herein - |
| " 11th | By Consent of Parties this Cause is set down for trial at 10 o'c. A. M. Dec - 15th 1884 - |
| 12 | Issued Subpoena for the Plaintiff and gen Court |
| " 15th | 10 o'c. A. M. Parties in Court - and ready for trial. Harry Stewart and Hilden Brand - C. E. Black and Joe Henely - Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Plaintiff & after which the Plaintiff Rests - J. C. Woods, P. E. Hughes. Samuel Woods - Joe Henely - Sworn and examined as witnesses for the defendant after which the Defendant rests - Counsel agreed to submit the cause on briefs Dec - 18th 1884 |
| 20th | The Court having fully and duly considered this matter - Whereupon by reason of the law and the evidence and all of the premises aforesaid - It is ordered and adjudged that the Defendants do have and recover of and from the Plaintiff their Costs in this action taxed at the Sum of $ in lawful money of the United States - |
| " | Judgment for the Justices fees - $8.25 |
| " | " " Constable - " 2.06 |
| | Witness " 7.60 |
| 24 | Counsel for Plaintiff waives service and filing cost bill |
| | Total amount of Judgment - $17.85 |
| | D. B. Hill J.P. - |
| 1885. March 21 | Execution issued to Plaintiff - |

No. 912

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Jane A Barnells — Plaintiff

vs.

H. J. Baldus — Defendant

Action Book account for Board & Lodging

Demand $161 75/100 -

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 31" | Complaint filed. Summons issued and given to Const. Sadlock |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment |
| | approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given to Const. Sadlock |
| Nov. 3" | Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant |
| | together with a copy of the complaint on file herein this |
| | day and filed. Writ of attachment executed returned and filed |

No. 913.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action For Merchandise sold & delivered

S. Woldenburg & W. A. Starke
Plaintiffs

vs.

Levy & Co. & M. Meyer
Defendants

Demand $229.24/100

Dupuy & Dupuy
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884- | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Oct. 31st | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiffs attorneys |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment |
| | approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiffs attorneys- |

No. 914

# In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

H. A. Eblen — Plaintiff

vs.

C. S. Anderson — Defendant

Action for work and labor performed —

Demand $56.25/100 —

Jeppe & Jeppe
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884- | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Nov. 1st | Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Const. Paddock |
| " | Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given to Const. Paddock |
| 6th | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein. On the 1st day of November 1884 and filed — |
| " | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed — |
| " " | Now comes the Defendant in propria persona and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiffs Complaint contained — |
| " 13th | This Cause set down for trial at 9 O.C. A.M. Nov. 14th 1884 — |
| " 14th | Plaintiff in Court and ready for trial — |
| | Paid on this action by Defendant the Sum of $56.25 — |
| 1885. Jan 3 | Loaned the Defendant $5.00 Paid. Cash — by J. M. Coffman — |

No. 915.

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Greenbaum, Sachs, & Freeman
Plaintiffs
vs.
Griffith & Kenny
Defendants

Action Account for goods and merchandise sold & delivered

Demand $206.65/100

Guppy & Guppy
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Nov. 1st | Complaint filed. Summons issued & in Court. Withdrawn |
| " 10. | Summons Returned Showing personal Service on the Defendants together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 3. and 10th days of November 1884. and filed this day. Nov 15th 1884 |
| " 17th | In this action Counsel for Plaintiff moves the Court to enter the default of defendants. Motion granted. In this action the defendants W. G. Griffith and David Kenny having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the plaintiffs Complaint herein, and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of said defendants in the premises having been duly entered according to law, it is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendants W. G. Griffith and David Kenny in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein. Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that Greenbaum Sachs & Freeman Plaintiffs do have and recover of and from the said defendants W. G. Griffith and David Kenny the sum of Two Hundred and Six & 65/100 dollars together with said plaintiffs Costs and disbursements incurred in said action amounting to the sum of $18.80/100 dollars; and it is further ordered and adjudged that said plaintiffs do have execution against the separate property of each of said defendants W. G. Griffith and David Kenny as well as the joint property of all of said said defendants in Conformity with the United States Statutes made and entered on the 17th day of November 1884— |
| " | Judgment for the principal 206.65 |
| | " " Costs— 18.80 |
| | Total amount of Judgment $225.45 |
| | S. H. Hill J.P.— |
| " | Plaintiffs filed Fees Cost bill $13.80— |

No. 916.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action Burglary

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

F. K. Ward & Wm. Watts

Defendant

Demand $

E. B. Edmands
Attorney for Plaintiff

Frank Owen & W. S. Cooley
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Nov 3d | Complaint filed Warrant issued & issued. Court: Inyo |
| " 10th | Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law. Cause set down for examination Nov 12th 1884 at 2. O.C. P.M. Defendants held for examination in the Sum of Five Hundred Dollars each - aggregating the Sum of $1000 - |
| " | Issued Subpoenas for the People and the Defense |
| " 13th | 2. O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court. Defendants Moved the Court to dismiss said action upon the grounds that the Complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a public offense - Motion overruled Defendant excepts - |
| " | Court took a recess of twenty Minutes - |
| 13th | 3. O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court: The Court appointed E. N. Gearhart. Short-hand Reporter for this Cause - |
| " | Court adjourned until 9. O.C. A.M. November 14th 1884 - |
| 14. | 9. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court and ready to proceed with their Examination - Manuel Rodaegs, Thomas Hildreth and H. Satcher - Sworn and examined as witnesses for the People - Prosecution Closed - |
| " | Defendants moved the Court to dismiss this Cause upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to find the Defendants guilty of any Offence. Motion overruled Defendants except. — |
| | F. J. Watts and F. K. Ward the Defendants - Sworn and examined as witnesses for the defense - after which the Cause Closed - Cause argued & Submitted to the Court - The Court took the matter under advisement until 9. O.C. A.M. Nov. 15th 1884 - |
| 15th | 9. O.C. A.M. - Defendants in Court; The Court having fully Considered this Matter, and It appearing to me that the Offence of Disturbing the Peace has been Committed - as follows to wit: That on or about the 31st day of October 1884 - at the County of Inyo State of California the said Defendants F. K. Ward and Wm. Watts did maliciously and willfully disturb the peace and quietude of Manuel Roddegs - |

(See Page 198)

No. 917.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

William Martin, Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Nov. 6th 1884 | Complaint filed Warrant issued thereon the affiant. |

No. 918.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action Book account

Chas. S. Marshall Plaintiff

vs.

Jud Hardwarth

Defendant

Demand $164.40/100

E. J. Griffith
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Nov. 6th | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given to Const. Bardwell |
| " | Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given to Const. Bardwell |
| " 7th | This cause is settled in full and dismissed. D. H. Hall J.P. |
| Inyo Page 196. | by threatening, bulldozing and quarreling and [illegible] conduct as follows. The said F. M. Ward and Wm. Staples at the time and place last aforesaid, being armed with a shot-gun and pistol at and on the premises of one Thomas Hildreth, angrily and boisterously assailed said Manuel Rodrigo, who was then and there in the peaceable employment of said Hildreth, and there is sufficient cause to believe the said F. M. Ward and Wm. Staples guilty thereof & order that they be held for trial before this court for the commission of said offence and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo and that they be admitted to bail in the sum of One Hundred Dollars each and is committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo until they give such bail. Defendants bond approved and filed. This cause by consent set down for trial at 2. o'c. P. M. Mon. the 21st 1884. Defendants demand trial by jury. |
| 20th | Summons issued and given Const. Meade. |
| " 21st | 2. o'c. P. M. Defendant F. M. Ward present. Defendant Staples detained at home in consequence of the confinement of his wife. |
| " | By consent of parties to this action the same is continued until Nov. 24th 1884. at 2. o'c. P. M. |
| 24 | 2. o'c. P. M. Defendants in Court. Defendants plead guilty as charged in the complaint, and waive the legal time for sentence and ask to be sentenced now. Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises as aforesaid — Die Pacis 199 — |

No. 919.

# In the Justice's Court of Enid Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Harry Rock
Defendant

Action Assault

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Nov. 6th 1884 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Const. De Blanc |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant held in a bond of Fifty Dollars for his appearance for trial. |
| paid | |
| " 7. | Now comes the party injured before the trial of this action and acknowledges that he has received Satisfaction for the injury done him, and the payment of the costs incurred. It ordered that all proceedings be stayed upon the prosecution and the Defendant to be discharged thereupon — S. H. Hill J.P. |
| From | |
| " | It is ordered and adjudged that for Said offense that you Ike Ward and W. H. Hanks each of you be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $70.45 each aggregating the Sum of $140.90 — A. M. Clark at once assumed the payment of Said fine upon Said condition the Court discharged Said defendants Paid by A. M. Clark to Treasurer — S. H. Hill J.P. |
| From | |
| Sept 19th " | Judgment for the Principal Sum on — 73.50 |
| " " " | Interest thereon — 26.27 |
| " " " | Justices Fees — 13.00 |
| " " " | Attorneys " 20.00 |
| " " " | Constables " 37.70 |
| | Witness A Hanby — 1 day 16 M — 5.20 |
| | " P. Green — " " 20 " 2.00 |
| | Total amount of Judgment — $177.67 |
| | S. H. Hill J.P. |
| | Defendant allowed 5 days of proceeding for ten days |
| 5. | Plaintiff filed his Cost Bill $57.50 |
| " | Paid Const Windhill. By Mfs. Nelson — $57.50 |
| | " " A Hanby Witness — $5.00 |
| 1884 Jan 13 | Issued Execution and order of Examination for Jno. H. D. Arants and gave Const Windhill |
| " 16 | Order of Examination returned Satisfied — $37.50 |

200

No. 921

# In the Justice's Court of Vinal Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Unlawfully to Kill

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Francisco Jose Fontado
Defendant

Demand $

Tuppen & Tuppen
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Nov. | 7th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given officer to arrest Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Cause set down for trial at 9. O.C. A.M. Nov. the 22d. 1884 |
| " | | Issued Subpoenas for the People and the Defendant |
| " | 22d. | 11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court, and pleads not guilty and says he is now ready for trial. Written testimony waived by both parties — Manuel Valadon — Henry S. Campos — Sworn and direct examination by the Plaintiff — The People Closed — Defendant Sworn and examined in his own behalf — Witness Closed — After argument of Counsel pro and Con the Court took the matter under advisement until 3. O.C. P.M. of the day — |
| " | 23d. | 3. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court, this being the time fixed for the findings of the Court. The Court having fully considered this matter — it is ordered and adjudged that the Defendant be required to enter into an Undertaking in the Sum of $200.00 — to Keep the peace toward the People of the State of California — and more especially toward Manuel Jesuito Valadon — Defendants Bond filed and approved — |

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 921.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Gen Cash loaned

Yon Gee Plaintiff

vs.

Lee Bam. Defendant

Demand $53.25/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Nov | 7th | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Made- |
| | " | Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Instructions on attachment |
| | | approved and filed. Writ of Attachment issued & given Const. Made |
| | 10th | By order of the Plaintiff this cause is dismissed having |
| | | been paid in full— |
| | | S. B. Hill J.P. |
| Same | | |
| Page 184. | | Issued Subpoena for the Plaintiff— Subpoena returned |
| | | and filed— |
| | 29. | 10. O.C.A.M. Parties in Court and ready for Trial. both |
| | | parties waive trial by jury. Cause tried by the Court— |
| | | Sitting without a jury— |
| | " | E. J. Soury, A. D. Whitehead, Mrs Thomas Soury, Jas. Holyday- |
| | | Mrs John Cavanaugh— Miss C. Cavanaugh— & G. G. Soury— |
| | | Sworn and examined as witnesses by the Plaintiff— |
| | | The Court took a recess until 1. O.C.P.M. this day— |
| | " | 1. O.C.P.M. Parties in Court and ready to proceed— |
| | | Thomas Soury. Sworn and examined by the Plaintiff |
| | | Prosecution Closed direct— |
| | " | Defendant by his attorney J. B. Whalen— Moved the Court |
| | | to grant a Nonsuit upon the ground that the |
| | | Plaintiff had failed to make out a cause of action, |
| | | The Court Sustained the Motion as to Simon Henry |
| | | in the Second Cause of action set out in the |
| | | Plaintiffs Complaint— and overruled the Motion |
| | | as to Either of the Defendant in the first Cause |
| | | of action and as to Herman Frank in the Second |
| | | Cause of action set out in Plaintiffs Complaint herein- |
| | | Simon Henry— Herman Frank— William Sutherland |
| | | and William Henry Sworn and examined as witnesses |
| | | on the part of the defense— Defense Closed direct— |
| | " | James Holyday— recalled in rebuttal by the Plaintiff also |
| | | E. J. Soury— Cause Closed— |
| | " | After argument of Counsel for the respective parties |
| | | the cause was submitted to the Court— (See page 203) |

No. 922-

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Frank Scradella — Plaintiff

vs.

M. J. Church — Defendant

Action Account-

Demand $ 92.40/100

Suppes & Suppes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Gor E. Church — Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Nov. 7th | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Meade- |
| " 11th | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint- am file herein- on the 8th day of Nov 1884 and filed this day Nov 10th 1884- |
| " 13th | Now comes the Defendant by his attorney and files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint- am file herein- |

No. 923.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action Battery

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Burns

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Nov. | 8" | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Const. Le Blanc |
| | " | to arrest Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads not guilty — Defendant gave bonds for his appearance in the sum of One Hundred Dollars — |
| paid | | |
| | 12" | This cause set down for trial at 2 o'c. P. M. Nov. 12" 1884 |
| | " | Issued subpoenas for the People & Defendant — |
| | " | 1 o'c. P. M. Now comes the County injured before the Court — before the trial of this action and acknowledges that he has received satisfaction for the injury done him — and on payment of the Costs incurred — it is ordered that all proceedings be stayed upon the prosecution and the Defendant to be discharged thereupon |

S. H. Hill J.P.

Sum

Page 201.

for its deliberation and verdict. The Court took the same under advisement without delay —

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Dec. | 12" | The Court having fully and duly Considered this matter, |
| | " | Whereupon by reason of the law the evidence and all of the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff E. J. Drury do have and recover of and from the Defendant Herman Junk and Simon Henry the Sum of Thirty five ($35.00) dollars damages and the Sum of $128.25 Cost necessarily incurred in this action — all in Lawful Money of the United States |
| | " | Judgment for the damages — 35.00 |
| | | " " " Justices fees — 17.75 |
| | | " " " Constable " 56.40 |
| | | Witnesses: Mrs. John Cavanaugh — 2 days — 11 — Miles 6.20 |
| | | " Miss. C. Cavanaugh 2 " " " 6.20 |
| | | " Mrs. Thos. Drury — " " 13 " 6.60 |
| | | " J. S. Drury — " " " " 6.60 |
| | | " Thomas Drury — " 6.60 |
| | | Hawkins — 1 " 14 " 4.80 |

See Page 204 —

No. 924.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Armstrong Bros — Plaintiffs

vs.

L. H. Harris

Defendant

Action Account.

Demand $ 9.97/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Nov. | 10th | Complaint filed Summons issued and given Constable— |
| " | 11th | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on this the 11th day of Nov. 1884. and filed— |
| " | 15th | Record on this action from the Defendant the sum of $10.00— |

No. 925

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno-

Action Damages-

J. C. Warren- Plaintiff

vs.

Hugh Daily Nat. Pollock Defendant

Demand $299.00/100

Suppes & Suppes Attorney for Plaintiff

J. H. Daily Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Nov. | 11th | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable made- |
| " | 12th | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the complaint- on file Cause set for the 11th day of November 1884. and filed- |
| " | 17th | Now comes the Defendant by his attorney J. H. Daily and files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint- on file herein |
| " | 24 | Cause set down for Argument at 9. O.C. A.M. Nov. 25th 1884- |
| " | 25th | Cause Submitted and overruled- Defendant allowed two days in which to answer- |
| " | 26th | Defendant files his answer to Plaintiffs Complaint herein- |
| Dec. | 2nd | This Cause Set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Dec- 10th 1884- |
| " | 8. | Issued Subpoena for the Plaintiff- |
| " | " | Issued Subpoena for the Defendant- |
| " | 10. | 10. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court and ready for trial- both parties waive trial by jury- Tried before the Court without a jury- J. C. Warren- Bjelich- Sworn and examined for the Prosecution after which plaintiff rests- Lauten Smith. & Louis Leach- Bjelich- for Martinovich and Hugh Daily- Sworn and examined as witnesses for the defense after which the Cause closed- after argument of Counsel pro and con- the Cause was submitted to the Court for its deliberation and verdict: The Court took the Same under advisement- |
| " | 12th | The Court having fully considered this Matter- Whereupon by reason of the Law the evidence and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant- the Sum of fifty-$15/100- Dollars damages and the further Sum of $15-$ Costs necessarily incurred in said action in lawful money of the United States- |
| " | | Judgment for the damages — 50.15 |
| " | | " " Justices Fee — 5.70 |
| " | | " " Constable — " 8.80 |
| " | | Total amt of Judgment — " S. H. Hill Jr. $ 68.65 |

Dec 23rd Issued Execution- Issued to Sheriff-
Dec. 22nd 1884 Same Issued again- " Sheriff-
Defendant- Having filed Undertaking on Appeal-

No. 926.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

O. M. Simpson — Plaintiff

vs.

A. M. Lewis — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $12.83/100

R. B. Bury
Attorney for Plaintiff

J. A. Short
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Nov. | 11th | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Meade |
| " | 12th | Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 12th day of November 1884. and filed |
| " | 13th | Defendant files his demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein |
| " | 17th | Demurrer Set down for argument at 9. O.C. A.M. Nov. 18th A.D. 1884 |
| " | 19th | 9. O.C. A.M. Demurrer argued and Sustained. Plaintiff allowed ten days in which to amend his Complaint herein |
| " | 21st | Now Comes the Plaintiff and files his amended Complaint |
| " | 24th | Now Comes the defendant by his attorney & files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein |
| " | 26th | Cause Set down for trial Dec 6th 1884. at 10. O.C. A.M. |
| Dec. | 6th | O.C. A.M. Plaintiff in Court and after waiting more than one full hour for the appearance of the defendant and defendant having failed to appear as upon said — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises as aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Twelve and 83/100 Dollars the principal Debt due — and the further sum of Six and 50/100 Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in this action — in lawful money of the United States — |
| | | Judgment for the principal — 12.83. |
| | | " " " Justices fees — 4.50 |
| | | " " " Constables " 1.80 |
| | | Total amount of Judgment $19.13 |
| | | D. H. Hill J.P. |
| Dec. | 12th | Defendant filed his motion to Set aside Judgment Set for argument Dec 18th 1884 |
| " | 18th | Motion to Set a side Judgment Submitted — after which the Court ordered that Said Judgment be Set a side — at Defendants Cost — and a new trial granted |
| " | | Cause Set down for trial June 10th 1885. — |
| 1885 June | 10th | 10. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court. Cause Continued until 2. O.C. P.M. this day — |
| " | | Defendant demands trial by Jury — Issue issued & given Const. Mitchell — |

(See Page 209)

No. 927.

# In the Justice's Court of Stine Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Petit Larceny.

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. R. Shoals

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Nov. | 12. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given the officer- |
| " Paid | 13 | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleaded not guilty as charged - Cause set down for trial at 9 O.C. A.M. Nov 14th 1884 - Issued Subpoena for Defendant & for Court. |
| " | 14th | 3. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court. Parties ready for trial. Witnesses sworn and examined. Jury and Cause Submitted - Court took the Same under advisment until 9 O.C. A.M. Nov. 15th 1884 - |
| | 15th | 9 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court. This being the time fixed for the findings of the Court. Whereupon by reason of the law and the evidence in the premises aforesaid It Ordered and adjudged that the Defendant be and he is hereby discharged - upon the grounds that the testimony is insufficient to find the Defendant guilty - |
| | | S. H. Hill J.P. |
| Sworn | | |
| Page 203 - | | |
| | | Witness S.B. Hitchcock - 1 day - 2.00 |
| | | " Jas Holyday - 2 " 35. M - 11.00 |
| | " | Total amount of Judgment - $163-95 |
| | | S. H. Hill J.P. |
| | " | Defendant allowed a stay of proceeding for ten days - |
| Dec. | 15. | Plaintiff filed his cost bill $12.82½ - |
| " | 24th | Now Come the Defendant by his attorneys Wheaton & Shaw and files his Notice of appeal and Undertaking on appeal to the Superior Court. |
| 1885. Jan. | 8th | Handed the Papers to the Clerk - J.P. fees - Paid - |

No. 924

# In the Justice's Court of Franklin Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

James Root — Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

O. D. Edwards — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Nov | 13 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued and given officer. |
| From Page 208. | | |
| 1883 Jan | 11. | do Have and recover of and from the Defendant the sum of Eight and 33/100 Dollars damages and the further sum of $ Costs necessarily incurred in this action in lawful money of the United States — |
| " | | Judgment for the Principal — $8.33. |
| | " " " | Justices fees — 9.75 |
| | " " " | Constable " 5.00 |
| | " " " | Jurors. " 8.00 |
| | | Witness A. H. Statham — " 2.00 |
| " | | Total amount of Judgment. $33.08 |
| | | S. A. Hill. J. P. — |
| " | | Defendant allowed a stay of proceeding for ten days — |
| 12 | | Plaintiff filed his cost bill $24.75/100 — |
| 19 | | Defendant Paid Cost in this action $22.75/100 — |

No. 929

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Battery

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Rice and Thomas Furlong, Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Nov. | 13 | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Wilkinson |
| | 13 | Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against him and of their rights before the Court. Defendants held to answer for trial in the sum of $200 each. Cause set down for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. Nov 24th 1884. |
| | 24 | Now comes the Pltf ingrand for the trial of this action and acknowledges that he has received satisfaction for the injury done him and on payment of the costs incurred prays all proceedings to be stayed upon the prosecution and the Defendants to be discharged therefrom. |
| | | D. H. Hill J.P. |
| Trans Reg. 326. | | |
| 1885. Jan. | 10 | 2. O.C. P.M. Parties in Court, Jurors returned and filed. |
| | " | Parties say they are ready for trial. Jurors sworn to answer questions as to qualification - after which by consent the following jurors tried. John Stevens, Chas D. Marshall John Boyle & Geo. Stevens - |
| | " | O. M. Thompson sworn and examined as a witness in his own behalf - Prosecution closed - direct - Issued subpoena for Defendant - Subpoena returned & filed D. L. Hayne sworn and examined also A. M. Irwin - for the defense - Two Receipts offered and filed in evidence by the Defendant. Defense closed direct - A. D. Statham, sworn and examined in rebuttle by the Plaintiff Mrs A. M. Irwin examined in rebuttle by the Defendant - Cause closed - after argument of Counsel pro & con the cause was given the Jury for their deliberation and verdict. after a short retirement the Jury was returned in to Court by the proper officer of this Court with the following verdict. Viz: We the Jury find O. M. Thompson entitled to the rent of of Hunn from June 7. /84 to July 2. /84 - amounting to $8.33. J. J. Boyle Chas D. Marshall, Geo. Stevens and J. D. Stevens - the Jury - Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff |
| | | (See Page 210) |

No. 931.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

James Irvine
Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Nov 13th 84 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. |

No. 931.

# In the Justice's Court of Wind Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

F. S. Eisen — Plaintiff

vs.

R. M. Deibert — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $78 79/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Doyle & Harris

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Nov. | 17th | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Faulkett |
| " | | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given to Const. Faulkett |
| | 18th | Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 17th day of Nov 1884 and filed — |
| " | | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed — |
| " | 22. | Now comes the Defendant by his attorneys Doyle & Harris and files his answer to Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — |
| " | 29th | Said cause is set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Dec. 8th 1884 — |
| Dec. | 8th | Cause Continued until 2. O.C. P.M. this day — |
| " | | Cause Continued until 10. O.C. A.M. Dec. 11th 1884 — |
| Dec. | 11. | 10. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court and ready for trial, but parties waive trial by jury — |
| | | F. S. Eisen sworn and examined as a witness for Plaintiff & upon his evidence a certain letter written by Plaintiff and handed to Defendant. Ruling of the Court as to the admissibility of said Letter — H Robinson and Mr. Babe sworn and examined as witnesses for the Plaintiff. Prosecution Closed — |
| | | R. M. Deibert sworn and examined as a witness for the Defendant — Defendant Closed — |
| " | | Cause argued and submitted to the Court for its deliberation and decision — The Court took the matter under advisement — |
| " | 17th | The Court having fully & duly considered the merits of this matter Therefore by reason of the law the evidence and all the premises aforesaid — It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendant — the Sum of Seventy-Eight and 79/100 — Dollars the amount sued for and the further Sum of $23.80/100 Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action — in Lawful money of the United States — |

(See Page 212)

No. 932.

# In the Justice's Court of Grant Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

W. L. Simpson — Plaintiff

vs.

Defendant

Action Account for Legal Services

Demand $26.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. | |
|---|---|---|
| 1884 Nov. 17th | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Sadbrook. This action paid in full and dismissed. S. H. Hill J.P. | |
| Inyo Page 211. | Judgment for the amount sued for— | 78.70 |
| | " " " Justices fees— | 11.50 |
| | " " " Constables fees— | 6.70 |
| | Witness Hoberton— 1 day & Mile— | 2.80 |
| | " Babe— " " " " | 2.80 |
| | Total amount of Judgment— | $102.50 |
| | S. H. Hill J.P. | |
| | Defendant allowed 2 days of proceedings for two days— | |
| Dec. 21st | Plaintiff filed his bill of Costs $23.85/100— | |
| " 31st | Issued execution returnable within twenty days and given Const. Made— | |
| 1885. Jan. 24th | Execution returned Not Satisfied— Due— Execution on file in— | |

No. 934

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Note & Loan

Jos. F. Martin — Plaintiff

vs.

Jos. F. Bruneio — Defendant

Demand $100.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Nov. | 18" | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Sackett |
| | " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. |
| | 19. | This cause settled in full & dismissed. |
| | | S. H. Hill J.P. |
| Sum Page 214 | | This being the hour 4. O.C. P.M. Nov 20. 1884. fixed for Sentence Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid. It is ordered and adjudged that you John Kelly and Henry Williams each of you be and you are for such offence committed to the custody of the Sheriff of Tuolumne County, and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period not exceeding fifteen days— |
| | | S. H. Hill. J.P. |

No. 934.

# In the Justice's Court of Fluid Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

H. O. Warner — Plaintiff

vs.

B. W. Boyle — Defendant

Action account-

Demand $15.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884- | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Nov. 18th | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Sheff. Coun. Paddock |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment |
| | approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const- |
| Dec. 1st | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant |
| | together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on |
| | the 18th day of Nov 1884 and filed- |
| " | Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed- |
| | Defendant filed his answer and Cross Complaint- |
| | on the 19th day of Nov 1884- |
| " " | Now comes the Plaintiff in proper person and for |
| | answer to Defendants answer and denies each and |
| | every allegation in Defendants answer that Defendants |
| | Cross Complaint is barred by the provisions of Sec 338- |
| | of the Code of Civil Procedure- that he owes Defendant nothing- |

No. 935.

# In the Justice's Court of Bhrid Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action Account.

Smedberg, Beesley & Co — Plaintiff's

vs.

W. H. Gardner

Defendant

Demand $ 55.50/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Nov 18 | Complaint filed. |

No. 936.

# In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Vagrancy

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Jas Evens. Thos. Murray. John Kelly. Henry Williams August Hansen & S. Martinsky
Defendant

Demand $

E S Edmonds
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884 PROCEEDINGS.

Nov. 19th Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Deft. Sheriff Bartlett -

" Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court - Defendants Jas Evens. Thos. Murray ~~Henry Williams~~ & S. Martinsky plead guilty as charged and waive the legal time for sentence and ask to be sentenced now -

" Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid - it is ordered and adjudged that you and each of you - Jas. Evens. Thos. Murray. Henry Hansen and S Martinsky - and each of you be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno. and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period not Exceeding ten days each -

D. H. Hill J.P.

That Defendants John Kelly and Henry Williams plead not guilty as charged - in the Complaint - Cause set down for trial at 9. O.C. A.M. Nov 20th 1884 - Venire issued - also Subpoena issued for the People - Defendant remanded to the Custody of the Sheriff -

" 20th 9. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court. Venire and Subpoena returned and filed. Defendants ready for trial - Jurors sworn to answer questions as to qualification after which by Consent of Parties the following jurors were sworn to try the Cause herein - as follows to wit: C.W. Dr. Long J.C. Walker. H. Long. Jun. Isaac - W. Thos. Cargile -

" J.H. Bartlett and S. W. Newton. Sworn and examined as witnesses by the People - Prosecution Closed -

" Defendants Sworn and examined as witnesses on their own behalf - Defense Closed - after which

" the Cause was given the Jury for their deliberation & verdict -

" after a short retirement the Jury were returned into Court by the proper Officer of this Court with the following verdict - " We the Jury find the defendants guilty as charged and recommend to the mercy of the Court. C.W. Dr. Long foreman - Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 10. O.C. A.M. this day -

pay 2.50

No. 537

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Sam. Waldenburg Plaintiff

vs.

J. A. Gay Defendant

Action account

Demand $65-40/100

Taylor & Harris Attorney for Plaintiff

Turpin & Turpin Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Nov. | 21. | Complaint filed Summons issued & in Court- Made affidavit for attachment, made & filed, Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & in Court- Made- |
| " | 21st | Summons returned showing personal Service on the defendant on the 20th day of Nov. 1884- at the 4th Judicial Township and filed- Writ of attachment executed returned and filed- |
| | 22. | Now Comes the Defendant by his attorneys and files his answer to the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein- |
| Dec. | 24 | Cause set down for trial at 10. O'C. A.M. Jany 2. 1885- |
| 1885. Jan | 2. | Plaintiff in Court- Cause Continued until 10. A.M. Jan 5th 1885- |
| " | 5. | 10. O'C A.M- Plaintiff in Court- after waiting more than one full hour for the appearance of the Defendant- Sam. Waldenburg Sworn and examined on behalf of Plaintiff- as a witness- Proclamation Closed- Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendant a judgment for the sum of $65-40/100 the Principal Sued for and the further sum of $18-35/100 Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in Lawful Money of the United States- |
| | | "Judgment for the Principal Sued for 65.40 |
| | | " " " Justices fees- 8.35 |
| | | " " " Constable " 9.60 |
| | | Total Amount of Judgment- $83.35 |
| | | J. B. Hill J.P.- |
| " | 14 | Issued Execution & in Court- Finished returned with the Writ- |

No. 938.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action account

Union Ice Co. Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Spinney Defendant

Demand $67.25/100

Boyle & Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Duppa & Duppa
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Nov 6 | 21. Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Constable Mendenhall |
| " | " Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 20th day of November 1884. and filed |
| " | 24. Now comes the defendant by his attorneys and files his demurrer to the Plaintiff's Complaint on file herein |
| " | 31. Demurrer set down for argument at 11 o'c A.M. Jan 5th 1885 |
| 1885 Jan. | 12. Demurrer overruled — Defendant by consent allowed five days in which to plead |
| " | 17. Now comes the defendant by his attorneys Duppa & Duppa and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiff's Complaint contained |
| Feb. | 20. Stipulation filed by the parties to this action to take judgment per Stipulation |
| March | 21st. Defendant paid in full the amount of this action |

J. H. Hall J.P.

No. 939

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

J. H. Noon — Plaintiff

vs.

Jesse Morrow & Mary J. Morrow

Defendants

Action Account

Demand $284 cts

Boyle & Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Nov. | 14. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Wellman |
| Dec. | 4. | Summons returned & showing personal service on the Defendants together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein, on the 15th day of Nov. and on the 3rd day of Dec. 1884. and filed. |
| " | 15. | Issued alias Summons for Defendant Mary Morrow. |
| " | " | Alias Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant Mary Morrow together with a copy of the Complaint filed herein. this day & filed. |
| " | 31. | In this action the Defendants Jesse Morrow & Mary Morrow his wife having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by Law for answering having expired. the default of said Defendants Jesse Morrow & Mary Morrow his wife each in the premises having been duly entered according to Law. Now at this day on application of Boyle & Harris attorneys for Plaintiff. It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said Defendant Mary Morrow as well as against the Defendant Jesse Morrow in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein. |
| | | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid. it is ordered and adjudged that J. H. Noon Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendants Mary J. Morrow and Jesse Morrow the sum of $284 cts. in Gold Coin of the United States. |

See. Page 221.

No. 940

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Antone August Barment — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Nov. 22 1884 | Complaint filed warrant issued and given officer. |

No. 941

# In the Justice's Court of Stout Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Vagrancy

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Davis

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Nov | 24. | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable Whitehouse | |
| Dec | " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence and asks to be sentenced now — Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that you Thomas Davis be and you are for said offence committed to the custody of the Sheriff of Fresno County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period not exceeding ten days — D. H. Hill J.P. | |
| Fresno. | | | |
| Dec | 29. | Together with said Plaintiffs costs and disbursements incurred in said action amounting to the sum of | $24.00 |
| | " | Judgment for the Principal — | 284.00 |
| | " | Justices fees — | 5.00 |
| | " | Constables fees — | 19.60 |
| | " | Total amount of Judgment — | $308.60 |
| | | Judgment Entered Dec 31st 1884 — D. H. Hill J.P. — | |
| 1885. Jan. | 8. | Issued Execution returnable within sixty days and given Plaintiffs Attorneys | |
| " | 10 | Paid on this Judgment the sum of | $40.00 |
| " | 21. | " " " " " " | 77 — |
| | " | Execution returned and filed — Amt. due & unpaid — | $191.60 |
| March | 18. | Paid on this Execution by Thos J. Thomas — | $120.00 |
| | | Leaving a balance due and unpaid of — | $71.60 — |
| April | 7. | This Judgment paid in full — Cause dismissed — D. H. Hill J.P. | |

No. 942.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

John Doolittle. Wm Stuart. and Joe. Cathman Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Nov. 24. | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Hitchman |
| " " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead guilty as charged. And made the legal time for sentence and asked to be sentenced now. |
| | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that you John Doolittle Wm Stuart. and Joe Cathman - be and you are for said offence hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne - and to be imprisoned in the County Jail of said County of Tuolumne each of you for a period not exceeding ten days each. |
| | S. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 943

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of Cala. — Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe — Frank Moon — Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

E. B. Edmands — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Nov. 24. | Complaint filed Warrant issued and given to Deputy Sheriff Bartlett. |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleades guilty as charged — and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now — |
| | Wherefore by reason of the law and the evidence aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that you Frank Moon for said offence be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $20 dollars and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby Committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of Said County for a period not exceeding twenty five days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of Said fine — |
| | S. H. Hall J.P. |

No. 944.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Jorgen Villelle - Pancho Zapia and Juan Galindo Antonio Belasquez -
Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Nov 8th " 24 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & in Const. hands |
| 1885 July 9th | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Cause set down for trial at 11 o'c A.M. July 25th 1885 |
| " 18 | On motion of the District Attorney this Cause is dismissed |

D. H. Hill
J.P.

No. 945.

# In the Justice's Court of Yuba Township,

## of the State of California, County of Yuba

Action Battery

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

J. Knoblock
Defendant

Demand $

E. B. Edmonds
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Nov. 24 | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Wilkinson |
| " 26 | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant pleads not guilty as charged — Cause set down for trial at 1 o'c. P.M. Nov 26th 1884 — |
| " | Defendant in Court and discharged — |

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 946.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action Account

Jung Hop, Plaintiff

vs.

Richard Heath. Defendant

Demand $ 55.93/100

J. R. Webb
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Nov. 24. | Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Const. Sadlock |
| " | Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given to Const. Sadlock. |
| Dec. 1st. | Summons returned & showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 26th day of Nov. 1884. and filed. Writ of attachment executed returned & filed. |
| " 2d. | In this action the defendant having been duly & regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law. |
| " | In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law Now at this day on application of J. R. Webb attorney for Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein. Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid. It is ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of $55.93/100. the Principal and the further sum of $14.80 Cts. Cost-me-dium incurred in said action in lawful money U.S.D. |
| " | Judgment for the Principal $55.93 |
| " | " " " Justices fees 8.50 |
| " | " " " Constables " 6.30 |
| " | Total amount of Judgment $70.73 |
| | D. H. Hill. J.P. |
| " " | Issued Execution returnable within sixty days. |

No. 947.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

A. Van Pris. Walter Rayne. Wm Hanon. Ed Wright. John Lange & E Long— Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

E. B. Edmunds, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Nov. 25th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued and given Const. Witthaus |
| Nov. 25th | Defendants in court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Law — Defendants A. Van Pris Walter Rayne. Wm Hanon. Ed Wright & John Lange — Plead guilty as Charged in the Complaint. and waived the legal time for Sentence and asked to be Sentenced now. |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you A. Van Pris. Walter Rayne Wm Hanon Ed Wright and John Lange be and you are each of you committed hereby to the Sheriff of Fresno County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of the County of Fresno not exceeding five days each — |
| | J. H. Hill J.P. |
| " | Defendant Ervin Long — pleads not guilty as Charged in the Complaint — |
| 26th | Defendant in court and asks to withdraw his plea of not guilty — and pleads guilty as Charged — and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now — |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that you Ervin Long — for said offence be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and that said Sheriff imprison you in the County Jail of said County for a period not Exceeding five days — |
| | J. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 948.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
Jimmy Williams, Frank Johnson & John Doe
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

E. B. Edmunds
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Nov. 26. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Withers |
| " | Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead guilty as charged. Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 O.C. A.M. Nov. 26th 1884 on their own recognizance |
| " | |

No. 942

# In the Justice's Court of Flint Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Joe Viera Rodrigues — Plaintiff

vs.

Antoni Viera — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $50.00/100

Duppa & Duppa — Attorney for Plaintiff

Martin & Shaw — Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Nov. | 25. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Marshall. |
| | " | Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein this day and filed. |
| | 29. | Now comes the defendant by his attorneys Martin & Shaw and files his answer to Plaintiff's complaint on file herein. |
| Dec. | 2. | By consent of Parties this cause set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Dec. 4th 1884 |
| | " | Issued Subpoenas for both Parties & given Const. |
| | 4. | Subpoena returned and filed. |
| | 5. | By consent of Parties this cause is continued until 10. O.C. A.M. Dec. 13th 1884. |
| | 13 | 10. O.C. A.M. Parties in Court and ready for trial both parties waive trial by jury. Wm. Rose & Peter Dement sworn as interpreters. Jos. Rodrigues sworn and examined as a witness for the Plaintiff. Prosecution Rest. Antone Viera, Joaquin Montes, Antone Maria, Joe Mateo Joe Gomes, sworn and examined as witnesses for the defendant. Defense Closed. |
| | " | Joaquin Viera sworn and examined in rebuttle by the Plaintiff. Cause closed. Argued and submitted to the Court for its deliberation and verdict. The Court took the matter under advisement. |
| | 15. | The Court having fully and duly considered this matter. Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant a judgment for the sum of $36.25 the damages and the sum of $16.74 costs necessarily incurred in this action in lawful money of the United States. |
| | " | Judgment for the damages — 36.25 |
| | " | " " " Justice fees — 9.10 |
| | " | " " " Constable " 1.80 |
| | " | Witness Joaquin Viera — 1 day — 4. M — 2.84 |
| | " | Interpreter Peter Dement 3.00 |
| | " | Total amount of Judgment — $52.99 |

D. H. Hill J.P.

See Page 232

1886 Issued the Clerk the Transcript in this action Dec 6th 1884 Filed Dec 8th 1884 By Proceedings for Same days

No. 957

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Note & Chattel

Rudolph Frank & William Lewis
Plaintiffs

vs.

W. J. Warren & Jesse Warren
Defendants

Demand $35.05/100

Duppa & Duppa
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Nov. | 26. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Constable. Made affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Constable. Made |
| " | 28. | Paid on this action the Sum of $35.05/100 by the Defendant. |
| From Bk. 231. | | |
| " | " | Judgment for attorneys fees — 20.00 |
| " | " | Total amount of Judgment — $248.13 |
| | | S. H. Hibbs J.P. |
| " | " | Defendants allowed a Stay of proceeding for ten days — |
| Jan. | 16. | Plaintiffs - file their Cost - Bill $13.00 |
| " | 22. | Recvd on the within Judgment a receipt for the Sum of One Hundred & fifty ($150.00) Dollars — |
| " | 28. | Issued Execution and gave Const. Hinchcliff |
| Feb. | 17. | This Cause settled in full — |
| | | S. H. Hibbs. J.P. |

No. 957

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Simon Eisinger — Plaintiff

vs.

C. C. Harris. M. R. Harris. Martin Madsen and J. N. Williams — Defendant

Action Promissory Note —

Demand $203.00/100

H. S. Brian — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884- | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Nov. 29th | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Constable — |
| Dec. 3. | Summons returned and filed showing personal service on the Defendants together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein — |
| 6th | Now comes the Defendant J. N. Williams in proper person and files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — |
| " 23. | Issued alias Summons and given Constable — |
| " 24th | Alias Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant M. R. Harris together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein — on the 24th day of Dec — 1884 filed |
| " 26th | Now comes M. R. Harris one of the Defendants and files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein — |
| 29 | Cause set down for argument at 2. O.C. P.M. Dec — 31st 1884 |
| 31st | Cause submitted & argued — Defendant to Counsel of Plaintiff has five days in which to answer — |
| Jan — 1885 — 5. | Defendant files his answer to Plaintiffs Complaint herein each and every material allegation contained therein |
| 1885. Jan. 9th | This Cause set down for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. Jan — 12th 1885 — |
| " 12th | 2. O.C. P.M. Plaintiff in Court by his attorney H. S. Brian and after waiting more than one full hour for the appearance of said Defendants and the Defendants having failed to appear for trial. Now on application of H. S. Brian attorney for Plaintiff — offered in evidence the original Note upon which this action is based — and also sworn and examined as to attorneys fees — as claimed in Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendants the Sum of Two Hundred Dollars the Principal Sum and the Sum of Eighteen Dollars Interest thereon and the further Sum of Thirteen Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in this action in Gold Coin of the United States — also the Sum of Seventy Dollars attorneys fees — |
| " | Judgment for the Principal 200.00 |
| | " " " Interest thereon — 15.13 |
| | " " " Justices fees — 7.00 |
| | " " " Constables " 6.00 |

(See Page 230)

No. 952

# In the Justice's Court of Eureka Township,

## of the State of California, County of Humboldt

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe — alias Morris Rapetti — Defendant

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Nov. 30th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Meade — |
| Dec. 1st | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads not guilty as charged in the Complaint — Cause set down for Dec. 2nd 1884 — |
| | 2. Defendant in Court and discharged — |
| | S. H. Hill J.P. |
| From Page 229. | |
| Dec. 22. | Now comes the Defendant by his attorney Wharton and Shaw and files his notice and undertaking on appeal to the Superior Court — |

No. 963.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Smoking opium

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Jeff. Jones & John Doe

Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Nov. 30th | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Faulkner |
| " | Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the Law. Defendants plead not guilty. Defendants held for trial in the Sum of $100 each. |
| Paid | |
| Dec. 1st | Defendants in Court. Cause set down for trial at 2. O.C.P.M. Dec. 2nd 1884. |

No. 954.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

J.G. Baly Ed. O'Marr and Ge. Schuppe Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

E. D. Hards Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884- | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec- 1st | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Const. Baldock |
| " | Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Law. Defendants J.G. Baly and Ed. O'Marr - by Counsel - upon the grounds of the insufficiency of the Complaint - Defendant Ge. Schuppe - pleads - guilty - and ordered to appear for Sentence at 2. O.C.P.M. Dec 5th 1884 |
| 5. | Defendant in Court and discharged |

Paid $

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 955

# In the Justice's Court of Shirel Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Grand Larceny-

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Richard Dennant- Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 1st 1884- | Complaint and affidavit for a Search warrant filed- Warrant issued to Search the premises and person of Richard Dennant- |

No. 956.

# In the Justice's Court of Final Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California
Plaintiff
vs.
John Welch - Ed. Lafoy - Wm Haun Jas Smith
Thos. Murray, Jas. Campbell Wm Bayley
and Ed. Newman - Ed Sullivan Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

E. S. Edmands
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 2. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued and given Const. Fifthmore |
| Pd $ | " Defendants in court and informed of the Charge against them and of his rights before the law - Defendants plead guilty as charged and waived the legal time for Sentence Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged for said offence that you John Welch - Ed Lafoy - Jas Smith, Jas. Campbell, Wm Bayley - Ed Newman & James Sullivan - be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Inyo County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period Not Exceeding five days each - and that you Wm Haun & Thomas Murray - be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Inyo and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Not Exceeding three days — each - |

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 957

# In the Justice's Court of Ivins Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action Damages

Charles B. White
Plaintiff

vs.

Antone Frates
Defendant

Demand $ 250.00

Wharton & Shaw
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 2. | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Wade. |
| " 4. | Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 3d day of Dec — 1884 — and filed. |
| 5th | This cause is dismissed having been paid in full. |
| | J. H. Hill. J.P. |

No. 958.

# In the Justice's Court of Ivanhoe Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tulare

Tulare County Bank
Plaintiff

vs.

D S Terry jr
Defendant

Action Note & Mortgage

Demand $ 35.00/100

Haight & Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Dec. | 3 | Complaint filed. Summons issued and gave Constable Faulkner |
| | 5 | Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint on file herein on the 5th day of Dec 1884 and filed |
| " | 11. | This cause settled in full & dismissed |

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 959.

# In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Malicious Mischief

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

William Dennis — Defendant

Demand $

R. Dimpson Edmonds — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884- | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 4th | Complaint filed – affidavit asking a change of venue filed – Transcript on change filed – from Township No. 1. |
| " | Defendant in Court – and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleades not guilty as charged – Cause set down for trial at 2. O.C.P.M. Dec – 6th 1884 – |
| " | Issued Subpoenas for the People and Defendant – |
| " 6 | 2. O.C.P.M. Parties in Court – and ready for trial – trial by Jury waived – Mark Anderson sworn and the Prosecuting witness Smith Dennis and examined as witness for the People – Prosecution closed – |
| " | Defendant Sworn and examined in his own behalf. – |
| | Defendant – Closed until Dec – 13th 1884 – |
| | Defendant guilty – ordered to appear for sentence – |
| | E. H. Hicks Jr. J. |

No. 961

# In the Justice's Court of Final Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Robert Scott & Frank Miller

Defendant S

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

E. B. Edmunds
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 11. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Coalbank. |
| " | Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the Court. Defendant plead guilty as charged and waived the legal time for sentence. Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Robert Scott and Frank Miller be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Ten days each. J. K. Hill J.P. |

No. 961.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Note & Mortgage

Fresno County Bank
Plaintiff

vs.

W. J. Rowe & E. A. Rowe
Defendant s

Demand $81.50/100

Dayle & Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Wharton & Shaw
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Dec. | 4 | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given to Constable Sadlock |
| | " | Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 4th day of Dec 1884 and filed |
| | 11. | Now Comes the Defendant by his attorneys Wharton & Shaw and files his demurrer to the Plaintiff's Complaint herein |
| | 31st | Cause set down for argument at 10. A.M. Jan 5th 1885 |
| Jan. 1885. | 12. | Demurrer overruled Defendant allowed two days in which to answer |
| | " 14th | Defendant files his answer to Plaintiff's Complaint herein |
| | 26. | Cause set down for trial at 10. o'c. A.M. Feb 4th 1885. |
| Feb. | 6. | This Cause is continued generally |

No. 962.

# In the Justice's Court of Flind Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Brooks, Defendant

Action Petit Larceny.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 5th | Complaint filed Warrant issued & Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant pleads not guilty as Charged in the Complaint. Defendant says he is ready for trial. H. C. Potts and Clark S. Brooks Sworn and examined by the People Pursuant to Stipulated Defendant made a Statement in his own behalf Court Closed and Submitted. The Court finds the Defendant guilty. Defendant waives the Legal time for Sentence. |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Geo. Brooks be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $20.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno, and that said Sheriff imprison you in the County Jail of said County for a period of not exceeding twenty days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine |

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 963.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Burns

Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| 1884 Dec. 5th | Complaint filed, Warrant issued & given to Const: Bruschetti |
| " 6. | John Burns the Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights upon the same. Defendant says the part injured and acknowledges that he has received Satisfaction for the injury done him and on payment of the Cost incurred in said action It is ordered that all proceedings be stayed on the Part of the Prosecution and the Defendant to be discharged thereupon |
| Paid | S. H. Hall J. P. |

No. 964.

# In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

G. W. Bartholomew, Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 6. | Complaint filed Warrant issued and giv. appear- |
| " 7. | Defendant G. W. Bartholomew in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights upon the Exam. Defendant held for examination in a Bond of $300.— |
| Dec 8th | |
| " 15. | His Cause dismissed for want of Prosecution |

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 965

# In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

C. E. Brown — Plaintiff

vs.

G. W. McFarlan — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 6 | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Made |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed — Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Made — |
| 11 | Summons returned and filed showing personal service on defendant together with a copy of the Complaint Summons the 6th day of Dec. 1884 and filed — |
| | Writ of attachment executed and filed — |
| | Settled in full. |
| | D. H. Hill J. P. |

No. 960.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Petit Larceny.

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Fenton — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 6. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & in Dept. Court. Defendant |
| " 7. | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant Committed to the Sheriff for trial — |
| " 8. | Defendant in Court. Cause set down for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. Dec. 9th 1884 |
| " 9. | 2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court. Subpoenas returned and filed. Defendant pleads Not guilty and says he is ready for trial — John Bunn and Partridge Swann and examined as witnesses on the part of the People. Counsel — The Court took the matter under advisment. After due deliberation of the matter. The Court ordered that the defendant be discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict the Defendant; |

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 568.

# In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Cahill & Jno Brown
John Brown — Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $

Ed. Edmunds — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 8th | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Made |
| " | Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the Court. Defendants plead guilty as charged and waive the legal time for Sentence. and asked to be sentenced now — |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you John Brown. John Cahill & Jno Brown that you and each of you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Butte and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period not exceeding five days each — |
| Paid | S. H. Hill J. P. — |

No. 968

# In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

J. H. Vancedon, Ed. McKendrick, Fred Stevens & John Hoberg Defendants

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

E. S. Edwards Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec 8th | Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Made Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Law. Defendants plead not guilty as Charged Cause set down for trial at 9. O.C. P. M. Dec 9th 1884 |
| " 9th | 9. O.C. P. M. Defendants in Court and ready for trial Jas E. Roach, L. Winchell and O. J. Made Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution. Rest closed. Defendants Sworn and examined in their own behalf. Defense closed and Submitted to the Court for its deliberation and verdict. The Court after having fully considered the Merits of this action finds the Defendants guilty as Charged. And order that said defendants appear for Sentence at 2. O.C. P. M. Dec 11th 1884 |

No. 969.

# In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Pat-Rice, Richard Hamilton
Albin Finnbergen — Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

E. B. Edwards
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 8th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued by this Court. Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their right upon the law. Defendants plead guilty as charged and waive the legal time for Sentence and asked to be sentenced now. |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Pat-Rice, Richard Hamilton & Albin Finnbergen be and you are for said offence each of you hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of Tuolumne County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period not exceeding five days each. |
| Paid " | S. H. Hill J. P. |

250

No. 970

# In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

W. L. Mann & Ge. Wilkins

Defendant

Action Malpractice

Demand $

E. D. Edwards
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 8th | Complaint filed Warrant issued Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court Defendant plead guilty as charged and waive the legal time for Sentence and ask to be Sentenced Now — |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you W. L. Mann & Ge. Wilkins be and you are hereby Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of the County of Fresno for a period of not exceeding five days each — |
| Paid " | J. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 972.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Louis Lenz, Defendant

Demand $

E. B. Edmands, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 8th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & in Const. Gardner's hands. |
| " " | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads guilty as Charged and waives his legal right for Summons. Whereupon by reason of the Plea and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Louis Lenz be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period not exceeding five days. D. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 972.

# In the Justice's Court of Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Ernie Lenny

Defendant

Action Resisting an Officer

Demand $

E. B. Edmunds
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| June. 8th | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Made- |
| " | Defend ant in Court and informed of the charge against- |
| | him and of his rights before the Court Defendant committed |
| | to the Sheriff for examination - |

No. 973.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Account

Kutner Goldstein & Co — Plaintiff's

vs.

Jo Antone Biozeilles & Manuel Brope Defendant's

Demand $ 184.40/100

Deuprey & Deuprey Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 9th | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given. Plaintiff Cannot- |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment |
| - | approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Plaintiff Cannot- |
| 10 | Summons returned showing personal Service on the defendant |
| | together with a copy of the Complaint - on file herein on the |
| | 9th day of Dec - 1884 - and filed - |
| | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed - |
| 11th | This cause Settled - Off's fee pay — J. P. Fees - |
| | D. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 974

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

D. A. Rippendem — Plaintiff

vs.

Tyson Guth. — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $ 7 84/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 9th | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given in Court. Judgment |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed, Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Court. Judgment |
| 16th | Summons returned & showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint - Case set for hearing on the 15th day of Dec - 1884 and filed |
| " | Writ of attachment executed and filed - |
| | This cause settled in full. - with $13.00 Costs for - in closing the the Case - |
| | D. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 975

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Account

D. Bugmore — Plaintiff

vs.

Yee Gunte — Defendant

Demand $7.25/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Dec. | 9th | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Braslock affidavit for attachment. Made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Braslock |
| | 10th | Summons returned & showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 15th day of Dec. 1884 and filed — |
| | " | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed — |
| | 11th | This Cause coming on for trial of Plaintiff. — Defendant paying the J.P. fees — |

256

No. 976

# In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

M. F. Bispo — Plaintiff

vs.

Geo Gentle — Defendant

Action account

Demand $144.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 9th | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Court Sheriff |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved. " Writ of attachment issued & given Court Sheriff. |
| " 16th | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein. on the 15th day of Dec 1884. Served filed |
| " | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed. |
| 18th | Justice fees paid up to date $7.00 |
| " | Now come the Plaintiff M. F. Bispo and orders that his action be dismissed against Defendant. S. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 977.

# In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno.

A. H. Statham — Plaintiff

vs.

J. F. Greely — Defendant

Action Note & Account.

Demand $134.22/100.

Doyle & Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec 10 | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Made |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment |
| " | approved & filed Writ of attachment issued and given Const. Made |

No. 978.

# In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

R. B. Johnson — Plaintiff

vs.

E. Hoffman — Defendant

Action account

Demand $22.50

E. J. Griffith — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 10. | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff Cenord. |
| " | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 10th day of Dec 1884 and filed — |
| " 16 | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein &c. and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law — |
| 17 | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein — and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of E. J. Griffith attorney for Plaintiff — R. B. Johnson sworn and examined for the Plaintiff — and the proof having been taken before the Court this day, by which it appears that all the material allegations of the Complaint are sustained by testimony free from legal exception, as to competency admissibility and sufficiency, It is ordered adjudged and decreed that the Defendant E Hoffman immediately and without delay restore to the possession of Plaintiff, his one story house — "Building" on E Street near the Cable Stables in the Town of Tuolumne, Tuolumne County State of California, said building being being now rented by the Defendant as a paint-shop — and it is further ordered adjudged and decreed that Plaintiff do have and recover of and from said defendant E Hoffman the Sum of $22.50 — rent due at this date — and the Court further orders adjudges and decrees that Plaintiff have and recover of and from the defendant the further Sum of $67.50 Cts treble the said rent. (See Page 259) |

No. 979

# In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Threats -

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

W. G. Richardson & Wm. Richardson
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 10. | Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court. Made- |
| 11. | Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Law. Defendants plead guilty as Charged - and Submitted the Same to the Court for its decision - |
| paid. | Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged - that W. G. Richardson be and he is hereby required to enter an undertaking of Three Hundred - to Keep the Peace towards the The People of this State, and particularly to ward the informer - and that the Defendant S. B. Richardson enter into an undertaking in the Sum of One Hundred Dollars - to Keep the peace towards the people of this State and particularly toward the informer - The above undertakings are valid and binding for Six Months - and may upon the renewal of the information be extended for a longer period - Bonds given and approved - and handed the County Clerk |
| | D. H. Hill J.P. |

1885. Mch. 5-th Special Execution issued Comt - Principal - Paid - Mch. 27/1885. Execution returned Satisfied

E.D. for Costs to Plaintiff. $8.50

Fresno

Page 258.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | due at this date and making a total of $90.00 - and that Plaintiff have his Costs and disbursements incurred in Said action amounting to the Sum of $10.00 - It is further ordered adjudged and decreed that a writ of Restitution issue from this Court for the restoring of possession of the premises to Plaintiff, and Satisfaction of Judgment as here in rendered in Lawful Money of the United States - | |
| Judgment for Plaintiffs Rent. | | 22.50 |
| " " Treble the amount thereof | | 67.50 |
| " " Justices fees - | | 8.50 |
| " " Constables " | | 1.50 |
| Total amount of Judgment - | | $100.00 |

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 981.

# In the Justice's Court of Linda Township,

## of the State of California, County of Yuba

John H. Short — Plaintiff

vs.

The Yuba Canal & Irrigation Company — Defendant

Action Damages.

Demand $299.00/100

Wheaton & Shaw
Attorney for Plaintiff

G. E. Church
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 11. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Constable. |
| " 11. | Summons returned & shows personal service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein — on the 11th day of Dec. 1884 — and filed — |
| " 15th | Now comes the Defendant by its attorney G. E. Church and files its Demurrer to the Plaintiff's Complaint herein — |
| " 30th | Demurrer submitted and overruled — |
| 1885. " | Defendant files his answer to the Plaintiff's Complaint herein — |
| Jan. 5th | Plaintiff files his answer to Defendant's Counter Claim. |

No. 981.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno.

Action Petit Larceny.

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Miller

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 11th | Complaint filed, Warrant issued & given to Const. Winchell & Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty as charged in the Complaint and waived the legal time for sentence — |
| Dec 11th | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense — that you Frank Miller be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $30.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and are hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno, and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of not exceeding thirty days — in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine — |
| | S. H. Hall J.P. |

No. 982.

# In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ge Wilson Wm Box & Jas. Hanson

Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 11. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & rec. Dept. Court. Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Law. Defendants plead guilty as charged and waive the legal time for sentence. Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Ge Wilson Wm Box and Jas. Hanson be and you are each of you hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Not exceeding five days each. S. H. Hill J.P. |
| Dec. 9th | |

No. 983.

# In the Justice's Court of Vinid Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Contempt of Court

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

H. S. Dixon & R. M. Deibert

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendants

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884. PROCEEDINGS.

Dec 11th Be it remembered that on the 11th day of December 1884 that the case of H. S. Dixon against R. M. Deibert in the Justices Court aforesaid before S. H. Hill Justice was being tried before the Court without a jury and H. S. Dixon was representing the Plaintiff H. S. Dixon in said action as his attorney and that the said R. M. Deibert was in said Court as the Defendant in said action represented by C. S. Daugh the Defendants attorney in said action and during the progress of the trial of said cause the said H. S. Dixon and the said R. M. Deibert in the immediate presence and view of the Court Plaintiff & Witnesses did Each Commence in a disorderly and Contemptuous Manner and did each in an angry Manner threaten & assault and fight each other and did then & there attempt to and intended at and toward each other in a riotous and boisterous Manner putting the Court Plaintiff and witnesses in great danger of being injured

Whereupon the Court adjudged the said H. S. Dixon and R. M. Deibert each guilty of Contempt of Court

Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is by the Court ordered and adjudged that the said H. S. Dixon be adjudged to pay a fine of Fifty $50 00 Dollars and the said R. M. Deibert be adjudged to pay a fine of Twenty five ($25 00) Dollars and it is further ordered by the Court that the said H. S. Dixon and R. M. Deibert be and they are in default of the payment of said fine Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Fresno County each of them and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of not exceeding said fines each and separately in the proportion of one day for every dollar of the said fines

S. H. Hill J. P.

No. 984.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Donahoe Beesley & Co — Plaintiffs

vs.

A. B. Barling

Defendant

Action Note and account

Demand $211.73/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 — | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec 12. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const: Meade |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const: Meade |
| | J. P. fees Paid — |

No. 985.

# In the Justice's Court of Hurd Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

J. H. Bohmbach — Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Gunther — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $ 33.33/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 13th | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable Made. |
| " | Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Constable Made |
| " 16. | Summons returned & Sheriff's Journal Done on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 16th day of Dec 1884. and filed |

No. 966

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

C. H. Haun & P. Arnkelaia — Defendant

Action Disturbing the peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 13 | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Meade |
| " | Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against |
| " | them and of their rights before the Court. Defendants plead |
| | not guilty as charged — Defendants held for trial in |
| | the Sum of $25 each — |

No. 987.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Assault

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

James Keenan
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec 15th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant held to answer in a bond of Five Hundred ($500.00) Dollars. Defendant Bond approved and filed. |
| paid | |

No. 988.

# In the Justice's Court of Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

W. J. Weakly

Defendant

Action Bail Forfeited

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec 15 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Const. De Blume |
| 17. | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his right before the Court. Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now — Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you W. J. Weakly be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $25. Defendant at once paid said fine in to Court and thereupon discharged — S. H. Hall J.P. |
| Paid | |

No. 989.

# In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Vagrancy

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Harry Blank
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec 15 | Complaint filed, Warrant issued & given to Sheriff. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence. Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Harry Blank be and you are hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of not exceeding five days— |
| " | J. H. Hale J.P. |
| Paid | |

No. 990

# In the Justice's Court of Vine Township,

## of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
Edward Gibson
Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

E. D. Edmands
Attorney for Plaintiff

F. E. Grady
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec 15 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Dept. Const. De Blanc. |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law — Defendant pleads not guilty as Charged in the Complaint — Defendant held for trial in a Bond of $100 — Bond prepared & filed |
| 18. | Cause set down for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. Dec 20th 1884 — Special Subpoena for the Defendant witness & Law Const. also. for the People & Law Const — |
| " 20. | 2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court — and ready for trial C. H. Haun & F. E. Beasley — Sworn and examined as witness for the Prosecution — People Closed — |
| " | Cal Fry and Edward Gibson Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Defendant. Defence Closed — Cause Submitted without argument. The Court ordered that the Defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to Commit the Defendant — |
| | S. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 996

# In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

W. J. Weatherby Defendant

Action Fighting

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec 15 | Complaint filed Warrant issued & Def't Cont Fresno. |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant held for trial in a bond of $50.00 |
| Dec 16 | Now Comes the plaintiff in person before the trial of this Cause and acknowledges that he has received Satisfaction for the injury done and on payment of the Cost incurred it is ordered that all proceedings on the part of the prosecution be stayed and that the defendant be discharged thereupon |
| | S. H. Hill J. P. |

272

No. 992

# In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Forfeiting

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ge. Scherpf jr. & Jas Wilder
Defendant's

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 15 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Le Blanc |
| " | Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead guilty as Charged in the Complaint — Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 2. O'C. P. M. Dec. 16th 1884 — on their recognizance |
| Paid $ | |

No. 993.

# In the Justice's Court of 3 Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Joe Gonzales & Jud Myers — Defendant

Action Vagrancy.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 16. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & ret Constable Fitzhenry |
| " | Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the Court. Defendant plead guilty as charged — |
| Paid | Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 2. O.C. P.M. Dec 17th 1884 — on their own recognizance |

No. 994

# In the Justice's Court of Wine Township,

## of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Tum Bunny Conner and E B Thompson — Defendants

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec 16 | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Wilkinson |
| " 17. | Summons returned Hearing Journal Entries on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 17th day of Dec 1884 and filed — |
| | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court Defendants plead guilty as charged in the Complaint Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 2 P. M. Dec 17th 1884 on their own recognizance |

No. 995

# In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Negligence

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Edward Glenn

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 16 | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Fitzhenry |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant pleads guilty as charged — |
| paid | Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence at 2 O.C. P.M. Dec 17th 1884, on his own recognizance |

No. 996.

# In the Justice's Court of Ward Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Richard Hamilton & John Kahill
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 16 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Law. Defendants plead guilty as charged in the Complaint. Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 2. O.C.P.M. Dec 17th 1884. On this date Ygg no jana |
| " | |

No. 997.

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Account

Frank Mazz — Plaintiff

vs.

Robertson & Wilson

Defendants

Demand $58.87/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec 16 | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given to Sheriff. Court adjourned |
| " | affidavit for attachment, made & filed. Undertaking on attachment |
| " | approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given to Sheriff. Court adjourned |
| 18 | This cause is dismissed — fourties fees paid. |

D. W. Hill J.P.

278

No. 994

# In the Justice's Court of Bluid Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

C. C. Harris — Plaintiff

vs.

A. J. Lafferin — Defendant

Action Account.

Demand $104.00/100

E. J. Griffith — Attorney for Plaintiff

S. D. Wright — Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 16 | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Tuolumne |
| 17 | Summons returned Showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint Same on the 16th day of Dec 1884 and filed — |
| " 19 | Now Comes the Defendant and files his affidavit on Motion to dismiss — |
| " 26 | Parties in Court and Submitted the Motion to dismiss Motion overruled upon the grounds of Time being No Justice of the peace in Defendants Township and Now Comes the Defendant by his attorney S. D. Wright and files his demurrer to the Plaintiff's Complaint Same Demurrer Submitted and overruled — Defendant allowed by Consent of Plaintiff until Jan 2d 1885 in which to answer |
| " 27 | Defendant files his affidavit to dismiss — |
| " | The Court took the Matter under advisement — |
| 1885 Jan. 2 | Plaintiff files his affidavit alleging when Continuance was made — |
| " " | Defendant files his answer to Plaintiff Complaint Same — |
| " 19 | This Cause is set down for trial at 11. O.C.A.M. Jan 24 1885 — |
| " 24 | Plaintiff files his affidavit as to jurisdiction of Court — |
| " " | Defendants affidavit as to Continuance — |
| " " | Plaintiffs affidavit " " " |
| " " | Defendant files his affidavit asking a Change of venue — |
| " " | The Court orders that Said Cause be transferred to Justice Court 3d Judicial Township County and that upon said proceed the Cause of this action on venue be paid by the 2d day of February 1885 — |
| Feb. 2 | 11. O.C.A.M. Parties in Court. Cost not paid on Change of venue Defendant allowed until 2. O.C.P.M. Feb. 5th 1885 to Complete his answer if not Cause to be tried at Said time — Parties at the time of trial allowed to amend without further time to plead — |
| " 5 | Answer Complete — Cause transferred as ordered. |

Feb. 2d 1885 Paid on venue — $6.00

D. H. Hill Jr. P.

No. 997.

# In the Justice's Court of Vista Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Lindsay

Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 16 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Sheriff. Court-Fresno |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads guilty as charged in the Complaint— |
| Oct. | Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence at 2 o'c. P.M. Dec 17th 1884 on his own recognizance |

No. 1000

# In the Justice's Court of Knight Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ira Hunt & Herman Stump
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 16. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & are in Supl Court. Fresno |
| " | Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the Court. Defendants plead guilty as charged in the Complaint. Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 2 o'c. P.M. Dec 17th 1884 on their own recognizance |

No. 1001

# In the Justice's Court of Wind Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

M. V Silvera — Plaintiff

vs.

Manuel Miranda — Defendant

Action account

Demand $ 70 00/100

Dupuy & Dupuy — Attorney for Plaintiff

Wharton & Shaw — Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Dec. | 16 | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const Withrow affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed, Writ of attachment issued to Const Withrow |
| | 20 | Summons returned Showing personal Service on the Defendant in the 4th Township in the County and State aforesaid on the 18th day of Dec 1884 and filed this day. |
| | " | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed — |
| | " | Now Comes the Defendant by his attorneys Wharton & Shaw and files his answer and offer to take Judgment — |
| | " | By Consent of Parties this Cause is set down for trial at 11 O.C. A. M. January 3. 1885 |
| Jan | 2 | Defendant files his affidavit asking Change of venue |
| " | 3 | Change of venue argued — and Sustained — |
| " | | By Consent of parties Cause transferred for trial to the first Township County of Inyo, State of Cala, before Justice O. F. Rad field — |
| " | 6 | Transcript papers to 1st Township — D. H. Hill Jr |

No. 1002

# In the Justice's Court of F. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action account

J S Rankins — Plaintiff

vs.

C. Blanchard and J. Rannbard — Defendant

Demand $232.60

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan 16 | Complaint filed Summons issued and given Const Whitmore |
| 31 | Paid in full and dismissed — |

J H. Hill J.P.

No. 1003.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Scott

Defendant

Action Assault with intent to commit Murder

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 17th | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Meade |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. |
| | Dr. Charles Bunell being duly sworn and examined as to the true condition of the wounded man Jesus Zelis states that he cannot tell in a less time than forty Eight hours the result of the shooting as to the life or death of said Jesus Zelis. |
| " | The Court ordered that Dr. Charles Bunell appear in this Court room and before this Court at 9 O.C. A.M. Dec 19th 1884 and further report as to the true condition of the said Jesus Zelis. |
| " | After which the Defendant by his attorney Russ Hard asked the Court to admit the Defendant Geo. Scott to bail. The Court refused admittance to bail until after the report of Jesus Zelis Physicians at 9 O.C. A.M. Dec 19th 1884. |

S. H. Hill J.P.

284

No. 1004.

# In the Justice's Court of Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Patrick Martin — Defendant

Action Burglary — in the night time

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Dec. | 17. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Dept. Const. De Blume — |
| " | " | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his right before the Court — |
| Paid | | |
| " | 1. | Cause Set down for examination, at 2. O.C. P.M. Dec. 18th 1884 — |
| | 18 | Issued Subpoena for, People witnesses & gave Court |
| | " | 2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court and says he is ready for trial or examination — |
| | " | W. H. Henderson Sworn and examined as a witness for the People — Prosecution Closed — |
| | | Defendant waived the examination of any witness. |
| | | Cause Submitted to the Court — |
| | | The Court after due Consideration of the matter — And It appearing to me that the offence of Burglary in the night time with intent to Commit Petit Larceny — a felony — has been committed — as follows viz. |
| | | That on or about the 15th day of December 1884 at the Town and County of Fresno State of California the Defendant did enter through a certain window in to the Barn of Swinton and Brow — and did then and there Commit the Crime of Petit Larceny — and that there is sufficient Cause to believe the within named Patrick Martin guilty thereof & Order that he be held to answer to the same, and Committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno — And that he be admitted to bail in the Sum of $500 — and is committed to the Sheriff of the County of Fresno until he give such Bail — |
| | | D. H. Hall J.P. — |
| | 20. | Handed the Clerk the Papers in this action — |

No. 1005

# In the Justice's Court of Knights Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
P. & S. McMahon
Defendant

Action Assault with intent to Commit Murder

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Dec. | 18 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Meade |
| | 23 | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant held for examination in a Bond of $500 — Cause Set down for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. Jan 5. 1885 — |
| | 31 | Issued Subpoenas for the People & Defendant — |
| 1885. Jan | 5 | 2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court. Cause continued in consequence of the absence of witness John N. Appleton — until 2. O.C. P.M. Jan. 10th 1885 — |
| " | " | Now comes the District attorney and moves the Court to allow the Defendant to plead guilty to the crime of a simple assault upon the grounds that the evidence as to an assault with intent to Commit Murder is insufficient to Convict the Defendant — The Court do ordered — Defendant in Court and being informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law Defendant pleads guilty to the crime of a simple assault and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now |
| " | | Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you P. & S. McMahon be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $43.00 — Defendant at once paid said fine into Court & was discharged — Cost: Cty $15 — Court: $14.00 — |

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 1006.

# In the Justice's Court of Knights Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff
vs.
H. Schell, Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff
Wm. V. Rathbone
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1864. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 19. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Const. Marshall |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads Not guilty as charged in the Complaint. And asked to be tried now without a Jury. Jury waived. The Court ordered that said defendant be tried at once — |
| " | Thos. Burgess — sworn and examined as witness for the People — Prosecution Rests — |
| | Defendant examined in his own behalf — after which the Cause was submitted to the Court for its deliberation and verdict — |
| | The Court ordered that the defendant be discharged upon the grounds that the evidence is insufficient to connect the defendant — |
| | S. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 1007.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Breaking Open—

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Roach & J. H. Brown

Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884— | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 19. | Complaint filed, Warrant issued & given Constable Meade. |
| " | Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the Law. Defendants plead guilty as Charged in the Complaint— Defendants ordered to appear for sentence at the hour of 2. O.C. P. M. Dec— 20th 1884 |
| Paid | |

No. 1008

# In the Justice's Court of Ward Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Newman & Wm. Lenard — Defendant's

Action Breach of bail bond

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 19. | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Evans |
| " | Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the Court. Defendants plead guilty as charged ~~and~~ |
| | Defendants ordered to appear for sentence on their own recognizance at 2. O.C. P. M. Dec 20th 1884 |

No. 1609.

# In the Justice's Court of Hind Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

W. B. Grady — Plaintiff

vs.

B. Jelich — Defendant

Action Debt of Loan

Demand $50 00/100

C. C. Thurman — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Dec. | 19 | Complaint filed. Summons issued & giv. Const. Murder affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & giv. Const. Murder |
| | 20 | Now Comes the defendant in proper person and files his answer and Counter Claim to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein — |
| | " | Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 20th day of Dec 1884 and filed — |
| | " | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed |
| | 27 | Filed order for W. B. Grady showing date for trial Defendant paying Cost — Paid Justice fee — |

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 1016.

# In the Justice's Court of Hood Township,

## of the State of California, County of Butte

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

E Walton — Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| 1884 Dec. 19. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given C.G. Doyle. |
| 1885 Jan. 31 | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence and asks to be sentenced now. Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offense that you E Walton be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $18.00 Defendant at once settled said fine & thereupon his charge was Cost, $14.00 — |
| Paid | |

J. H. Wells J.P.

No. 1011.

# In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

## of the State of California, County of Mendocino

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Cesario Lopez — Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Dec. | 19. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued and given B. F. Doyle |
| 1885. Jan. | 3. | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads not guilty as charged. Cause set down for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. Jan 10th 1884. Defendant held for his appearance for trial in the Sum of $100.00 |
| | 6. | Issued Subpoena for the People. |
| | 10. | Defendant in Court and asks to withdraw his plea of not guilty and to plead guilty as charged. and by Consent of Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence at 2. O.C. P.M. January 17th 1885. |
| | 17. | 11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court. this being the time fixed for Sentence. Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that you Cesario Lopez. for said offence be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $25.00 Defendant at once paid said fine. in to Court and is thereupon discharged. Paid Dist. Atty. $15.00. Paid Court $10.00. |

D. H. Hale J.P.

No. 1012.

# In the Justice's Court of Ward Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

P. Martin — Defendant

Action Misdemeanor

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Dec. | 19. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given C. L. Doyle |
| 1883, Jan. | 8" | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law — Defendant pleads guilty as Charged — and waives the legal time for Sentence — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid. it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence there be P. Martin be and he is hereby fined in the Sum of $3.00 — Defendant at once paid said fine into Court and was thereupon discharged |
| | | D. H. Hill J.P. |
| From Page 294 | | |
| 1884. Jan | 9" | Now comes the Defendant and files his notice of appeal to the Superior Court — |
| " | 13" | Now comes the Plaintiff by his attorneys Wharton & Shaw and asks that an execution be issued — Execution issued and given Constable Winchell — returnable within sixty days — |
| Jan. | 21" | Plaintiff filed his affidavit for Proceedings in aid of Execution — |
| " | | Order of Examination of W. M. Ross — issued & given Deft atty — |
| April | 4" | Execution fees paid — by Plaintiff atty — $5.00 — |

No. 1013.

# In the Justice's Court of Eureka Township,

## of the State of California, County of Humboldt

Action Misdemeanor

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Mark Anderson

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 19th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to C. G. Bayha |
| 1885. Jan 5th | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court, Defendant pleads not guilty as charged — Cause set down for trial at 10 o'c. A. M. Jan. 10th 1885 — Defendant held for trial in a bond of $50.00 |
| " 6th | Issued Subpoena for the People — and Jan Court. |
| " 10th | 10 o'c. A. M. Parties in Court — and by Consent this Cause is Continued until 10 o'c. A. M. Jan 17th 1885 |
| " 17th | Defendant in Court — and asks to withdraw his plea of not guilty — and to plead guilty as charged and to have the legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now |
| " | Wherefore by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Mark Anderson be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $43.00 at the Suggestion of the District Attorney — Said Mark Anderson is allowed until February 1st 1885 to pay said fine — |
| Feb " 3d | This Cause fined in full & Defendant discharged — |

Paid Clk. allowance 15.00 — Paid Court — $24.00 —

D. H. Hill, J. P.

No. 1014.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Due Note & Foreclosure

Alex Beadlam Plaintiff

vs.

W. L. Grady Defendant

Demand $200.00 - with interest

Thornton & Shearer Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Dec | 20 | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Constable Witthaus |
| " | | Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein each 20th day of Dec 1884 and filed |
| " | 30th | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby entered according to law — |
| " | | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said Defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law, Now at this day on application of Thornton & Shearer attorneys for the Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment be entered against the said Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein. Defendants Note filed as evidence of the said indebtedness — |
| " | | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the the Sum of $200.00 Two on Note and the Sum of $7.20 - Interest thereon and the further Sum of $7.00 - Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action - in Gold Coin of the United States — |
| " | | Judgment for the principal — 200.00 |
| | | " " " Interest thereon — 7.20 |
| | | " " " Justice fee — 4.50 |
| | | " " " Constable " 2.60 |
| " | | Total amount of Judgment — $214.30 |

S. H. Hill J.P.

(See Page 292)

No. 1015.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Antonio Dumont — Defendant

Action Prosecuting

Demand $

E. D. Edmonds — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| 1884. Dec. 22nd | Complaint filed Dumont issued & given Const Mead |

No. 1016.

# In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Butte

Action Account

Donahue Beerley & Co Plaintiffs

vs.

R A. White

Defendant

Demand $15.65/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| 1884 | |
| Dec 22 | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Made— |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment. issued & given Const. Made— |
| " 24. | Cause settled in full and dismissed — J.P. fees Paid — |

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 1017.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action account

Isaacs, Burby & Co — Plaintiff's

vs.

J. B Crab — Defendant

Demand $ 17.09/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 23. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Watkins |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Watkins |
| 27" | This cause is dismissed by order of Plaintiffs. Fees of J.P. not paid. D. H. Hill. |

No. 1014.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action account-

James F. Martin Plaintiff

vs.

Emma Emmerson

Defendant

Demand $89.50

Martin & Shannon
Attorney for Plaintiff

Doyle & Harris
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Dec. | 23 | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Court. Writhem |
| | 24 | Summons returned Sheriff personal Service on the Defendant. together with a copy of the Complaint- on file herein, on the 24th day of Dec. 1884 and filed- |
| " | 26 | Now Comes the Defendant by his attorneys Doyle & Harris and files her Demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein- |
| | 31 | Demurrer set down for argument at 10. o.c. A. M. Jan. 5th 1885- |
| 1885. Jan. | 12 | Demurrer overruled- Defendant allowed five days in which to answer- |
| " | 14 | Now Comes the Defendant by his attorneys Doyle & Harris and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiffs Complaint contained |
| Mch | 2 | By Consent of Parties this Cause is set down for trial at 10. o.c. A.M. Mch. 9th 1885- |
| " | 9 | 10. o.c. A. M. Plaintiff in Court- Cause Continued generally in consequence of the absence of the Defendant- |
| " | 17 | Now Comes the Plaintiff in proper person and files his affidavit and undertaking on attachment- Attachment issued- and given Court Winchell- |
| " | 26 | Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed- |
| " | 27 | By Consent of Parties this Cause set down for trial at 10. o.c. A.M. April 4th 1885- |
| April | 4 | Defendant files her offer to allow Judgment- |
| " | " | 10. o.c. A. M. Parties in Court- both Parties waive trial by Jury- and say they are now ready for trial- J. F. Martin sworn and examined as a witness for the Prosecution after which the Prosecution Closed- Defendant waived the introduction of any testimony. Cause Closed and Submitted. The Court having fully Considered this Matter- Therefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid. It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendant a judgment for the Sum of Eighty-nine Dollars the amount sued for and the further Sum of Twenty one 50/100 Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in full for Sum of the U.S. |
| " | | Judgment for the Principal- $89.50- and Cost- $110.30- |
| | | D. H. Hill J.P.- |
| " | | Defendant allowed a Stay of Proceedings for Twenty days- |

Plaintiffs Bill filed this 23d day of Dec. 1884

No. 1019.

# In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Chung, Ah Dam, & Ah Joe — Defendant

Action Maintaining a place where opium is sold &c — and furnished

Demand $

E. B. Connell & R. B. Berry
Attorney for Plaintiff

D. B. Hinds
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 23 | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Constable Whitmore to subpoena Ah Chung. in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Law, Defendant pleads guilty as charged — and waives the legal time for sentence — and asks to be sentenced now Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Ah Chung be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $20.00 — Defendant at once paid said fine — and his charges — Paid Sheriff $15.00 Paid Const. $2.50 — D. H. Hill. J.P. |
| " " | Defendants Ah Dam and Ah Joe — in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the Law Defendants plead not guilty as charged — Defendants remanded to jail to await trial — Cause set for trial at 2. O.C. P.M. Dec — 24th 1884 — |
| 24. | 2. O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court — ready for trial by jury and say they are ready for trial — A. Whitmore & C.H. Swan sworn and examined as witnesses for the People Prosecution closed — Defendants sworn & examination — Cause submitted. The Court after fully and duly considered the matter — find the defendant — guilty — as charged in the Complaint. and ordered that said defendant appear for sentence at 9. O.C A.M. Dec — 26th 1884 — |
| 26th | 2. O.C. P.M. Defendants in Court. This being the hour fixed for sentence Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Ah Dam and Ah Joe each of you be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $25.00 each, and in default of the payment of said fine that you and each of you be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period not exceeding twenty five days each in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine — D. H. Hill J.P. — |

No. 1020

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Davis A.J. McClarty, Pete Hinds, Etta Bill & Nellie Nash— Defendants

Action Smuggling Opium

Demand $

E.D. Edwards Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 23 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & in Court. Witness Defendants Frank Davis. A.J. McClarty. & Pete Hinds— in Court— and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead guilty as charged— Defendants ordered to appear for sentence at 2. O.C. P.M. Dec— 24th 1884— on their own recognizance |
| " | Defendants Etta Bill and Nellie Nash. in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law Defendants plead guilty as charged— Defendants ordered to appear for sentence at 2 O.C. P.M. Dec. 24th 1884— on their own recognizance |
| 1885. Jan 23 | Defendant Pete Hinds alias E.D. Simpson brought into Court for sentence having failed to obey an order of the Court on Dec— 24th 1884— at 2. O.C. P.M— |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Pete Hinds alias E.D. Simpson be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Fresno County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Not exceeding fifty days |

D.H. Hill J.P.

No. 1021.

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Louis Miller

Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

E. D. Conway by R. B. Jury
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 24 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Witherow. Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleades guilty as charged in the Complaint. Defendant Ordered to appear for Sentence at 2. O.C. P.M. Dec 26th 1884. |

No. 1122.

# In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

## of the State of California, County of Mendo

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Grant Conner & Jas. Murray

Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 24 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead guilty as Charged in the Complaint. Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 2. O'C. P. M. Dec. 26th 1884. |
| " | |

No. 1123.

# In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

J. S. Terry Jr. — Plaintiff

vs.

B. Myers — Defendant

Action account

Demand $19.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec 24. | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable to serve. |
| " | Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Constable to serve. |
| | Cause settled in full and dismissed. |
| | J. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 1024.

# In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

William North — Defendant

Action Battery.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1864 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec 25 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued and given affidavit |
| 27 | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Law |
| 28 | " Now comes the party injured before the trial of this action and acknowledges that he has received satisfaction for the injury done him — paid the Cost incurred in the prosecution of the Same. It is thereupon ordered that all proceedings on the part of the prosecution be stayed and the Defendant to be discharged therefrom |

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 1025

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Book account

Jonathon Burley & Co — Plaintiffs

vs.

C. M. Bennet — Defendant

Demand $218.82/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Dec. | 26. | Complaint filed, Summons issued & given Constable. |
| | | Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Constable. |
| | 31. | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant with a copy of the complaint on file herein in the 2d Township on the 31st day of Dec 1884. and filed — Writ of attachment executed returned and filed |
| 1885. Jan | 5th | Credited by $214.77/100 Paid Const — $1.00/100 Cause dismissed — |

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 1026.

# In the Justice's Court of Shasta Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Jack

Defendant

Action Maintaining a place where opium is smoked.

Demand $

E. D. Edmonds
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 26 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const Williamson |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads not guilty as charged. Cause set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Dec 29th 1884. |
| " 29th | 10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court. and asked to withdraw his plea not guilty and to plead guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence and asked to be sentenced now. Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Ah Jack be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $22.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of not exceeding 22 days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine. |

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 1127.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Lem and Ah Dang
Defendants

Action Unlawfully opening

Demand $

E. F. Edmands
Attorney for Plaintiff

Campbell & Hinds
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 26 | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Wilkinson |
| " | Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court. Defendants plead not guilty as charged — Cause set down for trial until 10 O.C. A.M. Dec 29th 1884 — |
| " 29th | 10 O.C. A.M. — Defendants in Court and ask to withdraw the their pleas of not guilty and now plead guilty and waive the legal time for sentence and ask to be sentenced now — |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Ah Lem & Ah Dang be and you and each of you fined in the sum of $20 each and in default of the payment of said fine that you and each of you be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Tuolumne County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of not exceeding twenty two days — in the proportion of One day imprisonment for each dollar of said fine — |

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 1128.

# In the Justice's Court of Reed Township,

## of the State of California, County of Sierra

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Wong

Defendant

Action Maintaining a place where opium is smoked &c

Demand $

E. D. Crandall
Attorney for Plaintiff

Campbell & Hinds
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 26 | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Whitman |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant plead not guilty as charged in the Complaint. Cause set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. Dec. |
| 29 | 10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and asks to withdraw his plea of not guilty and to plead guilty. And waives the legal time for sentence and asks to be sentenced now. Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Ah Wong be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $22.50 Defendant at once paid said fine into Court and was thereupon discharged. Paid Clerk $18.00 Paid Const $4.50 |

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 1029

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Yan and Ah Chung Defendant

Action Smuggling Opium

Demand $

E D Edmands Attorney for Plaintiff

Campbell & Hinds Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec 26th | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Whitman |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights by the Court Defendant plead not guilty as charged - Cause set down for trial at 11 O.C. A.M. Dec 29th 1884 |
| Oath waived | |
| 29th | 11 O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court - Defendant Ah Chung asked to withdraw his plea of not guilty and pleads guilty as charged - and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be sentenced now |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you the said Ah Chung be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $25 Defendant at once paid said fine in to Court and was thereupon discharged - Fine $25 - Fine Costs $5 - |
| " | The District attorney moved the Court to discharge Ah Yan upon the grounds that the evidence against said Defendant is insufficient to Convict, So ordered |

S H. Hill. J.P.

No. 1830.

# In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

## of the State of California, County of Mendo

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

John Morey — Defendant

Action For Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 26 1884 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Capt. Le Blanc |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law. Defendant pleads guilty as Charged in the Complaint and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now — Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you John Morey be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $5.00 Defendant give one week to pay said fine — |

No. 1031.

# In the Justice's Court of 3. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Chung — Defendant

Action Resisting an officer

Demand $

E. D. Edmonds — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 26. | Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Withdrawn |
| 27. | Now comes the District attorney and moves the Court to discharge the defendant Ah Chung upon the ground that after due inquiry he finds the evidence insufficient to commit the defendant — The Court do ordered — Defendant discharged — |
| | J. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 1132.

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

W. G. Richardson — Plaintiff

vs.

C. G. Kurtz & G. A. Pearson — Defendants

Action Note & Mtge

Demand $275.00

Dayle Haws — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec 27. | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const- Mileage |
| " 31st | This cause settled in full and dismissed- |
| | D. H. Hill. J.P. |

No. 1033.

# In the Justice's Court of Wind Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

James E. Haber — Plaintiff

vs.

W. F. Prather — Defendant

Action Book account

Demand $ 57.70/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec 27" | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Made— |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & giv. Const. Made |
| 29" | Summons returned Showing personal service on the Defendant together with the Complaint on file herein on the 29th day of Dec 1884 and filed— |
| " | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed |
| Jan 1885 2" | By order of Plaintiff this Cause is dismissed being Paid in full— |

D. H. Hill
Justice of the Peace

No. 1034.

# In the Justice's Court of Shirel Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Battery

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

C. H. Hearn — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 27. | Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. Meade- |
| " 29. | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads guilty as Charged — and waives the Legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now — |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid — it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you C. H. Hearn be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $10.00 — and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Fresno County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period not exceeding Ten days in the proportion of One days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine — |
| | Feb. 12th 1885 Settled in full — J. H. Hill J. P. — |
| Jan. Page 215 | |
| 1884. Jan. 9. | This Cause Set down for trial Jan. 17th 1885 at 11. O.C. A.M. |
| " 13. | Issued Subpoena for the People & Const. Winchell |
| " 17. | 11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court — Defendant files affidavit asking a Continuance upon the ground of the absence of a Material witness — granted by the Court — Cause Continued until Jan. 24th 1885 — at 11. O.C. A.M. |
| " 21. | Issued Subpoena and Summons and given Const. |
| " 22. | By Consent of parties this Cause is Continued until Jan. 31st 1885 at 11. O.C. A.M. |
| Feb. 2. | This Cause Set down for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. Feb. 7th 1885 — |
| " | Issued Subpoenas for the respective parties & given Const. |
| " 7. | Cause Continued until 11. O.C. A.M. Feb. 14th 1885 — |
| " 9. | Summons and Subpoena Issued & given Const. |
| " 14. | Summons and Subpoena returned and filed — |
| " | 11. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court and ready for trial |
| " | Jurors drawn to answer questions as to qualifications after which the following jurors were drawn to try the Cause Wm. Peter Johanson — J. K. Prescott. J. H. Durell — H. L. Barker — John Pitcher — John Hartin — John Doyle — Jno. Barnett. Chas. Williamson — Robt. Williams. — See Pg. 322 |

No. 1035

# In the Justice's Court of Stuart Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Wm M. Coolidge & J. W. Ferguson — Defendant

Action Malicious Mischief

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Dec. | 28th | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Meador |
| Dec. | 31st | Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court. Defendants plead not guilty as charged. Cause set down for trial at 11. O.C. A.M. January 7th 1885. Defendants held to answer further in a Bond of $50 each |
| 1885. | | |
| Jan. | 6th | Issued Subpoena for the People and gave Const. Winchell |
| " | 7th | 11. O.C. A.M. Defendants in Court. Parties ready for trial. Jury drawn to answer questions as to qualification after which the following jurors were drawn to try this cause viz. Jesse Ennis, W. S. Griffith, G. R. G. Glenn Sol Woolner, W. J. Dickey, S. H. Wilt, D. K. Brown, Wm Harris D. S. Reel, D. A. Bell, Amos Hussey & John Gannon. Joseph Hilderbrand, Jacob Hilderbrand & John Hilderbrand, drawn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution. Plaintiff offered in evidence a Receipt from the Land office at Visalia signed by Dipkin Lindsay Register of said Land office. Defendants objected upon the grounds that said Receipt is irrelevant objection overruled Defendants Except. People Closed. |
| " | | James Dannig. W. M. Coolidge. Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Defense. Plaintiff asked witness Coolidge if J. W. Ferguson had possession of the S.W.¼ of Section 4 T. 15 S. R. 22 E. on the 28th of Dec. 1884. Ans. He had. Question. How. Ans. By a verbal lease from me. Question What is that lease. Defendant objects upon the grounds that the evidence solicited by said question is irrelevant and immaterial. Objection overruled Defendant Excepts. J. W. Ferguson, sworn and examined as a witness for the defense. Defense Closed. After argument of Counsel for the respective parties. The Cause was submitted to the Jury for their deliberation and verdict. After some time Spent in deliberation. The Jury was returned into Court by the proper officer of this Court, and stated to the Court that they were unable to agree. The Court discharged said Jury. (See Page 314) |

No. 1136.

# In the Justice's Court of Shine Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

Mattingly and Co — Plaintiffs

vs.

J. Bancroft — Defendant

Action On account

Demand $129.75/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 29th | Complaint filed Summons issued and given to Dpt. Const. Sudlock |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment |
| | append and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given to Dpt Const. Sudlock |
| " | Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant |
| | together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein — on the 29th |
| | day of Dec — 1884. and filed — |
| " | Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed — |
| 1885. Jan. 6th | In this action the defendant having been regularly served |
| | with process and having failed to appear and answer |
| | the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed |
| | by Law for answering having expired the default |
| | of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered |
| | according to Law |
| " | In this action the defendant having been regularly |
| | served with process, and having failed to appear and |
| | answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the Legal |
| | time for answering having expired and the default of |
| | said defendant in the premises having been duly entered |
| | according to Law, Now on this day on application |
| | of the Plaintiff, It is ordered that Judgment be entered |
| | herein against the said defendant in accordance |
| | with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — |
| " | Wherefor by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered |
| | and adjudged that the said Plaintiff do have and recover and |
| | from the said defendant the sum of One Hundred and twenty nine |
| | and 75/100 Dollars the principal Debt for and the sum of Thirteen |
| | and 90/100 Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution |
| | of this action in Gold coin of the United States — |
| " | Judgment for the principal — 129.75 |
| | " " " Justices fees — 8.50 |
| | " " " Constables " 5.40 |
| " | Total amount of Judgment. $143.65 |

S. H. Hill J.P.

1885, July 31. J.P. fees Paid by Plaintiff

No. 1037.

# In the Justice's Court of Eureka Township,

## of the State of California, County of Humboldt

Action Robbery.

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe & Richard Roe, Defendant

Demand $

E. D. Edwards, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 paid | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 29th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Dept. Const. Le Blanc |
| Sum | |
| Day 320. | 2. O.C.P.M. January the 2d 1885. Defendant in Court. |
| 1885. Jan. 2. | Defendant now moves the Court to grant a new trial upon the grounds, 1st. That there has been error in the decision of the Court, prior on questions of law arising during the course of said trial. 2d. Verdict Contrary to law. 3. Verdict Contrary to the evidence. Motion overruled. Defendant excepts. This being the time fixed for Sentence. Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid. It is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Ah Shin be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $32.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff of Humboldt County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period not exceeding Sixty two days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine. |
| | D. H. Hill J. P. |
| " 3. | Defendant files his notice of intention and Statement on appeal to the Superior Court. |
| 13" | Statement settled and approved. Issued the same to the Clerk. |
| | D. H. Hill J. P. |

No. 1138.

# In the Justice's Court of Shine Township,

## of the State of California, County of Sierra

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

G. A. Norris — Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884- | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec- 29 | Complaint-filed. Warrant-issued & given to Dept. Const: De Blanc & defendant-in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights-before the Court & defendant-held for his appearance on his own recognizance- |

No. 1039

# In the Justice's Court of Union Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

E. J. Gartland — Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 29. | Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Const: Court De Blanc |
| 31st | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court — Defendant asked for his appearance on his own recognizance |

No. 1040

# In the Justice's Court of Yuba Township,

## of the State of California, County of Yuba

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Shin and Ah Gin — Defendant

Action Keeping & Maintaining a place for Gambling Purposes

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|

Dec 30. Complaint filed. Warrant issued & def'ts brot Court. Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the Court. Defendants plead not guilty — Cause set down for trial at 9. O'C. A.M. Dec 31st 1884 — Defendants held for their appearance in a bond of $200 each

" 31st 9. O'C. A.M. Defendants in Court — and demand trial by Jury — venire issued — Cause Continued until 1. O'C. P.M.

" 2. O'C. P.M. Defendants in Court. Venire returned and filed Defendants ready for trial. Jury drawn to answer questions as to qualification — after which the following Jurors by consent of Parties were sworn to try the Cause as follows to wit; J.W. Williams. R.H. Austin. W. H. Chance W. H. Harter. M. Madsen Frank Johnson — Geo. Rupert. Herman Frank. Simon Henry. Edward Ham — & John Welch —

C.W. Ivans and Matt Strait — Sworn and examined as witnesses on the part of the Prosecution — People Closed — Defendants Counsel moved the Court to grant a nonsuit upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict the defendants as the Law provides — Motion overruled Defendants & Exception — Defendants offered an instruction — which the Court refused to allow, Defts Exception Cause argued and submitted to the Jury for their deliberation and verdict — after a short retirement, the Jury were returned in to Court by the proper officer of this Court with the following verdict to wit; Dec. 31st 1884 — We the Jury find the Defendant Ah Shin guilty, and we the Jury find the Defendant Ah Gin not guilty — R.H. Austin Foreman — Defendant Ah Gin discharged and the Court ordered the defendant Ah Shin to appear for Sentence at 2. O'C. P.M. Jan. 2d 1885 — Defendant gave a bond in the Sum of $100 — for his appearance for Sentence as aforesaid —

Vide Page 317 —

No. 1141

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of the State of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Lee — Defendant

Action Opening and Maintaining a place where opium is smoked

Demand $

E. S. Edwards, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 31st | Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Const Cowan Inyo |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law Defendant plead not guilty — and wants to be Tried — Men ordered to be Tried at once |
| " | C. W. Faerie and Brown and Sworn and examined as witness by the People Prosecution alone |
| " | Defendant made a Statement in his own behalf — Cause Submitted — The Court after having fully Considered the Same — finds the Defendant guilty as Charged — and ordered That the Defendant appear for Sentence at 9. O.C. A.M. Dec — 31st 1884 — |
| 31st | 2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court. This being the time fixed for Sentence — Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid, it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Ah Lee be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $22 50/100 — and in default of the payment of said that you be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Inyo County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said — for a period of twenty two days — in the proportion of one day imprisonment for each dollar of said fine |
| | Fine Collected — $15 — Paid Costs $4 — |
| | J. H. Hill J.P. |

322

No. 1042.

# In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Reid & William Barker, Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Dec. 30. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued and given to Const Ingram |
| " " | Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead guilty as Charged — Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 o.c.A.M. Dec 31st 1884 |
| Ingram | |
| Page 314 | H. E. Pratt & J. G. Suttle — The Court took recess until 1 o.c.P.M. |
| " | 1 o.c.P.M. Defendants in Court and Jury all present — |
| " | Joseph Hildenbrand — sworn as a witness on the Stand — The People offer in evidence a Certificate of Homestead — of the S.½ of Sec 8 — T 15 — R 22 East: Defendants objects — objection overruled. Defendants Except — |
| | Joseph Hildenbrand — sworn and examined as a witness for the People — Prosecution Closed — |
| " | J. N. Fanning sworn and examined — Writ of Ejectment read in evidence — after various rulings and exceptions on the part of the defense as to the remaining evidence — The defendants W. M. Coolidge & J. M. Ferguson each sworn and examined on their own behalf — Defense Closed — after argument of Counsel for and Con — The Cause was given the Jury for their deliberation and verdict — after a short retirement the Jury was returned in to Court — by the proper officer of this Court — with the following verdict — We the Jury find the defendants Wm M. Coolidge and Ferguson guilty as Charged — J. N. Prescott — foreman — |
| " | The Court ordered that the defendants appear for Sentence at 11 o.c.A.M. Feb. 17th 1885 — |
| 1885. Feb " | 17. Sentence Continued by request of Defendants Counsel until 2 o.c.P.M. this day Feb. 17th 1885 — |
| " | 2 o.c.P.M. Defendants in Court — Defendants filed their motion asking a new trial — Motion argued and submitted — The Court overruled the Motion — or refused to grant a new trial — |

Sec. Page 329 —

No. 1143.

# In the Justice's Court of Eliud Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

A. H. Gin

Defendant

Action Breaking Opium

Demand $

E. D. Edwards, Attorney for Plaintiff

Dayton & Harris, Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Dec. | 31st | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & in Dept Court Inyo Co. Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant pleads not guilty as Charged Cause set down for trial at 2 O.C. P.M. Jany 2d 1885 Defendant held for his appearance in abond of $[illegible] |
| paid | " | 2 O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court Defendant moved the Court to grant a new trial on the following grounds 1st That there has been error in the decisions of the Court upon questions of Law arising during the Course of trial 2d The verdict is contrary to Law 3d Not sustained by the evidence, contrary to the evidence, Motion overruled Defendant Excepts |
| | | This being the time fixed for Sentence Whereupon by reason Sec Page |
| 1885. Jan | 2d | 2. O.C. P.M. Defendant in Court, Parties ready for trial C. M. Ingram and Matt Stuart Sworn and examined as witness by the Prosecution Close By the Prosecution Defendant offered no evidence Whereupon Cause Submitted to the Court The Court having fully Considered the Same finds the Defendant guilty as Charged Defendant waived the Legal time for Sentence and asked to be Sentenced now Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for Said offence that you the Said Gin be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $32.00 and in default of the payment of Said fine, that you be and are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Inyo County and by Said Sheriff im-prisoned in the County Jail of Said County for a period of not Exceeding 32 days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of Said fine |
| | | S. H. Hill J.P. |
| " | 3d | Defendant files his Notice Undertaking and Statement on appeal to the Supreme Court |
| | 15th | Statement settled and approved and handed to Clerk |

No. 1044.

# In the Justice's Court of Knight Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Louis Einstein & Co — Plaintiffs

vs.

J. Bancroft — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $152.51/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1884 — PROCEEDINGS.

Dec. 31st Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Meade

" affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment

1885 approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Meade

Jan. 5th Summons returned Showing personal Service on the

Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint filed

herein on the 31st day of Dec 1884 and filed this day

" Writ of attachment Executed returned and filed

" 6 In this action the Defendant having been regularly served

with process and having failed to appear and answer

the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed

by law for answering having expired the default

of said Defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered

according to law —

" In this action the Defendant having been regularly served

with process and having failed to appear and answer

the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for an-

swering having expired and the default of the said Defendant

in the premises having been duly entered according to law,

Now at this day on application of the Plaintiffs it is

ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said

Defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs

Complaint herein

" Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid

it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiffs do have and

recover of and from the said defendant the sum of One hundred

and fifty two and 51/100 Dollars the Principal Sum sued for and the

Sum of Twenty four and 50/100 Dollars Costs necessarily incur-

red in the prosecution of this action in Lawful money

of the United States —

" Judgment for the Principal Sum sued for — 152.51.

" " " Justices fees — 8.50

" " " Constable — " 16.00

" Total amount of Judgment — $177.01

S. A. Hill J. P.

See Page 325 —

No. 1045

# In the Justice's Court of Irwin Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action On Account

Donahoe Beesley & Co — Plaintiffs

vs.

Joseph D Spinney — Defendant

Demand $118.17/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Jan. | 2. | Complaint filed Summons issued & given to Const. Winchell |
| " | | Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given to Const. Winchell. |
| " | 3. | Summons returned & sworn Journal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 2. day of Jan. 1885. and filed this day. |
| " | | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed |
| " | 7th | Cause settled in full. Principal & Costs. J.P. fees. |
| | | D. A. Hill J.P. |
| Jan. Pa 324 | | |
| 1885. Jan | 16. | Issued Execution returnable within Sixty days and given Const. Winchell. |
| " | | Issued order of examination of J. D Hanson. |
| | | " " " " " E. J. Gartland " |
| | 20 | Order of Examination returned and filed. E. J. Gartland in Court and sworn to answer questions as to his indebtedness to J. Bancroft. and admits that he was owes said J. Bancroft the sum of $30 00/100 E. D. Anderson in Court and sworn and examined as to his indebtedness to J Bancroft. and admits that he was owes said J Bancroft the sum of $14 40/100. The Court has ordered that the said E. J. Gartland and the said E. D. Anderson pay the same in to Court in favor of said Plaintiff Louis Einstein & Co. |
| Feb. | 7. | E. D. Anderson this day paid into Court the Sum of $14 10/100 |
| " | 7. | E. J. Gartland this day paid into Court the Sum of $26 00/100 |
| " | " | Record on the above judgment the Sum of $42 10/100 |

No. 1046

# In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Robert Crawford — Plaintiff

vs.

Enoch Asphenson and John Asphenson — Defendants

Action account

Demand $170.44/100

Dwight D Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Jan | 3. | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Court Marsh affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Court Marsh. |
| " | " | Summons returned showing Personal Service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein dated 3. day of January 1885 — and filed — |
| | | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed — |
| March | 2. | Cost paid by Plaintiff — |
| " | " | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said Defendants in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law. |
| " | | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint herein — and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendants in the premises having been duly entered according to law. |
| " | | Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said Defendants in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff Complaint on file herein — |
| " | | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendants the sum of $13.60/100 — Cost necessarily in and in the prosecution of this action — in Gold Coin of the United States. Defendant having settled the principal — |
| " | | Judgment for the Justices fee — 9.00 |
| | | " " Constable " 4.60 |
| " | | Total amount of Judgment — $13.60 |
| | | D. H. Hale J.P. |
| " | 4. | Execution issued & given Court Marsh — |

No. 1147.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action account

Harry Ankel. Plaintiff

vs.

Lulu Cole Defendant

Demand $95.00

Doyle & Harris Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Jan | 3d | Complaint filed, Summons issued & in Court. Mesdames affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & in Court. Mesdames |
| " | 5th | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 3d day of Jan " 1885. and filed this day. |
| " | | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed. |
| " | | Now comes the Defendant in proper person and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiffs Complaint contained. |
| " | 26th | Cause set down for trial at 10. o'c. A.M. Feb 2d 1885. |
| February | 2d | 10. o'c. A.M. Plaintiff by his counsel Doyle & Harris in Court and after waiting more than one full hour for the appearance of the Defendant and the Defendant having failed to put in an appearance in obedience to an order made on the 26th day of January 1884. |
| " | | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant a judgment for the sum of $95.00 " the Principal Sued for and the sum of $13.50 " Costs necessarily incurred in this action. in lawful money of the United States. |
| " | | Judgment for the Principal Sued on — 95.00 |
| | | " " " Justice fee — 8.50 |
| | | " " " Constables " — 5.00 |
| " | | Total amount of Judgment — $108.50 |
| | | S. A. Hill J.P. |
| 13th | | This cause paid in full and satisfied. |
| | | S. A. Hill J.P. |

328

No. 1048.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Higgens, Defendant

Action Battery

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan 3rd | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & in Court. Mack Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant pleads not guilty as charged. Cause set down for trial at 10 o'c A.M. January 7th 1885. Defendant bailed to appear for trial in the Sum of $50.00 |
| " 8th | Now Comes the party injured before the Court before the trial of this cause and acknowledges that Defendant has made satisfaction for the injury and on payment of the Costs incurred. Ordered all proceedings to be stayed upon the prosecution and the Defendant to be discharged therefrom. |

S. A. Hill J.P.

No. 1149.

# In the Justice's Court of Bruce Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe, alias Jim. Chum, Defendant

Action Search Warrant

Demand $12.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1886 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| June 3rd | Filed Complaint and affidavit for Search Warrant and issued Warrant of arrest and gave to Constable Meade — |
| " | Warrant returned and duly brought into Court Complaint filed Charging the Defendant. |
| June Page 322 | This being the time fixed for Sentence Whereupon by reason of the law and all of the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you W. M. Coolidge and J. M. Ferguson be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $50.00 each aggregating the sum of $100.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you and each of you be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Fresno County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of Ten days each aggregating 100 days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine — |
| | S. H. Hall J.P. |
| " | Defendant filed their Notice and undertaking on appeal to the Superior Court — |
| April 27 | Statement on appeal to the Superior Court filed — Papers and Transcript handed the Clerk — |
| | S. H. Hall J.P. |

No. 1

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Jim Chinn, Defendant

Action Petit Larceny.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 5th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & Jim Sheriff Donahoe Defendant in Court, and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law. Defendant pleads not guilty. Parties ready for trial. |
| " | Geary Wade Duncan and examined as a witness on the part of the People. Prosecution closed. Defendant made a Statement. The Court having fully considered this Matter finds that the Defendant is guilty as Charged and orders that the Defendant appear for Sentence at 9 O.C.A.M. Jan 6th 1885. |
| " 6. | 9 O.C.A.M. Defendant in Court. This being the time fixed for Sentence Whereupon by reason of the Case and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Jim Chinn be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $30.00 and in default of the payment of said fine that you be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Inyo and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of not exceeding thirty days in the proportion of one day imprisonment for every dollar of said fine. S. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 2.

# In the Justice's Court of Linda Township,

## of the State of California, County of Yuba

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Jesse Warren — Defendant

Action Embezzlement

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| 1885 June 5th | Complaint filed Warrant issued & Constable Winchell |

No. 3.

# In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

## of the State of California, County of Mendocino

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Eugene Holley, Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 6th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued and Sheriff Meade |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against |
| 8th | him and of his rights. Upon the case Defendant pleades |
| | not guilty as charged and waives the legal time |
| | for Sentence — |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the case and the premises |
| | aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said |
| | offence that you Eugene Holley be and you are |
| | hereby fined in the Sum of $5.50 — and in default |
| | of the payment of said fine — that you be and you are |
| | hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the |
| | County of Mendocino and by said Sheriff imprisoned |
| | in the County Jail of said County for a period not |
| | exceeding five and one half days in the proportion of |
| | One days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine — |

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 4.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

E. L. McCapes Plaintiff

vs.

C. R. Hilgroe

Defendant

Action account

Demand $69.85/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan 6" | Complaint filed, Summons issued & given Const. Winchell |
| " 30" | Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint filed herein on this day and filed — |
| Feb. 6" | Now comes the Defendant in proper person and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiffs Complaint Contained — |
| March 6" | Parties in Court — and Defendant admits his indebtedness to Plaintiff and agrees that Judgment be entered against him for the amount Claimed by Plaintiff and for the Court necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action — |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendant a judgment for the Sum of Sixty Nine and 85/100 Dollars the amount sued for and the Sum of Ten and 80/100 Dollars Cost necessarily incurred in this action in Compliance with the Statute — |
| " | Judgment for the Principal — 69.85 |
| | " " Justices Fee — 4.00 |
| | " " Constable " 1.80 |
| " | Total amount of Judgment — $ 75.65 |
| | D. H. Hill J.P. |
| 9. | Now Comes the Plaintiff in proper person and for value assigns all of his right and interest in the forgoing Judgment to M. J. Donahue — |
| | E. L. McCapes |
| " | Sworn to in the presence of D. H. Hill and acknowledged that he executed the same — |
| | D. H. Hill. Jus |

No. 5.

# In the Justice's Court of Ward Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action account

Gee Bunhard — Plaintiff

vs.

Adolph Vegara — Defendant

Demand $20.40/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Jan. | 7. | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable Finchell |
| " | 8. | Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 8th day of January 1885; and filed — |
| " | 14. | In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein, and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law — |
| " | | In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law, Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff, It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — |
| " | | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Twenty and 40/100 Dollars and the sum of Five and 80/100 dollars Costs necessarily incurred in said action in lawful money of the United States — |
| " | | Judgment for the Principal 20.40 |
| | | " " Justices fees — 4.00 |
| | | " " Constable " 1.80 |
| " | | Total amount of Judgment $26.20 |

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 6.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Ed. Glenn, Wm. Hawn & Jas. Field Defendants

Action to Enforce the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Jan. | 7th | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const. Windrell |
| " | 8th | Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court. Defendants plead guilty as Charged in the Complaint. Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 O.C.A.M. Jan 9th 1885 |
| " | 9th | 9 O.C.A.M. Defendants in Court. This being the time fixed for Sentence Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Edward Glenn, William Hawn & James Field be and you are each of you fined in the Sum of $50.00 and indefault of the payment of said fine that you and each of you be and you are hereby Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Tuolumne and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period not exceeding fifty days each in the proportion of one days imprisonment for every dollar of said fine. |

S. H. Hill Jr. P.

No. 7.

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Account.

Geo. W. Butler — Plaintiff

vs.

B. F. Adler — Defendant

Demand $17.50/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Jan. | 7. | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const Winchell |
| " | 8" | affidavit fis attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Winchell |
| " | 9" | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint herein on the 8th day January 1885. and filed Jan 9th 1885 |
| " | | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed |
| " | 14" | In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process, and having to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law. |
| " | | In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff. It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — |
| " | | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of Seventeen and 50/100 Dollars the Principal sued for and the Sum of Seven Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in this action in lawful money of the United States — |
| " | | Judgment for the Principal 17.50 |
| | | " " " Justices fees — 8.50 |
| | | " " " Constable " 4.10 |
| " | | Total amount of Judgment $30.10 |
| | | D. W. Hill J.P. |
| " | | Issued Execution and given Const Winchell — |

No. 8.

# In the Justice's Court of Blind Township,

## of the State of California, County of Butte

William Rousseau Plaintiff

vs.

J. N. Musick & S. W. Simpson Defendants

Action Note & Account

Demand $ 50 cts

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Jan. | 6th | Complaint filed. Summons issued & in Court-Time allowed- |
| " | 15 | Parties stipulated to wait-until Feb. 1st 1885- for payment- |
| Feb. | 7 | The Parties again Stipulate until Mch. 15th 1885- and in the event- the action is still unsettled at- Date 15th day of Mch 1885- the Defendant- J. N. Musick- Consents and agrees that the Plaintiff may have and take judgment- against- him for the amount- of the Note sued on in this action together with the interest thereon- to be made apart- and part of Said Judgment- |
| May | 19th | Paid on this action the Sum of $50 cts by J. N. Musick |
| | 21 | In this action the Defendant- J. N. Musick having been regularly Served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein, and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default- of Said defendant- is hereby duly entered according to law- |
| " | | In this action the Defendant- J. N. Musick having been regularly Served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint- herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default- of Said defendant- in the premises having been duly entered according to law- Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff It- is ordered that judgment- be entered herein against the Said defendant- in accordance with the prayer of Said Plaintiff Complaint- on file herein, |
| " | | Whereon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it- is Ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendant J. N. Musick the Sum of $39.25 cts a balance due on a Certain promissory Note dated Biggs Cal. Jan. 6th 1881- and for the further Sum of $4 cts the Cost necessary expended in the prosecution of Said action- in lawful money of the United States- |
| " | | Judgment- for the principal- 39.25 |
| " | | " " " costs &c- 4.00 |
| " | | Total amount of judgment- $ 43.25 |

S. H. Hill. J. P.-

No. 9.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

John Mahoney, John Day, Wm Moore
Wm Holland & Frank Johnson
Defendants

Action Vagrancy.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 9th | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Sheriff Meade- |
| " " | I. O. C. F. M. Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Case, Defendants plead guilty as Charged - in the Complaint- |
| " " | Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 2. O'C. P. M- Jan. 10th 1885- on their own Recognizance- |

No. 111.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

C. F. McCaps — Plaintiff

vs.

H. S. Vinson & E. M. Vinson his wife and R. L. Vinson — Defendant

Action Account for labor performed

Demand $150 57/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Jan | 12. | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Winchell |
| | 15. | Stipulation between parties herein filed — |
| March | 6. | By order of Plaintiff this cause is dismissed |
| | | D. H. Hall J.P. |

No. 11.

# In the Justice's Court of Shiel Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

Rami Poez — Plaintiff

vs.

James Craigshead

Defendant

Action account-

Demand $50.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 12. | Complaint-filed Summons issued & given Const- Winchell |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment |
| | approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const- Winchell |
| " | This cause settled between parties out of Court. |
| | S. H. Hike J. P. |

No. 12

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

J. A. Blasingame — Plaintiff

vs.

George Howard — Defendant

Action Note & Account

Demand $50 00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1884. | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Dec | 15 | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given to Const. Mitchell December the 15th 1884— |
| 1885. Feb | 24. | Summons returned Showing Personal Service on the 23d day of Feb. 1885. Together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein and filed— |
| " | 25. | There is now due Plaintiff in this action the sum of $61.03. |
| " | 26 | Defendant paid on said action the sum of $20 00— |
| " | | By Consent of Parties the Plaintiff agrees to wait on Deft. until May 26th 1885. for the balance due — and at the end of said agreement May 26th 1885. Defendant agrees that Plaintiff shall take Judgment for the amount then due and unpaid— |
| April | 29 | This Cause Settled in full — by Defendant. D. H. Hill |

No. 13

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Butte

Action Suit & account

Donahue Beesley & Co Plaintiffs

vs.

Owen Brown & A Cadwell Defendants

Demand $146.99/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 13 | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Windrell affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of Attachment issued & given Const. Windrell. |
| 15 | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendants together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein. on the 14th day of January 1885 and filed herein. |
| " | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed. |

No. 14th

# In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

## of the State of California, County of Mendo

Action Malicious Injury

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

W. G. Butler, W. McGinnis, Albert Elder, John Doty, W. J. Berry & W. S. Headrick — Defendants

Demand $

S. P. Hinds — Attorney for Plaintiff

Doyle & Hinds — Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 14. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given officer H. R. Bozeman |
| " 16 | Defendants in Court except W. McGinnis and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants each for himself plead not guilty as charged. Defendants held for their appearance for trial in the sum of $250 each aggregating the sum of $2250 — The case of G. W. Butler, W. McGinnis & A. Elder set down for trial at 10 o'c A. M. Jan. 23d 1885. The case of J. W. Berry, John Doty & W. S. Headrick set down to be taken up immediately on the close of the former case. |
| " 21. | Defendant W. McGinnis in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the law. Defendant held for trial on the 23d day of Jan. 1885 at 10 o'c A. M. in the sum of $250. |
| 22. | Bonds issued in the case of J. W. Berry, John Doty & W. S. Headrick |
| 23. | By consent of Parties is continued until January 28th 1885 at 10 o'c A. M. The trials to take the same formerly indicated |
| 28th | 10 o'c A. M. Defendants W. McGinnis, A. Elder in Court. G. W. Butler absent. Case continued until 1 o'c P. M. |
| " | 1 o'c P. M. Defendants W. McGinnis & Elder in Court. G. W. Butler still absent. G. W. Butler's bond for his appearance ordered forfeited. The Court now orders that the cases of J. W. Berry, John Doty & W. S. Headrick be now taken up as the cases having been set for the same hour. Defendants objection overruled. Defendants except. J. W. Berry & W. S. Headrick in Court. John Doty absent. His Bond ordered forfeited. The Court ordered that the case of J. W. Berry, John Doty & W. S. Headrick be continued until |
| " | 10 o'c A. M. January 29th 1885. Jurors duly for the People. |
| 29th | 10 o'c A. M. Defendants W. J. Berry, John Doty & W. S. Headrick in Court and ready for trial. Jurors drawn & answering questions as to qualification after which the following jurors were drawn & by the Court. Viz. |

See Page 344

No. 15

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Herman Reendel

Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace or rather Battery—

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 14 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given into Deft. Le Blanc |
| " 15 | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court, Defendant pleades guilty as charged and waives the legal time for sentence and asks to be sentenced now. Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Herman Reendel be and you are hereby fined in the sum of $20.00 Defendant at once pays fine and is discharged— |
| | D. W. Hill J.P. |
| June | |
| Page 343. | J. A. Clark, Geo. Rupert, S. B. Sharp, H. J. Haber, G. W. Kline, D. R. Brown H. C. Hamm, W. H. Sutton—Lee Austin—Danl. Austin Geo. Ralph & B. W. Boyle— |
| " | C. H. Hollis—P. L. Bozeman—R. H. Bozeman—Jas. Barnes, R. D. Shirley E. M. Morgan—Albert Elder—Chas. Higgins—W. H. Cedrick. Geo. Childers—sworn and examined as witnesses for the Prosecution—People Closed— |
| " | Hollis—McGinn Jas. McGinn—S. A. Kline—Wm. Lambert. E. L. McCray C. B. Griffith—Edward Beuls—P. M. Darby—W. L. Hardwick—W. J. Berry & Martin Elder—sworn and examined as witnesses for the defense—Defense Rests—Cause Closed— |
| " | after argument of Counsel for the respective parties—the Cause was given the Jury for their deliberation and verdict after a short retirement the Jury were returned into Court with the following—verdict—We the Jury find the Defendant—H. J. Berg—John Daly & S. W. Hardwick Not guilty— H. C. Hamm—Herman—Defendant—als charged— |
| | D. W. Hill J.P. |

No. 16.

# In the Justice's Court of Eureka Township,

## of the State of California, County of Humboldt

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Burns, Defendant

Action Disturbing the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan 14 | Complaint filed & issue Sheriff Arrest. |
| " 15 | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court Defendant pleads not guilty as charged - Cause set down for trial at 1 O.C. P.M. Jan 16th 1885 - Defendant held for his appearance in sum of $500 - Issued Subpoena for the People |
| paid 16 | Now Comes the party injured before the trial of this action and acknowledges that she has received satisfaction for the injury done, and on payment of the cost incurred in this action Ordered that all the proceedings be stayed on the part of the State in this - and that the defendant be discharged Thereform — |

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 17

# In the Justice's Court of Shirel Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The Fresno Lumber Co — Plaintiff

vs.

R. A. Adler

Defendant

Action Account

Demand $64.14/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 15. | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Fanning. |
| " | Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Fanning. |
| 21st. | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 17th day of January and filed — |
| | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed — |
| " 27. | On this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said Defendant in the premises is duly duly entered according to law — |
| " | On this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff Complaint on file herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said Defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law. Now at this day on application of the Plaintiff It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiff Complaint on file herein — |
| | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the said Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the the said Defendant the sum of $64.14/100 the Principal sued for — and the sum of $14 34/100 Costs necessarily incurred in this action — in compliance with the N. R. D — |
| " | Judgment for the Principal sued on — $64.14 |
| | " " Justices Fees — 8.50 |
| | " " Constable " 5.80 |
| " | Total amount of Judgment — $ 74.44 |
| | S. A. Hill J.P. |
| " | Issued Execution and given Const. Fanning — |

1885 Jany 31st Exd. for mile by Plff

Mile 1st Execution returned & filed. See Execution Docket

No. 14.

# In the Justice's Court of Sheridan Township,

## of the State of California, County of Placer

Action Writ of Hand

Donahue Beesley & Co Plaintiffs

vs.

C. C. Harris

Demand $60.46/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Jan- | 15. | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const- Deming |
| " | | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const- Deming -- |
| " | 23. | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant- Together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 22nd day of January 1885. and filed this day- |
| | | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed- |
| " | 25. | Plaintiffs paid on this action the sum of $60.46- |
| Feb. | 12. | This Cause being been paid in full is hereby dismissed |
| | | S. H. Hall J.P. |

No. 19.

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Sam Lee

Defendant

Action Selling or furnishing Whisky to Indians

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 16. | Complaint filed, Warrant issued & given Sheriff. Made- |
| 17. | fendant in Court and informed of the Charge against |
| Pleads guilty | them and of his rights before the Court. Defendant |
| | pleaded guilty as Charged - and waived the Legal |
| | time for Sentence and asked to be sentenced Now |
| | Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises |
| | aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said |
| | offence that you Sam Lee be and you are hereby |
| | fined in the Sum of $250 and in default of the |
| | payment of said fine that you be and you are |
| | hereby committed to the Sheriff of Fresno County |
| | and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County |
| | Jail of said County for a period of not exceeding |
| | twenty five days in the proportion of one day imprison- |
| | ment for every dollar of said fine. |

E. H. Hill J.P.

No. 20-

# In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

## of the State of California, County of Mendo

Action Appeal-

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Chs Brinson & Ge. Hall- — Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885- | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 16. | Complaint-filed. Warrant-issued & given to Off: Shuff to Bl[illegible] |
| 17. | Defendants- in Court- and informed of the Charge against him- and of their rights- by law. Defendants plead guilty- as charged- and waive the legal time for Sentence and ask to be Sentenced now Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it- is ordered and adjudged for their offence that you Chs. Brinson be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $10.00- and that you Ge. Hall be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $5.50 each defendants at once paid said fines and thereupon discharged— Fees Collect $9.00 |
| Paid | |

S. H. Hill. J.P.

No. 21

# In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe—alias G. M. Wohlfkian, Defendant

Action Selling spirituous liquors without License—

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| June. 16th | Complaint filed Warrant issued & sent Sheriff Murdock |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Law, Defendant pleads guilty as charged in the Complaint— Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 O.C. P.M. June 17th 1885— |
| 17th | Defendant now waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be sentenced now— |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you G. M. Wohlfkian be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $65.00— Defendant at once paid said fine into Court & discharged— |
| | Paid Sheriff $22.50. |
| | J. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 22

# In the Justice's Court of Ithica Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

Dan Mahony — Defendant

Action Selling whisky without license

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| June 16 | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Sheriff Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights upon the Case. Defendant called for his appearance in the Sum of $80.00 |
| " | |

No. 23.

# In the Justice's Court of Ilium Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

J. J. Cole — Defendant

Action Selling Liquor without License

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 16 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Sheriff. Mandate |
| 17. | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his right to open the Case. Defendant held for his appearance for trial in sum of $500 — |

No. 24.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

E. Bannister &c. — Plaintiffs

vs.

C. C. Harris — Defendant

Action Assault-

Demand $61.37/100 & $5.22/100

Windhell & Daly — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 16. | Complaint filed Summons issued & in Court Windhell. Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein — on the 16th day of Jan — 1885 — and filed — |
| " 23. | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law — |
| " | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this day on application of Windhell & Daly attorneys for said Plaintiff. It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of $14 50/100 Dollars Principal and interest of said Note sued on — and the sum of Five and 22/100 Dollars on account sued on being the several Causes of action alleged in the Complaint on file herein — and the further sum of Twenty Dollars attorneys fees — and Seven and 80/100 Cost of suit — reasonably incurred in said action — |
| " | Judgment for the Principal and interest on the Note sued on — $62-15- |
| | " " " " " " " " " Acct. " " 5.22 |
| | " " " " " " " " " Attorneys fees — 20.00 |
| | " " " " " " " " " Justices " 5.00 |
| | " " " " " " " " " Constable " 2.80 |
| " | Total amount of Judgment — $95.17 |
| | D. H. Hill. |
| " | Abstract to Plaintiff — |

No. 25

# In the Justice's Court of Ithiel Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

George Schaffer

Defendant

Action Sci Tuolumne the Peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| June 17. | Complaint filed Warrant issued & Geo Carr Winchell Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads guilty as charged. and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be sentenced now Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid. it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you said defendant be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Tuolumne County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period not exceeding five days. |

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 26.

# In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

## of the State of California, County of Mendo

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Morgan Buchanan, John Simpson and Harry Piper
Defendant

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 17. 1885 | Complaint filed Warrant issued & in Court Winchell Defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead guilty as Charged and waived the legal time for Sentence and asked to be sentenced now. Wherefor by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you the said defendant be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of Mendo County and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period not exceeding five days each. |
| Paid | E. H. Hill. J.P. |

No. 27.

# In the Justice's Court of Ithica Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Barney M. Hay

Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 17. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & put in Dept. Sheriff's hands. |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant committed to the custody of the Sheriff of Tuolumne County to await his examination |
| " 21st | Defendant in Court. Cause dismissed upon the ground that the prosecution fails to make out a cause. |

D. H. Hill J.P.

No. 28.

# In the Justice's Court of Jackson Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of Calipa — Plaintiff

vs.

Ah Toi & Ah Dun — Defendants

Action Petit Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan 19. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given to Dept. Sheriff De Blanc — |
| " | Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights by the Court. Defendants held for trial in a Bond of $250 each — |
| " 21st | Defendants in Court — and say they are ready for trial — |
| " | C. W. Jay and John McGinn sworn and examined as witness for the People — People rest — |
| | Ah Dun Ah Toi & Ah Dun — Sworn and examined as witness for the defense — Defense closed — |
| | Cause Submitted — The Court orders that the defendants be discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict — |
| | J. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 29.

# In the Justice's Court of Ward Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

H. Dreyfuss & Co — Plaintiffs

vs.

R. C. Ardis — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $61.50

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885 PROCEEDINGS.

Jan. 19. Complaint filed. Summons issued and given Court Deputy.
" Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Court Deputy.
" 23 Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 20th day of January 1885. and filed this day.
Writ of attachment executed returned and filed.
" 27. In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of the said defendant in the premises is duly entered according to law.
" In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law. Now at this day on application of the Plaintiffs. It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein.
" Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiffs do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of $61.50 the Principal Debt and the sum of $19.85/100 Costs necessarily incurred in said action in Lawful Money of the United States —

| | |
|---|---|
| " Judgment for the Principal — | 61.50 |
| " " " Justices fee — | 8.50 |
| " " " Constables " | 11.35 |
| Total amount of Judgment | $81.35 |

S. H. Hill J.P.

" Issued Execution and gave Court Deputy —

April 1st 1885, Execution returned Satisfied. See Execution Docket

No. 34

# In the Justice's Court of Wine Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Arnold Block & Co — Plaintiff

vs.

Bond & Cabrinis — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $274.75/100

Grady & Merriam
Attorney for Plaintiff

E. S. Edmands
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan- 19th | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Court- Summons- |
| " | Affidavit for attachment made & filed- Undertaking on attachment |
| | approved & filed- Writ of attachment issued & given Court- Summons- |
| " 23d | Summons returned showing personal service on the defendants |
| | together with a copy of the Complaint- on file herein on the |
| | 19th day of January 1885, and filed this day- |
| | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed- |
| 24th | Now come the defendants- by their attorney E. S. Edmands |
| | and file their demurrer to the Plaintiffs complaint- on file herein- |
| Jan 8th | Justices fees Paid — Cause dismissed |

D. H. Hill-

No. 31.

# In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

## of the State of California, County of Mendocino

Action Account

C. S. Marshall — Plaintiff

vs.

W. S. Grady — Defendant

Demand $46.85/100

R. B. Berry — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 19. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Fanning — |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed — Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Fanning — |
| " 21 | Now comes the Defendant in proper person and files his answer to the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — |
| " 22 | Summons returned & Fanning personal Service of the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint — on the 21st day of Jany 1885 and filed Writ of attachment returned without Service — & filed |
| " 24 | Cause set down for trial at 10 O.C.A.M. Jan — 27th 1885 |
| " " | Issued subpoena for Plaintiff & gave Const. |
| " 27 | Defendant files his offer to allow judgment — |
| " 28 | 10. O.C.A.M. Parties in Court — and ready for trial Trial by Jury — C.S. Marshall, W.H. Davidson and Am Happ Sworn and examined as witnesses for the Plaintiff. Prosecution Closed — |
| " | W. S. Grady, J. M. Bivens and Rufus Hyde filed his undertaking & Sworn and examined as witnesses by the Defendant. Defence Closed — Cause Submitted to the Court for its deliberation and verdict — |
| | The Court took the matter under advisement — |
| Feb. " 3 | The Court having fully considered this matter — |
| | Whereupon by reason of the Law the evidence and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant — the sum of Four and 95/100 Dollars as part of the Principal Sued for, and the sum of $ Cents mesne costs incurred in the prosecution of said action in favor of the United States — |
| " | Judgment for the sum of 4.95 |
| | " " " Justices fee — 9.25 |
| | " " " Constable fee — 80 |
| " | Total amount of judgment $15.00 |
| | S. H. Hill J.P. |
| " | Defendant allowed a stay of proceeding for ten days — |

No. 32

# In the Justice's Court of Shasta Township,

## of the State of California, County of Shasta

Action Vagrancy

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Jack Smith & Ja. Ryan
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| June. 19 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Kinkaid |
| " | Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the law. Defendants plead guilty as charged in the Complaint. — Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 9. O.C.P.M. June 24th 1885 on their own recognizance. |
| paid | |

No. 33.

# In the Justice's Court of Vinid Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

J. V. Lagan — Plaintiff

vs.

W. D. Grady — Defendant

Action Writ and account

Demand $220.00

Bush & Harris — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 19. | Complaint filed Summons issued & this Court. Vinehill — |
| " 20. | Summons returned showing personal Service on the Defendant Together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 20th day of January 1885. and filed — |
| " | Affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of Attachment issued & this Court Vinehill — |
| " 27. | On this action the Defendant having been regularly Served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiff's Complaint filed herein, and the time allowed by Law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to Law — |
| " 31. | This Cause settled in full & dismissed |

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 34.

# In the Justice's Court of Eliud Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

A L Gun — Plaintiff

vs.

Ben Cephus — Defendant

Action account-

Demand $45.00 a/c

Doyle & Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Martin & Shaw
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885- | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 21. | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiffs attorneys- |
| " | Summons returned showing personal service in this Township on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint- Summons on the 21st day of Jan- 1885; and filed- |
| " 30 | Defendant files his answer & Complaint & Plaintiff's demurrer |
| Feb. 2. | This cause set down for trial at 11 O.C. A.M. Feb 11th 1885- |
| " 11. | 11. O.C. A.M- Parties in court- Plaintiff comes the defendant in open court & offers to allow judgment to be taken against- for the sum of Twenty-five dollars and for cost of Suit- Plaintiff accepts Defendants offer- Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the Defendant- a judgment- for the sum of Twenty-five dollars as admitted and the Sum of Five Dollars as reasonably incurred in and on this action in favor of the United States- |
| " | Judgment- for the amount admitted- 25.00 |
| " | " " " Justices fee- 4.00 |
| " | " " " Constables " 5.00 |
| " | Total amount of Judgment- $34.00 |
| | S. H. Hill J.P. |
| " | Defendant allowed 4 days of proceedings for five days |
| " 21st | Paid in full and dismissed- |
| | S. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 35.

# In the Justice's Court of Knight Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

A. H. Gass, Plaintiff

vs.

Eph. Moore, Defendant

Action account

Demand $71.75/100

Dayle & Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Wharton & Shaw
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan 21. | Complaint filed Summons issued & given in Plaintiff's Attorneys |
| " 24. | Summons returned showing personal Service in the 5th Township on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein - on the 21st day of Jan - 1885 and filed - |
| " | Now comes the Defendant by his attorneys Wharton & Shaw and files his answer and offers to allow Judgment to be taken against him - |
| Feb " 2. | This cause set down for trial at 11. o.c. A.M. Feb 10. " 1885 - |
| " 10. | 11. o.c. A.M. Parties in court - Now comes the defendant in open court and offers to allow Judgment to be taken against him for the Sum $67.75/100 and for Cost of Suit - Plaintiff accepts Defendants offer - |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the defendant the Sum of $67.75/100 - the amount admitted by the defendant - and the Sum of $6.50/100 - Costs necessarily incurred in this action in Consideration of the United States |
| " | Judgment for amount admitted — $7.75 - |
| | " " Justices fee — 4.00 |
| | " " Constables " 2.90 |
| " | Total amount of Judgment — $15-8.65 - |
| | D. H. Hill J.P. |
| " | Defendant allowed a Stay of proceeding for Ten days - |
| " 21 | Paid in full and discharged - |
| | D. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 36.

# In the Justice's Court of Ukiah Township,

## of the State of California, County of Mendo

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Williams Abbot & Frank Dennis Defendants

Action Malicious Mischief

Demand $

J. H. Healy Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 20. | Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Const. Nicholls |
| " | Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights Case set down for trial at 9 o.c. A.M. Jan 22. 1885 |
| 22. | 9 o.c. A.M. Defendants in Court. Issued Subpoena for the People and gave Const. Nicholls |
| " | Defendants ready for trial. Sworn Williams and Dennis Wardell. Drum and Hammil as witnesses for the People. People Rest. |
| " | Wm Abbot and Frank Dennis. Sworn in their own defense Cause Closed and Submitted to the Court. |
| " | The Court after fully considering the matter orders that Frank Dennis be discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict, and further orders that the action vs. Abbot be continued for the present by Consent of Defendant. |

No. 37

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Writ of Hand.

Smaher Bros & Co Plaintiffs

vs.

William J. Cox Defendant

Demand $160.00/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 21 | Complaint filed. Summons issued & in Court. Running affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & in Court. Running |
| " 27 | Summons returned & running personally on the Defendant & together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein in the 4th Township this day and filed. Writ of attachment Execution returned and filed |
| Feb " 5 | Settled in full J. P. fees - paid. D. H. Hill J.P. |

No. 38.

# In the Justice's Court of Thirl Township,

## of the State of California, County of Butte

D. J. Sullehan — Plaintiff

vs.

S. C. Anderson — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $ 76 00/100

R. B. Bens — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 21 | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const: Banning |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const: Banning |
| 22 | Summons returned & Sheriff personal Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 21st day of January 1885 and filed — |
| | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed — |
| 26 | This cause is paid in full — |

D. B. Hill J. P.

No. 39.

# In the Justice's Court of Eureka Township,

## of the State of California, County of Humboldt

Action Account

Donahue Beesley & Co Plaintiffs

vs.

Ray White

Defendant

Demand $ 55.37/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 22 | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Winchell. |
| " | Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Winchell. |
| " 23 | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant this day and filed together with a copy of the Complaint. Writ of attachment & undertaking returned and filed. |
| 26. | By consent of Plaintiff this cause is continued indefinitely |

No. 40.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Grand Larceny

The People of California
E. A. L.
vs
L. Livingston

Plaintiff

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| June 22. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. Winchell |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant Committed to the Custody of the Sheriff to await his examination in default of Bond fixed at $500.00 |

No. 41

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Peter Fisher and Ed. Nelson

Defendants

Action Vagrancy

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 22 | Complaint filed Warrant issued and given to Const. Smart- |
| " " | Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against- them and of their rights before the Court, Defendants plead guilty as Charged in the Complaint on file herein- |
| " | Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 9. O.C. A. M- January 23. 1885. on their own Recognizance |

No. 42.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Vagrancy

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

J W Caldwell & Milne Vanzen
Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 22. | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Dept Const Demarki. |
| " | Defendants in Court and informed of the Charge against them and of their rights before the Court Defendants plead guilty as Charged in the Complaint filed Defendant ordered to appear for Sentence at 9. O.C. A.M. January 23d 1885, on their own recognizance — |

No. 43.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

George Hall — Defendant

Action Insanity

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 23 1885 | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given. The affidavit filed of Frank Daly alleging the insanity of the defendant & the fact a warrant is issued returnable before Hon. J. B. Campbell Judge of the Superior Court. J. H. Hill |

No. 44.

# In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

R. B. Berry — Plaintiff

vs.

John Nolan & Silas Ruth — Defendant s

Action account

Demand $ 55.00 Ten

E. E. Calhoun — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1865 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 23 | Complaint filed Summons issued and Issued Plff's attorney |
| " 31. | Now comes the Defendant by his attorney G. E. Church and files his demurrer to the Plaintiff Complaint herein |
| Feb 2. | Summons returned Showing personal Service on Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 31st day of Jan 1865 and filed |
| " 16th | This Cause dismissed by order of Plaintiff Plff paying Cost |

No. 45

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Account

Neilson & Rasmussen Plaintiff's

vs.

H Roth

Defendant

Demand $4.25/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 23 | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Kindall affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Kindall |
| " | Summons returned & Sheriff personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein. This day and filed. Writ of attachment executed returned & filed. |
| 26 | This cause settled in full. |

E. H. Hill J. P.

No. 46.

# In the Justice's Court of Shid Township,

## of the State of California, County of Butte

J. W. Rees — Plaintiff

vs.

B. B. Bruce — Defendant

Action account

Demand $ 11 35/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 20" | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Winchell |
| " 23. | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint — on file herein this day and filed — |
| 26" | This cause is settled in full — |

D. B. Hill, J. P.

No. 47

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Gibbons

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| June. 24 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued and given Const Winchell — |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against — |
| | him and of his rights before the Court, Defendant pleads |
| Plea | not guilty — Cause set down for trial at 11. O'Clock A.M — June — |
| | 27th 1885 — Defendant demands trial by jury — |
| " 24 | Issued venire and gave Const — |

No. 48.

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

John Gregg. Plaintiff

vs.

D. G. Perry

Defendant

Action Account-

Demand $ 291 cts

R. B. Grey
Attorney for Plaintiff

E. E. Calhoun
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Jan. | 24. | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Plaintiff's attorney — |
| " | | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein this day filed |
| Feb" | 2. | Now comes the Defendant by his attorney E. E. Calhoun and files his answer to the Plaintiff's Complaint herein — |
| | 3. | Defendant by his Counsel files his affidavit & notice for change of place of trial — argued Feb" 6th 1885 — |
| " | 6th | Motion continued until 10 O.C. A.M. Feb. 7th 1885 — |
| " | 11. | Motion for Change of Place of Trial - argued and submitted - The Court took the same under advisement — |
| " | 17th | This Cause set down for trial at 10 O.C. A.M. Feb" 24th 1885 — |
| " | 24th | by Consent of parties this Cause is set down for trial March 2d. 1885 at 1 O.C.P.M. |
| March | 2 | 1 O.C.P.M. Defendant — in Court — Plaintiff absent and abandoned — unknown — |
| " | | Now comes the Plaintiff's Counsel and asked the Court to dismiss this action without prejudice to Plaintiff's and at Plaintiff's Cost — |

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 49

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Assault

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

William A Peters

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 25 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given Const. De Blanc |
| 26 | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights upon the same — |
| Pd $5.00 | Now come the parties in person and acknowledged upon the trial of this action that he has received Satisfaction for the injury done him and on payment of the Costs incurred. It is ordered that all proceedings on the part of the prosecution be stayed and the Defendant to be discharged — |

D. H. Hall J.P.

No. 50

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Benton

Action Account

Geo. Burnhard — Plaintiff

vs.

H Roth — Defendant

Demand $3 00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| 1885 | |
| Jan 26 | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Winchell |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued. |
| " | This cause Settled in full. |

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 57

# In the Justice's Court of E. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

John Cabcrozi — Plaintiff

vs.

Jerome Downs — Defendant

Action Account.

Demand $11.15/100

Attorney for Plaintiff
Wharton & Shaw
Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Jan. | 26. | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Const. Winchell |
| " | 27. | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint filed herein this day and filed |
| Feb. | 2. | Now comes the defendant by his attorneys Wharton & Shaw and files his demurrer to the Plaintiffs Complaint herein — |
| Mch. | 2. | Demurrer submitted and overruled — |
| " | | Now comes the Defendant by his attorneys Wharton & Shaw and for answer denies every material allegation in the Plaintiffs Complaint contained — |
| " | 11. | This cause is set down for hrg. on M. 1. O.C. R.M. Mch 14th 1885 — |
| " | 13. | Issued Subp. for the Plaintiff & given Constable |
| " | 14 | Defendant files his offer to allow Judgment entered against him in this action — |
| " | | Plaintiff accepts Defendants offer to let the judgment thereon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant the sum of Two Dollars the amount offered by the Defendant and accepted by the said Plaintiff and the further sum of Twelve and 95/100 Dollars Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of this action in lawful money of the U. S. — |
| | | Judgment for the sum agreed upon — Paid — $2.00 |
| | | " " " Justices fees — Paid — $5.75 |
| | | " " " Constable fees — $5.20 |
| | | Wits. Mr. Johnson one day — 2.00 |
| | | Total amount of Judgment — $17.95 |

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 62.

# In the Justice's Court of Knight Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Edward Fry — Plaintiff

vs.

Jesse Warren — Defendant

Action Account —

Demand $222.00/100

Dayle H Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan 26. | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable — |
| 27. | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant — together with a Copy of the Complaint — on file herein, on the 26th day of Jan 1885 — and filed this day — |
| " 31. | Now comes the Defendant — in proper person and for answer denies each and every material allegation in the Plaintiffs Complaint contained — |
| June 11th | This cause set down for Trial at 10. O.C. A.M. June 20th 1885 — |
| " | Issued subpoena for the Plaintiff — & gave to Atty — |

382

No. 53.

# In the Justice's Court of Knight Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Ryan —

Defendant

Action Vagrancy —

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan- 27. | Complaint-filed. Warrant issued & given Const- Kindall- |
| " | Defendant- in Court- and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights- before the Court. Defendant- pleads guilty- as charged. Defendant- ordered to appear for Sentence at 2. O.C. P.M. Jan- 28th 1885 on his own recognizance |

No. 54.

# In the Justice's Court of Ghent Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Vagrancy

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Jas. Dinnes and Wm. Wilson, Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan 27. | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const Winchell. |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights before the Court. Defendants plead guilty as charged — Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 1 P.M. Jan. 28th 1885 — on their own recognizance |
| 28th | |

No. 57

# In the Justice's Court of 3d Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

John Jensen — Plaintiff

vs.

E. A. Benmon — Defendant

Action Account

Demand $23.25/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1885 — PROCEEDINGS.

Jan. 27. Complaint filed Summons issued & given Court - Windrell

" " affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Court - Windrell

Feb. 3. Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant together with a copy of the Complaint - on file herein - on the 2. day of February 1885 - and filed -

" 9. In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint - on file herein - and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is duly entered according to law -

" In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint - on file herein - and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said Defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law - Now on this day on application of the Plaintiff. It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint herein -

" Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of $23.25/100 - the Principal sued on - and the sum of $10.40/100 - Costs necessarily incurred in the prosecution of said action in Compliance with the Statutes of the United States -

" Judgment for the Principal sued on - 23.25

" " " Costs for - 5.00

" " " Constable " 5.40

" Total amount of Judgment - $33.65

J. H. Hall J.P.

" Issued Execution and gave Constable Windrell -

Issued Execution January 16th 1885

No. 5-6.

# In the Justice's Court of [illegible] Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action To Forfeiting the Peace

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Geo. Schaffer

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan- 28th | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to the officer |
| " " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads guilty as Charged - and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be sentenced now — |
| " | Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Geo. Schaffer be and you are hereby committed to the Custody of the Sheriff of the County of Fresno and by said Sheriff imprisoned in the County Jail of said County for a period of not Exceeding fifty days — |

S. H. Hall J.P.

No. 55.

# In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

The People of California Plaintiff

vs.

Hong On Sung

Defendant

Action Keeping an opium den

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 27. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued upon Court. Winchell Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Law, Defendant pleads guilty as charged and waives the legal time for Sentence and asks to be Sentenced now — Whereupon by reason of the Law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that for said offence that you Hong On Sung be and you are hereby fined in the Sum of $20.00 — Defendant at once paid said fine into Court and thereupon discharged — Paid Court $16.00 |

J. H. Hill J.P.

No. 56.

# In the Justice's Court of Ehrenberg Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Jas. Montgomery, Defendant

Action Disturbing a peace

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 27. | Complaint filed. Warrant issued and given Const. Winchell |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant pleads not guilty as charged. Defendant committed to the custody of the Sheriff to await trial. |
| paid | |
| 28. | It is ordered that the Defendant be discharged upon the ground that the evidence is insufficient to convict the Defendant. |

J. H. Hale J.P.

No. 59.

# In the Justice's Court of Vinid Township,

## of the State of California, County of Sierra

Action Writ of Attachment

Henry Hess Plaintiff

vs.

E A Benemann

Defendant

Demand $96.21/100

Daggett & Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| June. 28 | Complaint filed. Summons issued and given Court Vinehill |
| " | affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved & filed Writ of attachment issued & given Court Vinehill |
| Feb " 3 | Summons returned showing personal Service on the defendant together with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 2d day of Feby 1885 and filed |
| " | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed |
| " 9 | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law |
| " | In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law Now at this day on application of Daggett & Harris attorneys for the Plaintiff It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein |
| | Wherefore by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of $96.79/100 the Principal and legal interest thereon and the sum of $21.17/100 Costs necessarily expended in the prosecution of said action in Court many of the United States |
| " | Judgment for the Principal and interest $96.79 |
| " | " Justices Fee 8.50 |
| " | " Constables " 12.65 |
| " | Total amount of Judgment $117.94 |
| | D. K. Hill J.P. |
| " | Issued Execution and given Court Vinehill |

Issued Alias Execution June 16 1885

No. 60

# In the Justice's Court of Rhind Township,

## of the State of California, County of Butte

Action account

Rice and Bros — Plaintiffs

vs.

J. Bancroft — Defendant

Demand $140.00

Daylor & Harris
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Jan. | 28 | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Constable |
| Feb. | 2 | Summons returned & having indorsed Service on the Defendant together with a Copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 28th day of Jan. 1885 and filed — |
| Feb. | 9. | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein, and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is duly entered according to law — |
| " | | In this action the Defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs Complaint herein, and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law — Now at this day on appearance of Daylor & Harris attorneys for Plaintiffs It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid — It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiffs do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of One Hundred and forty Dollars the Principal Sum due on and the sum of Six and 80/100 Dollars Costs necessarily expended in said action in Lawful money of the United States — |
| " | | Judgment for the Principal Sum due — 140.00 |
| | | " " " Justices fee — 5.00 |
| | | " " " Constable — " — 1.80 |
| | | Total amount of Judgment — — $146.80 |

S. H. Hill J.P.

No. 61

# In the Justice's Court of Blue Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

A. L. Jones

Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| June 28 | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given to Const. Shuff to Blume |
| " | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him |
| | and of his rights before the Law. Defendant pleads Not guilty |
| Paid | as Charged. The Court after hearing the evidence orders |
| | the Defendant discharged upon the ground that |
| | the evidence is insufficient to convict. |
| | J. A. Hill J.P. |

No. 42.

# In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action Disturbing the Peace

The People of Calif.
Plaintiff

vs.

Peter Lee
Defendant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| 1885 | |
| Jan. 28. | Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Sheriff Munds |

No. 63

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

The People of California — Plaintiff

vs.

C. A. Ritch — Defendant

Action Grand Larceny

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 28 | Complaint filed Warrant issued and given Sheriff. Meade- |
| Feb. 2 | Defendant in Court and informed of the Charge against him and of his rights before the Court. Defendant ready for examination- |
| | John Berry Tyler Smith and Dante Wardell. Sworn and examined as witnesses for the prosecution. People Close- |
| | C. A. Ritch and Geary Irwin Sworn and examined for the Defendant. Defense Close- Cause Submitted- |
| | The Court after hearing the testimony and having fully considered the same- Orders that the Defendant be discharged upon the ground- first- that the Court has not jurisdiction of the Case- 2d that the evidence is insufficient to convict- the Defendant- |

J. H. Hill.

No. 64.

# In the Justice's Court of Wine Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Book account

Donahue Beesley & Co Plaintiffs

vs.

H. D. Highmarden Defendant

Demand $41.74/100

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|---|
| Jan | 29. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. |
| | " | affidavit for attachment made & filed Undertaking on attachment approved and filed Writ of attachment issued & given Const. |
| Feb. | 10. | Summons returned showing personal service on the Defendant to gether with a copy of the Complaint on file herein on the 10th day of Feb. 1885: and filed. |
| | " | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed. |

No. 65

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Petit Larceny

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Julia Adams. Defendant

Demand $

H. H. Grady
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Jan. 29th | Complaint filed. Warrant issued & given affiant. |
| " 30. | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights by the Court. Defendant pleads not guilty as charged. Defendant held for trial in the sum of $50. for. |
| Feb. 2. | This cause is set down for trial at 2. O'C P M. Feb 5. 1885. |
| " | This cause dismissed on motion of the Prosecuting attorney. |

J. K. Hill J.P.

No. 66.

# In the Justice's Court of Third Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Fno. Note & Mtge.

Alex Badlam Plaintiff

vs.

W. H. Grady

Defendant

Demand $200 00/100

Wharton & Shaw
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Feb. 2d | Complaint filed - Now Comes W. H. Grady the Defendant and - hearing the issuing and service of Summons in the above entitled action - and appearing herein freely Confesses that he is indebted to the Plaintiff in the said Sum of Two Hundred Dollars with interest to date and freely Consents to judgment for said Sum - W. H. Grady - Feb. 2d 1885 - |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid It is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said Defendant a judgment for the Sum of Two Hundred Dollars the Principal Sum due on, and the Sum Nine and 33/100 Dollars Interest thereon and the further Sum of Ten dollars Cost necessarily incurred in said action - all in Gold Coin of the United States - |
| " | Judgment for the Principal Sum due on $200.00 |
| " | " " Interest thereon - 9.33 |
| " | " " Justices fee - 4.00 |
| " | Total amount of Judgment - $213.33 |
| " | Issued Execution and gave Copy - Finished - |

J. H. Hile J.P.

No. 67.

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Elmira Humphrey — Plaintiff

vs.

M. J. Hughes — Defendant

Action Note & Lien

Demand $100.00 Gold Coin

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| 1885 | |
| Feb. 2 | Complaint filed — |

No. 68.

# In the Justice's Court of Knox Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

Action Note & Chattel

J. H. Northcraft — Plaintiff

vs.

D. R. Shafer — Defendant

Demand $110.00/100

E. B. Edwards — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Feb. 3. | Complaint filed Summons issued & given Constable Kimball — |
| " | Affidavit for attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Constable Kimball |
| " 4 | Summons returned showing personal service on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint — on file herein on the 3d day of Feb 1885 and filed — |
| | Writ of attachment executed returned and filed — |
| 10. | In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs complaint on file herein, and the time allowed by law for answering having expired the default of said defendant in the premises is hereby duly entered according to law — |
| " | In this action the defendant having been regularly served with process, and having failed to appear and answer the Plaintiffs complaint herein — and the legal time for answering having expired and the default of the said defendant in the premises having been duly entered according to law, now at this day on application of E. B. Edwards attorney for Plaintiff — It is ordered that judgment be entered herein against the said defendant in accordance with the prayer of said Plaintiffs Complaint on file herein — |
| " | Whereupon by reason of the law and the premises aforesaid it is ordered and adjudged that the Plaintiff do have and recover of and from the said defendant the sum of One Hundred & ten Dollars the Principal sum due and the sum of Five and 13/100 Dollars interest thereon — and the further sum of Thirteen & 40/100 Dollars costs necessarily incurred in this action in lawful money of the United States — |
| | Judgment for the principal sum due — 110.00 |
| | " " " Interest thereon — 5.13 |
| | " " " Costs — 4.30 |
| | " " " Constable 4.90 |
| | Total amount of Judgment — $128.53 |

D. H. Hill J.P.

Sheriff's Return Executed May 9th 1885 — Sheriff's Fees Attached Not Satisfied —

Sec. Page 398

Feb 10th 1885 Appeal Bond Executed & given Constable Kimball

No. 69.

# In the Justice's Court of Linn Township,

## of the State of California, County of Inyo

Action Vagrancy

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Jas. Baker & Frank Evans

Defendants

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. | |
|---|---|---|
| Feb 13 | Complaint filed. Warrant issued and given Const. Wm Hull- defendants in Court and informed of the charge against them and of their rights upon the same. Defendants plead guilty as charged — Defendants ordered to appear for Sentence at 9 O.C. A.M. Feb 14 1885 on their own recognizance — | |
| Paid | | |
| Inyo Page 397 | | |
| March 7. | Execution returned and filed — Judgment | $128-50 |
| | accruing Cost up to date — | 13.95 |
| " | Judgment Cost and accruing Cost — | 142.48 |
| " | Credited by amount of Sale of Buggy — | 76.00 |
| | Amount now due and unpaid — | $66.48 |
| " 9 | Now Come The Plaintiff by his attorney E.S. Edwards and files his affidavit and order for Examination of said Defendant | |
| " 10 | I. O.C. A. M. Defendant in Court Sworn and answers questions as to his property generally | |
| " 12. | Made Abstract of Judgment and handed Plaintiff — Com $55, 11- | |

S. H. Hill J.P.

Inyo Page 400 — It ordered that She be held to answer to the same and committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo and that She be admitted to bail in the Sum of Three Hundred Dollars and is committed to the Sheriff of the County of Inyo until She gives such bail —

S. H. Hill J.P.

March 12. I. O.C.A.M. Defendant made and filed her bond for her appearance in the Superior Court —

S. H. Hill J.P.

13 Handed the Clerk of the Superior Court the papers in this action —

No. 70.

# In the Justice's Court of B. Township,

## of the State of California, County of Tuolumne

John Hole — Plaintiff

vs.

Jack Howe — Defendant

Action account-

Demand $14.00

R. B. Berry — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Feb. 3. | Complaint filed. Summons issued & given Const. Winchell |
| " | affidavit - on attachment made & filed. Undertaking on attachment |
| | approved and filed. Writ of attachment issued & given Const. Winchell |
| | Summons returned & Sheriff personal Service on the Defendant |
| | together with a copy of the Complaint filed herein on this day |
| | and filed - Writ of attachment executed returned & filed — |
| | Defendant - Found on said action the Sum of $14.00 - |

No. 71.

# In the Justice's Court of Township, 3.

## of the State of California, County of Fresno

Action Robbery

The People of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Julia Adams, Defendant

Demand $

W. B. Grove, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

| DATE. 1885 | PROCEEDINGS. |
|---|---|
| Feb. 3. | Complaint filed Warrant issued & given Const: Winchester |
| " " | Defendant in Court and informed of the charge against her and of her rights before the Court - Defendant held to abail of $300.00/100 for her appearance for examination |
| " 7th | This Cause is set down for Examination at 2. O'C. |
| Parole | P. M. Feb. 11th 1885 — |
| " | Issued Subpoena for the People & gave Const- |
| " 11th | 2. O.C. P. M. Defendant in Court - People not ready for trial upon the grounds of the absence of an important witness - Filed affidavit - after which the Court continued the Cause generally — |
| March 6. | This Cause set down for trial at 10. O.C. A.M. March 11th 1885 - |
| " 11. | 10. O.C. A.M. Defendant in Court - and Dep. Dist Atty is ready for examination - Grant Dranell - Sworn as Interpreter for Jaequin - J. Valdero and J. H. Brendan - and Grant Dranell Sworn and examined as witness for the People - Prosecution Closed — John Dunn. Julia Adams - Mary Kelly - Adria Hench Julia Cole & P. Le Blanc - Sworn and examined as witness for the Defendant. Defense Closed — After argument of Counsel Jury and Court - The Cause was Submitted - The Court took the Same under advisement until 2. O.C. P. M. March 12th 1885 — |
| " 12th | 2. O.C. P. M. - This being the time fixed for the finding of the Court - Therefore It appearing to me that the offence of Grand Larceny has been committed as follows Viz. That on or about the 24th day of January 1885 - That the defendant Julia Adams did at the County of Fresno State of California willfully unlawfully and feloniously Steal and take from the person of one J. H Brendan - the Sum of Forty Dollars Gold Coin of the Government of the United States - and that there is Sufficient Cause to believe the within named Julia Adams guilty - Thereof - |

See Page 398 -

tiff

ant